# THE PROBLEM WITH INTEREST

Tarek El Diwany



TA-HA Publishers
1 Wynne Road
London SW9 0BB
U.K.





## Copyright © Tarek El Diwany 1997

# Published in Jamadi Al-Oola 1418 AH/September 1997 CE

Published by: **Ta-Ha Publishers Ltd.,**1 Wynne Road,

website:http://www.taha.co.uk/ email: sales@taha.co.uk

London SW9 0BB

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in any retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or otherwise, without written permission of the publishers.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Catalogue Record for this Publication Available from The British Library

ISBN 1897940 64 5 Paperback ISBN 1897940 65 3 Hardback Printed and Bound by- De-Luxe Printers, London NW10 7NR. website: http://www.de-luxe.com

### CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	.≥
FOREWORD	>
PREFACE	ii/
INTEREST AND THE PHYSICAL SYSTEM	-
THE PRODUCTION OF MONEY	37
THE CURRENCY GAME	75
WEALTH CREATION AND WEALTH TRANSFER	100
VALUE JUDGEMENTS	123
TRADE OR INTEREST?	140
BANKING AND MONEY UNDER ISLAM	173
IN CONCLUSION	188
BIBLIOGRAPHY	191
INDEX	200



# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

**BISMILLAHI RAHMANI RAHIM** 

for my friend and teacher, Mohamed Ghanem

To the following with many thanks for their kind help and advice:

Dr. Sheikh Ghazali Abod

Christopher Jack

Muhammad Kholwadia

Afsar Siddiqui

Richard Webb

Mohammed Junaid Ahmed Zakir

### FOREWORD

One of the fundamental goals of human intuition is to perceive what the purpose of life is, and to answer the ultimate question of how that purpose can be achieved. In spite of a vast amount of knowledge accumulated through the ages, that has enabled humans to exploit the properties of matter to highly complex ends, uncertainty on this simple yet vital issue remains.

Sometimes one encounters the speculative assumption that the capacity of the human intellect is unlimited but, in the case at hand, the kind of knowledge required for certainty lies beyond the ability of mankind to discover. It is a kind of knowledge that can only be provided by the same Authority which creates life in the first instance. Without this knowledge the whole of creation would be meaningless, a huge mechanical structure with no purpose, contradicting the perfection in nature which science can only confirm.

Muslims believe that Islam provides that knowledge which is beyond the human capacity to discover, in order and in sum. It furnishes us with concepts and facts in the realms of morality and ethics, and in the physical world too, which cannot be negated by any standard of scientific logic or scientific discovery. It is a knowledge based upon a voluntary belief in an absolute and transcendent truth, namely that 'There is no God but Allah and that Muhammad is his final Prophet and Messenger'. This significant single truth and the message that was carried with it was revealed letter by letter, word by word, and verse by verse by Allah, the only God, in the Arabic language to the Prophet Muhammad sal' Allahu alaihi wassalam (s.a.w.), and was collected in the Qur'an nearly fourteen hundred years ago. It has been protected from all forms of distortion since and is available now, exactly as it was revealed, for anyone who wishes to read it.

Within the words of the Qur'an is a thorough constitution for human activity, as practised by the Prophet s.a.w. himself, his family and disciples. All of its injunctions, in both the positive and negative forms of 'do' and 'do not', form a guidance that allows humanity to live according to the single truth. God is the only Creator, He alone decides the purpose of His creation, and the way of achieving that purpose. It is a purpose that is simply and clearly stated within the Qur'an:

For no other reason have I created Jinns and humans but to worship me alone. No sustenance do I require of them, nor do I require that they should feed me.

Qur'an 51: 56 & 57

So to fulfill the purpose of life is to worship God. This can only be achieved by applying His constitution as described in the Qur'an and as practised by His final Prophet s.a.w. in all fields of human endeavour including the social, the economic and the political. The intention to follow God's rules must be purely for His worship. The final result is human freedom, dignity and justice.

Throughout history there have always been some humans who fell into the temptation of hijacking the Divine legislation, who violated its conditions under false claims, who were ignorant and arrogant, and who secularised human society in both ideology and institution. It is a phenomenon that is common throughout the world today. The way to uncover the falseness of their claims is to educate people about the Qur'an and the tradition of the last Prophet s.a.w., and to explain to them the meaning of the single truth. Economics has now become the latest challenge in this process, and I am sure that the content of this book will be a good contribution towards meeting it.

Mohamed A. Ghanem July 1997

#### PREFACE

himself mocked by an intellectually arrogant establishment. The press published cartoons in which he was portrayed spraying the air with disinfectant. When Copernicus and Gallileo attacked the opinion. Whilst demanding a retraction of their heresy, the Church conveniently ignored the growing gulf between evidence and its own pet theories. But the Earth became increasingly round and For patients suffering from 'illnesses of indiscretion', European physicians once prescribed mercury. At their disposal for the confinement to bed in an unventilated room and the soaking of and the skin stank of urea. A panoply of problems made worse by a panoply of quacks. The physicians' explanation of their failures invoked a science with which few laymen dared argue and, in this manner, the orthodox treatments were perpetuated. Having science of their time, they too suffered at the hands of established sores with urine. Meanwhile, the syphilis remained and the teeth urned black, the fever worsened, the invalid developed bed sores suggested the use of clean bandages in the wards, Pasteur found treatment of other illnesses were remedies that included the leach, drifted ever further from the centre of the universe. Orthodox views have often proved all-pervasive and wrong. Even in the light of facts that state otherwise, established assumptions have an uncanny knack of surviving. It is my contention that such is the case in the field of western economic debate today. Where once the student asked 'does raising the interest rate reduce inflation?', he now asks, 'by how much must we raise the interest rate in order to reduce inflation?'. These are the complacent assumptions of the new 'consensus economics'.

Many developing nations now reach for the medicines that consensus recommends. But treatments involving 'shock-therapy'

Υï

and IMF austerity packages are uncomfortably reminiscent of the remedies of the quacks: extreme in their side effects and of ambiguous benefit. Sometimes, there appears the assertion that things would be worse under any other economic regime. Of course, the assertion is untestable because one can never relive the past to know the difference. Meanwhile, as consensus economics extends its grasp, societies are increasingly coming to accept pollution, the business cycle, inflation and gross inequalities in wealth as the unavoidable facts of economic life.

The focus of this work is upon interest and its impact on humanity. It is an impact that reaches beyond the debtor-creditor relationship and one which cannot be expressed in terms of monetary units alone. In the pages that follow I shall argue that our world has become beholden to interest, enslayed by those who profit from it, and misled by those who justify it. My work shall not add to the existing stockpile of facts on the topic of interest; that stockpile already requires more than a lifetime to read. What is so badly needed now is not more fact, but more understanding of the facts that we already know.

One problem that confronts the modern economist is the sheer complexity of the system that he seeks to describe. This complexity makes any attempt to model the system extremely difficult, and without some kind of model no scientific attempt at forecasting can be undertaken. In building an economic model, the econometrician must identify the precise starting values of every variable in the economic process and describe the exact nature of those processes and their inter-relationships. Meteorologists know how complex a task this is. Yet where the meteorologist works with precise and measurable physical laws, economists deal in uncertainty and unquantifiable propositions, and where meteorologists hesitate to predict weather patterns more than one week ahead, the bold economist may stretch his own horizons over several years. Though economic forecasts over short time

periods are sometimes broadly accurate, over longer periods they are usually inaccurate.

The chaotic system is simply too complex for the economist to understand let alone to model on a computer. It would be quite an achievement if forecasters could reliably predict the direction of change, never mind its amount. Whilst physicists, doctors and chemists can predict the events of their own domain with some success, there are no economists whose modelling efforts are as reliable. I do not wish to belittle economic forecasters for many are extremely able. I shall simply wish them good luck in their endeavours and proceed in my own direction.

Though some may think poorly of discussions in which religion 'interferes' with science, I have decided not to turn away from this particular challenge here. Therefore, whilst the first four chapters of this work adopt a conventional 'positive' approach to economics, the final three chapters are devoted to a 'normative' discussion from the perspective of Islam. It is a basic principle in Islam that where the foundations of our economic and social life are just, so too will be the results of their interaction. Of course, we may not be content with those results but, according to this philosophy, they will at least be better than the alternatives. Where they are given, my recommendations for economic change assume likewise.

To my mind, the economics embodied in the religion of Islam probably constitutes the last remaining serious challenge to free market capitalism. However, because the Muslim world is not yet in a position to lecture the West on how to attain economic success, I hope that I strike a sufficiently modest note in offering my insights.

Tarek El Diwany

#### CHAPTER ONE

# INTEREST AND THE PHYSICAL SYSTEM

Entropy is a word that is used to describe the amount of disorder in a physical system. A feature of the world around us is that physical systems decay, in other words that they experience increasing entropy. Fruit rots and buildings become dilapidated, unless energy is expended in order to maintain them. Left to itself, the physical world follows the path of compound decrement towards, but never quite reaching, zero. Meanwhile, loans at interest are often structured so as to follow the path of compound increment towards infinity. This chapter contradiction between the laws of interest and the laws of nature. It is proposed that 'short-termism', pollution and resource depletion can be encouraged by the use of interest in the financing of industry.

# The Importance of Entropy

When physicists say that 'entropy is increasing' they are describing the tendency of all physical systems towards greater disorder. This principle of physics, underlying the laws of thermodynamics, was applied to the physical dimension of wealth as early as 1926 by Frederick Soddy in Wealth, Virtual Wealth and Debt and developed in detail by others, notably Nicolas Georgescu-Roegen in The Entropy Law and the Economic Process (1971). These analyses have many implications for economics.

The first law of thermodynamics states that the total energy of a thermodynamic system remains constant although it may be transformed from one form to another. Here is the principle of the conservation of energy. The second law of thermodynamics states that heat can never spontaneously pass from a body at a lower temperature to one at a higher temperature. Taken together, these two (of the three) laws of thermodynamics say that as time passes a closed system incurs a constant total of energy, but that the distribution of this energy becomes more even. Here is the entropy process in a nutshell.

A simple example has a metallic container at room temperature into which a block of metal at 100 degrees Celsius is placed. If insulated from the outside environment, it will be found upon later examination that the *average* temperature of the container and its content remains at the initial level but that the *difference* in temperature between the two components has narrowed. Ultimately, any difference in temperature will disappear entirely. Where once the block was hot enough to boil water, it is now no longer able to do so. The ability to perform work has therefore diminished even though the total amount of energy in the system has not. This loss of ability to perform work is a key feature of the entropy process.

Within the constraints imposed by the laws of thermodynamics, there is great freedom as to the path followed by an entropic process. Although entropy is increasing, it may do so in a variety of ways. The entropic process is thus said to be *indeterminate*. The ultimate fact though is that entropy must increase from one time period to the next. It is an irrevocable and qualitative process that represents decay.

To say that entropy increases irrevocably is not however the same as saying that the effects of the entropy process cannot be reversed. An open physical system may in fact experience decreasing entropy over a given time period. For example, the

plant, an open physical system, consumes energy and nutrients from the external physical system that is the environment. In this manner the plant creates a highly ordered structure called fruit. Similarly, the repair men consume energy and materials in maintaining the building. However, precisely because of the consumption of external matter and energy in these processes, entropy in that external physical system will increase. The entropy law tells us that, external and internal systems combined, the net amount of entropy must continue to increase. In this way the entropy law remains valid.

Though entropy is always increasing, its rate of increase varies from one system to the next. Fruit and vegetables on a market stall display a higher rate of increase in entropy than for example buildings, which in turn display a higher rate of increase in entropy than minerals in the Earth's crust. The fruit decays within a few days once picked, the buildings decay within a matter of years if unattended and minerals in the Earth's crust remain for millennia with little sign of deterioration.

It was Schrodinger who first proposed that life bearing structures maintain themselves by consuming low entropy from the environment and transforming it into higher entropy. Given that average entropy in a physical system is always increasing at a natural rate, it must be true that man's activity in establishing lower degrees of entropy in some components of his physical environment inevitably accelerates the increase of entropy in other components. The *Guardian* leader page from 25 March 1995 comments:

Global warming is, above all, an index of the rate at which the world squanders its resources and of the resulting disturbance to natural ecological balances maintained for millions of years. No one can seriously deny that energy is now being consumed on a scale never achieved by humanity before. The only question - and it is the critical one - is whether it can be stopped and how.

Georgescu-Roegen informs us that the entropy process dominates almost every facet of the economic process. If we are then to ignore it in our economic analysis, we are doomed to failure before we begin. We must first accept that our economic activity does not take place in a closed system. Even on a global scale we receive low entropy energy from the Sun daily, and free of charge. This very fact makes our land valuable and productive and allows humans to live, but rarely does it appear in econometric models.

the economic process, involves an irrevocable flow from low Early classical models of physics, developed by Newton and applied so successfully to the world of machines and mechanical processes, inspired economists, to seek similar mechanical descriptions within their own field of endeavour. However, mechanical processes are by nature revocable. A ball can be picked up if dropped. Today, these mechanical ideas are prominent in economic analysis. Meanwhile, the entropy law, when applied to entropy resource to high entropy waste. This 'one way flow' concept opposes the idea of revocability in economic processes, and contrasts strikingly with such models as the 'circular flow of funds' that are used by some modern economists. The modern approach tends to view the economic system in a mechanical way that assumes change to be revocable, or in some formula bound way that assumes a determinate process. In criticism of this approach, Georgescu-Roegen reminds us of Alfred Marshall's comments in his Principles of Economics:

...biology, not mechanics, is the true Mecca of the economist

In Europe, the concepts of thermodynamics probably sprang from Carnot's memoir on the efficiency of steam engines in 1824. Given that this was the mechanical age, it is not surprising to find that within a few years theorists were tempted to interpret the new ideas of thermodynamics with the aid of existing mechanical theories, this time in the form of statistics. Georgescu-Roegen is once again critical of this approach and its progenitor, Ludwig Boltzman. Boltzman's 'statistical thermodynamics' focused on

entropy at the microscopic level. When a system reaches a condition in which no change in entropy occurs that system is said to be 'isentropic' and such a stage represents an equilibrium. It is the state with the greatest amount of disorder and, for Boltzman at least, is the state which displays the distribution of molecules that has the greatest probability of occurring.

If probability is involved in the entropy process, then at each point in time there must be some degree of probability that entropy will decrease. In this way Boltzman explains the increases in order that we see in the world around us. According to this view, the actions of living organisms to grow and reproduce, indeed to exist in the first place, arise out of pure chance and despite the prevailing trend towards decreasing order. In other words, if order is to increase, it does so according to the law of probability and nothing else.

But is it not an observable fact that living things act in a purposeful manner to increase order in their environment? Is it by chance that human beings build comfortable homes in which to live? In his discussions of entropy, Georgescu-Roegen comments that:

... statistical thermodynamics completely denies the possibility of any purposive activity because it claims that everything is completely determined by the laws of mechanics.

Since purpose clearly does exist among life bearing structures to maintain or increase order through the consumption of energy, it is natural to ask how such a purpose could have originated. It seems unlikely that increases in order could arise in some way out of an entropy law that demands decreasing order in general. For many who are dissatisfied with the circular proposition that life exists in order to reproduce itself, God becomes the ultimate answer in the search for purpose. Meanwhile, Georgescu-Roegen proposes that on a worldly level, man's purpose is simply the creation of structures that allow him to enjoy life. These are the

structures that we commonly refer to as wealth, structures that may be stored until increasing entropy devalues them. (In contrast, the benefit derived from stored wealth cannot itself be stored. Thus, whilst warm water can be stored in a tank, the pleasure of a warm shower cannot be stored, except perhaps in ones memory).

Many forms of wealth may be synthesised by mankind from the low entropy resources available to him. But Georgescu-Roegen argues that there is often a most unwelcome cost attached to the consumption of these resources. To put it bluntly, low entropy resources are transformed through man's economic activity into high entropy waste plus the enjoyment of life. By extending this line of reasoning, the true nature of the environmental choice facing modern man can be uncovered.

enjoyment of life to proceed. For example, it is the sunlight of past being similar to the input of energy into a physical system as Consider for one moment the impact of sunlight upon the Earth as past sunlight, or by catching present sunlight in a more efficient to grow crops and experience the seasons. If society aims for higher millennia that allows man to increase his enjoyment of life through that must in some form or other be consumed in order to allow the discussed earlier. On a simple level, it is the free energy of the Sun power stations. Here then is the environmental choice that faces us way. Whichever approach is adopted, entropy will continue to levels of enjoyment, it may do so by consuming greater amounts of the burning of coal. It is the sunlight of the present that allows him renewable resource. unsustainable development, renewable resource against non-It is a choice that pits sustainable development against more of us care for global warming as coal is burnt to fire our that results in the Sun as it converts hydrogen into helium. Far different places. Few on Earth care for the higher entropy increase, but the effects of that increased entropy are to be seen in

Much effort has been devoted in recent times to finding some kind of free market solution that allows economic activity to continue with due regard for the environment. For example, tradable pollution permits have been issued in the United States. These were designed to encourage industry to account adequately for the costs of the environmental damage that it causes. (Economists use the word externalities to describe the adverse side effects of an economic system that is driven by profitability only). In essence, the price of a permit is supposed to reflect the cost of the pollution that it allows the buyer to cause. It is however something of a problem to know how much should be charged for such a permit. How does one put a price on fresh air or a beautiful view?

A debate as to why some essential forms of wealth are free in monetary terms can easily enter into this kind of discussion but for our purpose let us note only that there is some kind of discrepancy between 'use value' and 'price'. The value enjoyed by one who 'uses' a beautiful view is often very great, but its price is often zero. The difference between the price of two similar houses, one with a beautiful view and one with no view, might give an economist some idea of the amount of money that individuals are prepared to pay for beautiful views in general. Yet this type of analysis is highly subjective and therefore rather inconsistent. Hence it has been argued that a market with competing buyers and sellers is the only proper means of pricing the permits that allow polluters to pollute.

As mankind's <u>plunder</u> of natural resources continues, and as this plunder increasingly threatens his existence, the proper means of valuing externalities may become a topic for public debate. In having this debate, society at large would recognise that some forms of wealth have not always had a price, and have not therefore been accounted for in our conventional measures of wealth.

not fully appreciate their value until they have been lost for ever. If contend that fresh air, clean rivers and a stable climate have quite a high priority in society's hierarchy of needs, but that society may such a gloomy outcome does materialise it will be in part due to the striving of mankind to supplement a flow of sunlight energy that is now deemed insufficient to meet his requirements for the enjoyment of life. As decades pass, the entropic consequences of this new avarice grow ever clearer. Some may argue that it has been unleashed by a technological innovation that just happens to have occurred in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries. However, e found to price in the costs of Earth-borne entropy that arise from, say, coal burning power stations, then perhaps solar or wind accounted for, we shall continue to undervalue the environment of technology can itself be used to combat the Earth-borne entropy that our activities encourage. Energy, for example, need not come sunlight can be harnessed in ever more efficient ways. If a way can or geothermal energy may stand a chance in the competitive market from stocks of past sunlight alone, for the energy of present place for energy. But so long as Earth-borne entropy is not our collective home.

These points aside, I shall now move to the main body of the argument and propose that the structure of our present financial system contradicts the nature of the entropy process. In contrast to the entropy law, the laws of modern financial theory that we now turn to are entirely of man's own creation.

### Interest and Entropy

In a simple barter economy, an individual would hold his surplus wealth in the form of physical assets. In holding this surplus wealth he would perhaps incur some kind of storage cost. A further cost might also arise over time since the quality of the stored assets would be subject to the fact that entropy is increasing. Hence, depending upon the nature of the asset, the one

who held wealth would often encounter unavoidable costs in so doing.

Of course the individual may instead decide to lend his physical wealth. The question now is, what happens to the costs associated with the holding of that wealth when such a loan is made? The thought may not immediately occur to the one who borrows an ox to plough a field, and thereby make a profit. But for our purpose it is important to know exactly what kind of ox the borrower must repay. Should it be the same ox that was borrowed, or should it be an ox equal in age and health to the one that first left the lender's farm?

financial conventions we are effectively saying that an ox equal in In a modern interest-based economy, £100 borrowed must be repaid to the sum of £100 plus interest. In so structuring our age and health to the original must be repaid, plus interest. One notable feature of this convention is that the lender of the ox passes on, to the borrower, costs which he himself would otherwise have had to bear. £100 of money lent at interest does not obey the same law as the £100 of physical assets that the money must buy in order a given monetary value can merrily march the path of geometric it is due to increasing entropy that physical wealth follows the path of compound decrement toward, but never quite reaching, zero. The store his assets and find upon later inspection that they have to fund the interest charge. In the application of compound interest, increment towards infinity. In contrast, as Frederick Soddy puts it, deteriorated, in a loan transaction those very assets exist for him as parallel within our barter economy is that whilst our financier may a non-depreciating entry on a loan document.

In Money versus Man (1933), Soddy comments:

... the ruling passion of the age is to <u>convert wealth into debt</u> in order to derive a <u>permanent future income from it</u> - to convert wealth that perishes into debt that endures, debt that does not rot, costs nothing to maintain, and brings in perennial interest.

If the stipulations of a compound interest money loan applied to a loan of physical capital, then physical capital would remain undegraded throughout the life of a loan whilst at the same time generating new wealth. This new wealth in turn would not depreciate and would itself be expected to yield further wealth in the familiar compound process. Such a state of affairs would be wonderful were it attainable but, due to the entropy principle, it isn't. Perpetual wealth creation from a single stock of non-depreciating capital will remain impossible so long as the laws of thermodynamics hold true.

Of the many types of interest convention applied in modern finance, a distinction is often made between 'simple interest' and 'compound interest'. The former convention applies to the charging of interest on the principal of a loan only. The latter involves a charging of interest on both principal and interest, a process that requires interest amounts payable to be 'compounded' into the principal at stated intervals.

To see compound interest at work, let us follow the example of Soddy and imagine that a man living in the year 20 AD makes a loan of one hundred loaves of bread to a borrower. Our lender trusts that the borrower (or his successors) will honour the repayment terms, which are 5% interest compounded once per year. The total amount due for repayment after one year, the year 21 AD, would be the original loan amount of one hundred loaves plus five loaves of interest. If the loan is not at this stage repaid, then the interest charge of five loaves will be compounded into the original principal of one hundred loaves, to give a new principal amount of one hundred and five loaves. Interest will then be charged on this new principal amount at the rate of 5% during the following year. By the year 22 AD the total amount due for repayment will then be one hundred and ten and one-quarter loaves of bread.

In contrast, the amount of the loan outstanding at the end of the second year under simple interest would be only one hundred and ten loaves of bread since the first year's interest of five loaves would not itself earn interest during the second year. In other words, no compounding would have occurred under the simple interest convention.

The formulae on which these simple and compound interest calculations are based take the form shown below.

Π

REPAYMENT AMOUNT UNDER SIMPLE INTEREST

= 
$$P (1 + r)^t$$
  
=  $100 (1 + .05)^2$   
=  $110.25$ 

REPAYMENT AMOUNT UNDER COMPOUND INTEREST

Where, for both simple and compound formulae;

F = repayment amount
P = amount loaned
r = interest rate as a fraction, per period
t = number of periods of loan

P is often said to be the 'present value' of the amount F, and F the 'future value' of P. Hence, if interest rates are five per cent per year, an individual who is offered £110.25 to be received in two years' time would put a present value on that amount of only £100. Likewise, an individual who is offered £100 now would put a future value on that amount of £110.25, again if interest rates are five per cent per year. In both cases, the individual would be indifferent to receiving £100 now or £110.25 in two years' time.

The indifference arises because £100 received now could be lent at five per cent interest, producing £110.25 in two years' time. Similarly, the individual could borrow £100 at 5% interest per year for two years, and repay this loan if £110.25 is to be received in two years' time. By borrowing or lending, the individual can create either one of the cash-flows out of the other. So it doesn't actually matter which cash-flow he is offered, the £100 now or the £110.25 later.

Those familiar with the compound interest process will not be surprised by the rather amusing result of extending it over extremely long periods. By the year 1995 AD the total amount of the loan outstanding and now due for repayment, given by 100 (1 + .05)<sup>1975</sup> for the above data, is in excess of seven hundred thousand billion billion billion billion loaves of bread. One remarkable fact regarding the size of this repayment amount, reductio ad absurdum though it may be, is that there would not be sufficient bread available to meet it, even if every person who has since lived on Earth were to have produced and successfully stored ten million loaves of bread per day for life. Repayment would in fact still be impossible even if the original lender charged a more length 2.5% interest per year.

A strange phenomenon seems to be at work in the above example, and it is one which arises because the mathematics that it employs conflicts with the realities of the physical world. Bread rots, loans

We have seen that if man is to establish greater order in a physical system, he must reverse the entropy process, in that system by inputting matter or energy from outside it. When rain, fertiliser, seed, sunlight and human effort are combined in the correct manner, greater order results in the form of bread. This decrease in entropy can only be achieved at the cost of an increase in entropy in some other part of the physical system. In this example, the greater degree of disorder manifests itself partly in those structures that have contributed to the production of bread. The farm equipment will become worn out and the land slightly less fertile. Net, the total amount of order decreases due to the entropy law.

Continuing the example of the loan of bread, we know that as the interest rate increases, the amount of bread that is required to make the repayment also increases. We can therefore say that as the interest rate increases, entropy also tends to increase. It is the borrower's attempt to make the required loan repayments by producing more bread that causes this to be so. The higher the interest rate, the higher the cost to the Earth's environment may be.

But why the italics? As hinted at earlier, one crucial question relates to where increases in entropy occur. On the one hand, energy may be provided by consumption of those renewable resources powered by flows of sunlight, in which case Earth-borne entropy costs are low. On the other hand, stocks of previous sunlight must be utilised, in which case Earth-borne entropy costs are higher. The Sun will continue to shine, and its entropy increase, whether we use its light or not. But in using the Earth's oil to power our farm equipment, the Earth suffers an increase in entropy that would not otherwise occur.

This argument is not as abstract as it might at first sight seem. In terms of foreign borrowings outstanding, Brazil, Mexico and Indonesia comprise the most indebted nations in the world. They are also three of the world's top four deforesters. On current trends the Brazilian rain forest, source of 40% of our planet's oxygen, will almost disappear within two lifetimes. For the poor and the starving, survival comes before preservation of the rainforest. The conversion of hardwood to ash, to disorder, enables survival for some. Its conversion to timber for export enables survival for others. In the meantime, the Brazilian rainforest suffers to the cost of all of us.

Brazil Indonesia Zaire Mexico Bolivia Venezuela Thailand Sudan Tanzania	Country
36,710 12,120 7,320 6,780 6,250 5,990 5,150 4,820 4,380	1981 to 1990 average sq. kms. of deforestation per annum
31.3 67.4 111.5 35.2 83.6 62.5 36.3 220.7 268.4	1992 fore <u>ign d</u> ebt outstanding as a percentage of GDP
24.4 32.1 34.8 44.4 39.1 19.5 13.1 7/a 32.5	1992 debt repayment as a percentage of export value

n/a : ranking not available

DEFORESTATION AND FOREIGN DEBT (source: *The Economist*)

The above table may portray coincidence only, but the work of increasing numbers of academics stands in evidence of the conflict between interest and entropy described so far. Colin Price reminds us of Miller's work in *Debt and the Environment: Converging Crises* (1991) where the depletion of natural resources is linked explicitly to the payment of interest on international debt. Is it really possible that such phenomena are in any way related to a contradiction between the financial and physical systems, between a requirement to pay off foreign creditors and the reality of an impoverished economy? Or is deforestation merely one expression of economic development? In order to answer such questions satisfactorily we must now attempt to quantify the impact of interest upon economic activity.

#### Discounting

The simple scenario described below is based on an idea by Michael Lipton at the University of Sussex (1992). Imagine a farmer who wishes to buy a plot of land and farm it. His purchase and operating costs are to be financed entirely on borrowed funds. The land is capable of supporting a highly intensive technique which is forecast to produce £150 per year of net profit for fifteen years, and which results in the land's descriptication. An alternative production technique produces only £100 per year of net profit, but allows the land to regenerate and maintain its productive potential indefinitely.

Discounted cash-flow analysis allows the modern farmer to compare the two cash-flows and select the most profitable. For each interest rate, the present value of each set of cash-flows is calculated according to the formulae described earlier in this chapter. It is the farming approach that provides the highest total present value of those cash-flows that is then recommended. The tables displayed on the following pages show the relevant

calculations where 't1' represents year one, 't2' represents year two, and so on.

These calculations show clearly that with interest rates at 5% the highest present value (£2,000) resides in the low intensity farming approach, whilst with rates at 10% the highest present value (£1,140.91) resides in the high intensity option. In short, the incentive toward intensive farming, and thus desertification, increases as the interest rate increases. This unfortunate result is entirely due to the familiar way in which the discounting process progressively reduces the present value of the land's output in future years toward zero. £100 of net profit earned in year fifty has a present value of approximately £0.85 if the interest rate is 10% per year. No wonder then that the analyst who relies on discounted cash-flow analysis has little care for what the land can produce in year fifty. (Whether the land at that time is desertified or not is of little relevance, since its contribution to present value is almost negligible.

### Lipton argues that:

Dramatically rising interest rates in 1977-79, sustained ever since, have increased the incentives - to families, businesses and governments - to rises up natural resources now, and to ignore the consequences\_later.... Western banks pushed most of their Third World loans from fixed to many borrowing governments meant they had to pay steadily widening and Kenya - faced even wider margins ... for third world governments and Kenya - faced even wider margins ... for third world governments interest rates drained their ability to do so. In 1972, interest payments - lending, by governments in non-oil developing nations; by 1988, they dearth, and depletion. The rate of interest is our spectre at their fast.

Present value of profit per year at 10% discount rate	136.36 123.96 112.69 102.45 93.13 84.66 69.96 63.61 57.82 57.82 57.82 39.49 35.91	1,140.79)
Present value of profit per year at 5% discount rate	142.85 136.05 129.57 123.40 117.52 111.93 106.59 101.52 96.68 92.07 87.69 83.51 79.54 77.57 79.54	1,556.82
Profit per year	150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150	2,250
Year	t1 t2 t3 t4 t4 t4 t10 t11 t11 t13 t13 t14 t15 t15 t15 t16 t17 t17 t17 t17 t17 t17 t17 t17 t17 t17	Column

# DISCOUNTED CASH-FLOW ANALYSIS

High Yield Farming Method (yields £150 cash-flow per annum for fifteen years after which land is desertified)

Column Totals	and periods to to	t14 115	t13	=======================================	t10	<del>1</del> 9	8	t7	ත් 	<b>ස්</b>	47	ದ	75	<b>=</b>	Year
8	100	100	100	80	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	Profit per year
2,000	amounts decreasing towards zero	50.50 48.10	53.03	58.46	61.39	64.46	67.68	71.06	74.62	78.35	82.27	86.38	90.70	95.24	Present value of profit per year at 5% discount rate
1,000	amounts decreasing towards zero	26.33 23.94	28.96	35.04	38.55	42,40	46.65	51.31	56.45	62.09	68.30	75.13	82.64	90.90	Present value of profit per year at 10% discount rate

DISCOUNTED CASH-FLOW ANALYSIS for Low Yield Farming Method (yields £100 cash-flow per annum indefinitely)

Despite the recommendation of the previous analysis, one might be excused for believing that the bankrupting of land for the sake of increased short term returns does not make intuitive sense. An immortal goose that lays one golden egg per week should not have its immortality traded in for the sake of two golden eggs per week in the meantime. It appears however that some natural assets can be sacrificed at the behest of discounted cash-flow analysis. Whether such sacrifice takes the form of desertification, the extinction of a species or the immensely long-lived pollution of a nuclear power plant, the principle remains the same. Compound interest values the distant consequences of current actions at next to nothing. The problems come home to roost for a future generation that has no say in their creation.

In Time Discounting and Value (1994), Colin Price insists that the type of 'uniform negative exponential' discounting practised above is almost always incorrect as a valuation technique in a physical world which provides a wealth of non-exponential functions: We are prepared to go along with discounting, because abandoning it would give our own interests less importance than we would like; it threatens too uncomfortable and too uncompromising a departure from our present shameful indifference to the distant future.

Yet, even where the distant future is not concerned, discounting can still display its encouragement for questionable consumption patterns in the present. As an example, let us use discounting in a second analysis. Here we aim to help an individual value two different holiday plans, between which he must choose. The first involves taking no holidays for the first ten years, and the enjoyment of one holiday per year every year thereafter for twenty years. The second involves taking one holiday per year for each of the first ten years and no holidays thereafter. The individual knows that each holiday currently costs one thousand pounds, and he assumes that prices will remain the same throughout the period in real terms.

The analysis for this example is shown in the table below. Those years in which no holiday is taken are shown as a zero value. The time period of the analysis extends to year thirty, and discounting is undertaken at a rate of 10% per year for each flow of holidays.

The choice indicated by discounting is to select the flow that constitutes 'holidays first' since this has the highest present value (\$6,141) in preference to the flow that constitutes 'holidays later' (present value £3,282). Whether such a pattern of consumption is one that would be preferred in practice is a question of much relevance to all those who contemplate the sacrifice of current individuals would really prefer ten holidays soon to twenty holidays of the same quality later? An individual with a high risk of death early in life might prefer to take what holidays he could as soon as possible. But for many would not the rational choice be to take a not give us a choice here. It is irrelevant whether the individual's pleasure for the sake of later reward. Given the choice, how many greater number of holidays later? Unfortunately, discounting does The point is that if the individual uses the technique of discounting, whatever his assumptions, his choice will be guided by a mathematical process that is severely biased towards the short assumptions on prices, inflation and life-span are correct or not. term.

104

The current debate on global warming provides us with the ultimate environmental example of discounting's bias toward the short term. It is widely agreed among climatologists that emissions of gases such as carbon dioxide and methane are now causing changes in the Earth's atmospheric system that will increase average global temperature in the longer term. The mechanism is often referred to as the 'greenhouse effect' since the Earth's atmosphere acts in much the same manner as the glass of a greenhouse, allowing in the short wave radiation of the Sun but trapping the long wave radiation reflected by the Earth. Due to

		_	
Present value of each holiday using 10% discount rate	(3)	909 826 751 683 620 564 513 466 424 385 0	6,141
Holidays taken during first ten years and none thereafter	(3)	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10,000
Present value of each holiday using 10% discount rate	(£)	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 350 318 289 various discounted amounts	3,282
No holidays taken during first ten years and one holiday per year thereafter until year 30	(£)	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20,000
Year		t1 t2 t3 t4 t4 t5 t6 t7 t10 t11 t11 t12 t13 and every period to t30	Column Totals

#### DISCOUNTING ANALYSIS FOR TWO FLOWS OF HOLIDAYS

increasing emissions of 'greenhouse gases', the proposition is that greater amounts of reflected outward bound radiation will be trapped within the Earth's atmosphere than is presently the case, causing a general warming of the Earth. Furthermore, the occurrence of warming is substantially lagged from initial causation, a process that takes a number of decades to complete;

Annual output of greenhouse gases in 1990 stood at approximately six gigatonnes (billion tonnes) of 'carbon dioxide equivalent', so called because this figure also accounts for the effects of non-carbon dioxide emissions. The current atmospheric stock of carbon comprises 0.353% of atmospheric weight, having increased from 0.285% in pre-industrial times. On current trends, the atmospheric carbon equivalent will double over pre-industrial levels by the year 2025

The central official estimate of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) is that a doubling of carbon equivalent levels will cause a rise in average global temperature of two and a half degrees Celsius over pre-industrial levels by 2025. However, due to thermal lags, the process of global warming will not stop there. In fact, the current commitment to increases in global average temperature arising from additions to carbon equivalents already present in the atmosphere is centrally estimated at almost six degrees Celsius by 2100 and ten degrees Celsius by 2275.

One aspect of the global warming debate concerns itself with the advisability of taking pre-emptive action in order to prevent the phenomenon from occurring in the first place. In other words, is it worth spending money today, on clean air technology for example, in order to limit the output of greenhouse gases and hence combat global warming? In order to answer this kind of question, a discounting analysis could be carried out in which the estimated costs of global warming in years to come are present-valued and compared to the amount of current investment aimed

at eliminating them. In fact, just such an exercise has been undertaken.

The International Institute for Economics believes that an aggressive attempt to avoid the occurrence of global warming would cost approximately 2.5% of world GDP per year into the foreseeable future. But their research shows that, if a discount rate of 3% per year or more is used in a cost-benefit analysis stretching over the period to 2275 then, unless global warming is very severe, it is simply not worth bothering to take any action to prevent it.

The Institute's researchers recognise the difficulties inherent in discounting over the long term. Eventually, they settle upon 1.5% per year as an 'appropriate' discount rate for use in their central case estimates. Unsurprisingly, with a lower discount rate, it now becomes worth taking pre-emptive action in order to avoid global warming in many of the scenarios that they analyse. The level of the discount rate is therefore crucial in determining the results of the Institute's analysis. So how is it arrived at?

Some academics argue that a <u>market interest rate is</u> an unsuitable choice for use as a discount rate, particularly where very long term analysis is undertaken. The derivation of the 'social rate of time preference' (SRTP) provides an example of the tortuous theoretical route that can be followed in finding an alternative discount rate.

The SRTP is a rate which, in a 'steady state economy', describes the extra amount of *future* consumption that could be achieved by foregoing a given amount of consumption *today* and investing that foregone amount instead. In other words the SRTP is related to the 'marginal productivity of capital' in this steady state economy.

In a 'steady state economy', each generation would allow its successors the opportunity to enjoy an unchanged standard of

living. For this to happen, one generation must neither consume too much thereby sacrificing investment and hence the future capital stock, nor invest too much thereby requiring future generations to sacrifice consumption in order to maintain the stock of capital that they inherit. Importantly, the ratio of capital to labour must remain unchanged between generations under this approach. For example, if one generation found that there were fewer hospital beds per head of population then they might argue that their standard of living had decreased.

Proceeding from such reasoning, academics have proposed an SRITP of some 2.5% although, where a steady state is not a practical proposition, an SRTP as low as 0.5% has been identified.

But does it matter what value theorists give to the SRTP? After all, industry borrows money at the market rate of interest, not the SRTP, and it is industry whose activities are primarily responsible for the greenhouse effect. If the money that an industrialist uses to finance a production process attracts interest at 10%, why should the industrialist choose which investment to make by using a discount rate equal to the SRTP?

In any event, even low discount rates can encourage undesirable outcomes of the varieties discussed earlier. It might therefore seem that there is a problem with discounting itself, not just the level of discount rate to be employed therein. The concerns become more marked when one finds that the discount rate needs to be massaged downwards, and the massage justified with complex arguments, in order for the results of the discounting process to make sense.

Some theorists argue that applying discounting to a very long term analysis pushes to an extreme a theory which was intended only for short term analysis. Yet what is the long term, other than a series of short terms? And is our thirty year period with two different flows of holiday really 'too long' for discounting? After

all, financial market specialists seem happy to use discounting when valuing thirty year government bonds.

William Cline in *The Economics of Global Warming* (1992) reminds us that:

... the principle reason for discounting future consumption is that in the future people may have higher incomes than today, and a lower marginal utility of consumption...if one is pessimistic about future per capita income growth, there is an intuitive case for not discounting consumption at all.

On inter-generational discounting, Cline quotes Mishan in Cost Benefit Analysis: An Informal Introduction (1975):

... Person A would therefore have no business in evaluating the future worth of 100 by discounting it for 50 years at 10 percent when he himself is not, in any case, going to receive it ... Whenever inter-generational comparisons are involved it is as well to recognize that there is no satisfactory way of determining social worth at different points in time. In such cases a zero rate of time preference, though arbitrary, is probably more acceptable than the use today of existing individuals' rate of time preference or of a rate of interest that would arise in a market solely for consumption loans.

## Colin Price comments once more:

where every person's income grew exponentially at a constant rate, where tastes were constant across time and where elasticity of marginal utility of income was constant across income, where the abundance of all goods and services could be confidently predicted, in every likely circumstance, to grow in proportion to the increasing demand for them, in such a world one could safely discount marginal units of consumption at a single discount rate ... The list of symptoms does not provide a recognisable portrait of the real world. And yet the prescription is the one routinely handed out.

Appropriating 'tomorrow's value today' may form the philosophical underpinning to Lord Hanson's commercial activities, but we thank the likes of Sir Christopher Wren for allowing us to enjoy the values of yesterday today. With Hanson's attitude in the ascendancy, there will be fewer St. Paul's Cathedrals, fewer grand infrastructure projects for future

generations to enjoy. For those who base their financing decisions on discounting, it simply isn't worth creating value for the long term future.

## Justifications for Interest

It is surely a part of every decent philosophy that man should do his best to maintain the Earth for succeeding generations. But since our valuation of the rights of future generations is tied so very closely to the practice of discounting, and thus in practical terms to the institution of interest, no discussion on a society's duty to its offspring can be isolated from an examination of its position on interest.

The questioning of interest on theoretical grounds is not a recent development. Plato regarded it as a means whereby the rich could exploit the poor, and Aristotle believed that money was to be 'used in exchange and not to increase at interest'. In the End of Economics (1991), 'Umar Vadillo tells us that among the Romans, Seneca and Cicero argued vehemently against usury; and among the early Christians, Nysennas, Augustinus and Acquinas were similarly opposed.

Prohibitions on usury appear in the *Torah* and the *Bible*. However, many Jews later interpreted the prohibition on usury as applying only to loans made between Jew and Jew, not between Jew and

to act like a money lender; you must not exact interest from him. Revised English Bible, Exodus 22:25

If you advance money to any poor man amongst my people, you are not

You are not to exact interest on anything you lend to a fellow countryman, whether money or food or anything else on which interest can be charged.

Revised English Bible Deuteronomy 23: 19-20

At the Council of Nicea in 325 AD, despite compromising on many of its key principles under pressure from King Constantine, the Church's representatives refused to waver on the topic of usury. Usury among the clergy was banned. Over the centuries that followed, there developed an increasingly bitter struggle between the Church and the merchants of Europe on the subject of usury.

A variety of legal devices were invented to circumvent the Church ban on interest. Chown describes one of the later inventions, the contractus trinius. The investor would simultaneously enter into three contracts with an entrepreneur; to invest money as a sleeping partner; to insure himself against any loss; and to sell any profits over and above a given level back to the entrepreneur in return for a fixed amount of money per year.

All three [contracts], taken separately, bypass the usury provisions, but the overall effect is simply a loan for interest.

Chown: A History of Money (1994)

When confronted with the seemingly unstoppable spread of interest-based practices in the world of business, the Church eventually compromised on principle and withdrew its outright opposition. In 1545, English law allowed the charging of interest up to a-maximum defined rate, although any amount in excess of this was regarded as being usurious. Soon, the pro-interest lobby began to find its intellectual champions in the unlikeliest of places:

The Christian reformers, both Luther and Zwingli, condemned usury, but the reformer Jean Calvin was the first to raise his voice in favour of usury; one century later his disciple Claude Saumairc, in his book "Concerning Usury" (1638) argued that the taking of interest was necessary to achieve salvation.

'Umar Vadillo: The End of Economics (1991)

Scholars of Islam have always condemned interest.

O you who believe, fear God and give up what remains of your claims of usury if you are truly believers. If you do not, then take notice of war from God and His apostle.

Qur'an 2 : 278

Munitor : Hade - I to child

But even here, some say that practice has diverged from theory:

The Qur'an ... prohibits the charging of interest, although various methods have been devised in order to circumvent the prohibition. For instance, a higher price may be charged for goods when payment is deferred than is charged if payment is made in advance or upon delivery.

Encyclopaedia Britannica 1996

Nowadays, injunctions against usury from religious quarters are frequently seen as little more than an embarrassing appendage of backwardness, motivated perhaps by simple-minded distaste for the money-lenders of old. Often, the religious arguments seem unscientific and weak when placed before the articulate economists of the pro-interest camp.

In contrast, a variety of seemingly scientific and well-reasoned arguments have been deployed in the effort first to justify, then to explain the level of, interest rates. In arguments of justification, expected inflation, positive time preference, anticipated risk and diminishing marginal utility feature prominently. These justifications all propose the superiority of the present over the future, and thereafter that interest exists to compensate those who give up money now in return for money later. Meanwhile, in arguments of explanation, various theories propose that interest is the price of money as determined by supply and demand in the marketplace for funds. Keynes argued that money is the asset that is most readily exchangeable into all other forms of asset, in other words that it is the most *liquid* asset. He proposed that a variety of factors determine the price, in other words the rate of interest, that must be paid in order to obtain *liquidity*.

For the purpose of later discussions, it is important that some of the above arguments are investigated in a little more detail.

The idea that an element of interest is somehow justified as a compensation for the effect of inflation is a most common one. The lender of money thus includes a certain amount of interest, commensurate with expected inflation, in order to maintain the

ones savings from inflation is quite understandable and is not criticised here. However, the inflation argument does not attempt to explain the existence of rates of interest in excess of expected inflation. For the time being I shall focus on the 'real' rate of inflation. For the time being I shall focus on the 'real' rate of inflation inflation. For the time being I shall focus on the 'real' rate of inflation. It is being the amount by which a quoted interest rate for inflation for a given period. In subsequent discussions I shall question those arguments that assume inflation to be causative of interest and argue that, in fact, the opposite is often true.

A second, frequent, argument is that since money allows its holder to satisfy wants, rational individuals will prefer money now to money later. Here, we see one manifestation of positive time preference, the supposed human preference for having pleasurable experiences sooner and painful experiences later. Yet it is quite apparent that in some cases individuals actually prefer pleasure in the future to pleasure today. Even an older individual would prefer one breakfast per day, rather than a remaining lifetime's worth of breakfasts today. In the physical sense, the preference for money. If it is true that an individual may not prefer current consumption to future consumption, the question arises as to why he should necessarily prefer money, that can finance such consumption, now as opposed to later.

An element of any given interest rate is usually held to act as a compensation for risk. Of course, risk can present itself in many forms. For instance, a lender may believe that he will not be alive to enjoy repayment. It is therefore quite natural to suppose that an older person will require far more reward for delaying consumption than a younger person. Here is a risk that applies to the future status of the lender of funds, not to the future status of the borrower, though financial markets focus for the most part on the latter type of risk.

W N'R

It is a well established investment principle to discriminate between borrowers by lending to those of lower risk at a lower rate of interest. The more uncertain the future repayment, the higher the returns demanded in compensation for the risk incurred. It may therefore seem quite sensible for a lender of funds to distinguish between different levels of risk by charging extra amount of interest, an 'interest premium' in consideration of higher risk loans.

However, as Price points out, the incorporation of a fixed interest premium into any loan implies an unchanging level of risk over the time horizon under consideration. It is far from clear that this is justified. For instance, over time, a given borrower may become established in the marketplace, and therefore less risky. Interest may exist as a compensation for risk, but whether the risk premium debatable.

A discussion of risk cannot be complete without paying at least some attention to the existence of the 'risk-free-rate of interest'. If it is clear that an amount of physical wealth—cannot—often be maintained without cost, it seems clearer still that such wealth can never be maintained without some degree of risk. Any number of events can act to reduce or eradicate the value of physical assets. Gold can be stolen from the safest of safe-deposits, fire may destroy a barn and disease may wreck a crop. Though these risks may be small, they still exist. Nothing in the physical world is risk-free. Indeed, the most that a financier in a barter economy can do is to minimise the risks involved in holding his wealth. He cannot eradicate them. It might therefore be argued that the expected net rate of return derived from a securely stored physical asset will usually be negative due to the various costs incurred in storing and, or, maintaining-it. One might term this rate the risk-minimised rate of return

government, and the interest amount charged, is assumed to be a financial asset that is free of risk. An amount of money lent to a In contrast, modern financial theory holds that the risk-free rate  $\phi f$ interest is a rate-of return that an investor derives from investing in above mentioned options are in fact nothing more than means of must not ignore the fact that the government has no access three options are indeed available to a modern government, but one borrow or print further amounts of money to repay its debt. These risk-free rate. With a few aid related exceptions, the risk-free rate given rate of interest and is a risk-free borrower, lenders will financial economy. Given that government is prepared to pay a risk-free interest rate does however have a pervasive effect upon the physical system eventually reasserts itself. The very existence of a risk-free rates of return when investing the borrowed money. The risk-free because it is in turn assumed that a government can tak, authorities often with little regard to returns available in the real loan funding. It is a rate that, as we shall see, is determined by the becomes the lowest interest rate at which borrowers may obtain inevitably have little appetite for lending at rates that are below this passing on the bill to others when the fact of a non-risk-free

An alternative, and widely applauded, justification for interest begins by proposing that the 'marginal utility' of consumption is declining, in other words that the next unit of consumption is of less use value to a consumer than the previous one. If one assumes that real incomes grow over time, then future consumption should be greater than current consumption. And if it is true that marginal utility is declining, then an extra unit of consumption in the present. Hence, interest arises as a result of the comparison between present and future utilities.

An immediate response to the marginal utility argument is that incomes may not increase in future periods and therefore that marginal utility will not necessarily be lower in future periods.

Discounting future utility would, under these circumstances, be assume that the record of recent history determines that our future quite inappropriate. Indeed there have been long episodes of human experience in which real income has declined. We cannot simply will remain the same. Furthermore, even where statistics show that environment is one of them. Who is to say that an extra unit of real income is increasing, there are many kinds of utility that cannot necessarily be enjoyed simply by spending money. An unpolluted clean environment in the future will be of less utility to a consumer than an extra unit of clean environment today? In fact, one could argue that the opposite will be the case.

the marginal utility approach relies upon a proper identification of which incomes one is to analyse when calculating growth of indome. Should one look at the incomes of the poor, the rich or the national average? As Price points out in Time, Discounting and  $\mathrm{E}\psi$ en if the assumption of continued growth in real income is valid,

Th⊌s a social discount rate based on mean income growth rate overemphasises income growth of the affluent, and underemphasises the changing importance of consumption by the poor. Where the rich-are getling richer and the poor poorer, the social discount rate will be much too high; it may even have the wrong sign.

So much for arguments that seek to justify interest. Of those arguments that seek to explain the level of interest rates in a modern economy, we should be aware of two of the most common views interest as the 'price' of money. This approach portrays money as an item that can be bought and sold like other goods or services. The rate of interest is then that which equates the supply approaches before proceeding. Of these, the simplest is that which of savings with the demand for borrowing.

According to the  $\it UK$   $\it Budget$   $\it Red$   $\it Book$  of 1990 . ... inherest rates are the price of money and credit. Changing the price is the best method of influencing the degree of monetary tightness.

in Chapter Two this view is refuted in detail. Here, we shall only pause to remind ourselves of the importance of the entropy principle. It should be asked why, if money is indeed 'sold', it must then be returned to the seller at the end of the sale transaction. Of course, money is not so much sold as lent and interest cannot therefore be the price of money. Rather, most will regard interest as a 'rent' on money. Now, if interest is a rental charge, then money is usage, and rental payments on money do not relate to the utility invested profitably. In contrast, a rented physical item, the ox of the earlier example perhaps, wears out with usage and provides a unlike any other rented item since it does not depreciate through gained by the borrower since money borrowed might not be snown utility in the meantime. Keynes proposed three reasons that individuals might prefer to hold These were the need to transact, the need to hold money as a precaution for unforeseen events, and the opportunity to speculate that money gives to the one that holds it. Keynes proposed that when a loan of money is made, liquidity is lost and that an element of interest will therefore be charged by the lender for foregoing the advantages of that liquidity. These ideas were encapsulated in the 'liquidity preference theory', a short run model for determining the rate of interest according to the supply of money in the economy their assets in the form of money, the ultimate form of liquidity. and the demand to hold it.

In Time Discounting and Value, Colin Price questions the various liquidity arguments:

Nor is it clear, in a time preference sense, that anyone would be better off eventually for having liquidity now, if taking advantage of that liquidity (for transactions, precautionary or speculative motives) meant sacrificing early loss of liquidity. It is of course advantageous to be able to acquire esources at the most propitious time - the time of lowest price, or the equal liquidity next year. Indeed it could be arqued that early liquidity simply offers early opportunities to commit funds - that is to establish

time when their quality meets some particular requirement. But exercising this advantage early denies it in future periods. The liquidity premium element in rates of return does not represent the betterness of earliness.

Irrespective of the level of interest rates, or the justification for their existence, there remain distinctions between the conventions of the money lender and the reality of the activity that he seeks to finance. For instance, revenues realised on a project financed with borrowed money will usually vary in both amount and timing during the life of the loan. But if profits are not received on a regular and predictable basis, how can the borrower have the opportunity to reinvest those profits in the regular manner assumed by the compounding process? Even if clockwork reliability to cash-flows is attainable, in assuming reinvestment of profits at a fixed rate of return, the financial mathematics assumes that there is no such thing as diminishing marginal returns on capital employed. Compound fixed interest simplifies real world processes by assuming that, throughout the life of a loan, the rate of return on those real world processes remains unchanged.

Whilst flows of cash can be reinvested, a flow of utility, the enjoyment of a hot shower for example, cannot be. If at any stage one wishes to enjoy part or all of the output of a productive process, that output must be enjoyed as a flow. Compound interest assumes the full reinvestment of yields and inevitably implies that, on the physical level, consumption of those yields cannot occur. This, as Soddy put it, is a process that forever sees bulb issuing in bulb, never in flower. What precisely is the point of such a process from the human perspective?

It was suggested earlier that compounding of interest does not accommodate a physical world in which capacity constraints exist and that, in order to meet the high real rates of interest occasionally thrust upon it, enterprise tends to increase the rate of resource extraction by boosting the productivity of physical capital. It might be argued that, in the twentieth century, advances

in technology have presented this solution to developed societies on a plate, but technological advance may only delay the day of reckoning with mathematical inevitability. However long this delay proves to be, and it may be a very long delay indeed, our earlier argument foretells the dire consequences that productivity increases can have upon the entropic Earth-bound system. Polluted rivers, festering rubbish tips and resource-depleted seas may be just the first instalment of the price that is paid for entering into a race with compound interest.

The conventional theorists have argued on many occasions that society's ability to widen the selection and quality of available goods for consumption defeats all attempts at reductio ad absurdum examples with loaves of bread. Bread is not the only item that man can consume and it is not the only item that he can produce. We may be unable to produce 7 x 10<sup>42</sup> loaves of bread with which to repay a loan in the year 1995, but we can produce cars, hi-fi's and spacecraft. How many loaves of bread equal just one of these products of new technology? Infinite amounts, if one happens to be living in the year 22 AD.

Unfortunately, such an argument, being one that highlights 'product substitution' over time, does not address the central issue in the loaves of bread example. For 'loaves of bread' read 'physical resource'. It really does not matter whether we are addressing loaves of bread or spacecraft. The point is that, by determining production in the physical world according to the mathematics of interest, progressively greater increases in entropy over time are encouraged. Yes, one might equate the energy consumed in producing a given number of loaves of bread, but we are not concerned with the use to which energy is put here. The fact is that as energy consumption increases, Earth-borne entropy also tends to increase.

In setting course for a more appealing future than the one anticipated so far, we will first need to complete our description of the deficiencies of the present. In the meantime, if the arguments employed so far draw criticism, then it will most likely be on the grounds that they rely too heavily on physical reductionism. Analogy with the physical world has indeed been frequent in this section, and for this I make no apology. Finance is the servant of industry and real world processes, not their master. The theory of our financial conventions must address this fact and, unless corrected by reasoned argument, I shall continue to believe that we survive by virtue of processes in the physical world.

Herman Daly, in an excellent review of Soddy's work, comments: If debt and money are the units of measure by which we account for and keep track of the production and distribution of physical wealth, then surely the units of measure and the reality being measured cannot be governed by different laws ... If [physical] wealth cannot grow at compound interest, then debt should not either.

H. Þaly in G. B. Kaufman: Frederick Soddy 1877-1956 (1986)

When industrialists and environmentalists complain about the attitudes of bankers, as they often do, could it be that they too are motivated by a conflict between finance and the reality of the world in which they operate? Or does their motivation arise from a simple-minded distaste for the activities of the money-lender? We have already seen that modern financing theory can exert a subtle influence upon the nature of economic activity. The discussion now focuses upon the structure of the financial system itself.

### CHAPTER TWO

# THE PRODUCTION OF MONEY

In this chapter, two forms of money are identified. One is the money produced by the modern state in the form of notes and coins, the other is the money that is produced by commercial banks. The technique of fractional reserve banking, whereby banks create money, is examined and the history of its development described. Banking is seen as an industry and money as its product. The consequences of allowing private firms the authority to manufacture money and lend it at interest is analysed.

### From Gold to Receipts

To begin, the reader is reminded that money can take more than one form. At various times and in various places, the function of money has been fulfilled by items such as salt, printed paper and gold, to name a few. Although money defies any specific definition, it can generally be regarded as that which is readily accepted in exchange for goods and services. On this basis, both a five pound note and a guaranteed cheque written in the amount of five pounds can be exchanged for five pounds worth of goods or services, and both are therefore forms of money. One difference between these two forms of money is that it is the state that produces the banknotes whilst it is a commercial bank that provides us with a cheque-book and guarantee card. The distinction between 'state money' and 'bank money' will be of vital importance to the following discussion.

The roots of modern banking in England can be traced back at least as far as the mid-seventeenth century, in particular to the activities of the goldsmith. The goldsmith would take deposits from customers in the form of precious metal coins, predominantly gold coins, since these constituted state money at that time. These early banks were little more than safe-keeping houses that had secure vaults in which to keep their own valuables and, for a fee, the gold coins of depositors. Upon taking a deposit, the goldsmith would issue a 'bearer receipt' to the depositor confirming the amount of the deposit. The depositor, or any individual bearing the receipt, could then present it to the goldsmith at a later date and claim back the sum deposited.

As time passed, the goldsmiths realised that their customers preferred to leave most of their state money on deposit most of the time. State money in the bank was regarded as being safer from theft than state money under ones bed and, in aggregate, the small amount of state money that was withdrawn from a bank by customers on any one day was generally replenished by fresh deposits of state money from other customers on that same day.

For their part, the goldsmiths' customers soon found that the receipts issued to them would be accepted by merchants as payment for goods and services. The goldsmiths' receipts had become bank money. Hence, instead of going to withdraw their gold coins from the goldsmith, depositors would simply pass over the required amount of bearer receipts to a merchant in payment. The merchant in turn would be able to claim back the underlying gold coins from the goldsmith but more usually would choose to use the receipt itself in payment for his own purchases. In this manner, receipts would stay in circulation for some time.

It was not too great an inspiration for the goldsmiths to realise that if their receipts stayed in circulation for long periods of time then the gold in their vaults would remain largely idle. The most obvious use for these idle reserves of gold was to lend them to

those of good standing who wished to borrow. However, great care would have to be taken by the goldsmiths not to lend too much of their gold coin reserves. Sufficient quantities would have to be maintained by them in order to meet even abnormally large requests for redemption of receipts. The proportion of coins thus kept in reserve against receipts issued came to be known as the 'cash reserve ratio', and because the net amount of receipts redeemed on any one day was usually small, only a small cash reserve ratio was required for a bank to operate safely under most circumstances.

Of course, if it did happen that heavy withdrawals occurred at short notice, then the bank would have to close its doors. The crisis of confidence caused by the failure of one bank to meet its redemption obligations would naturally lead to a crisis of confidence in other banking institutions. In this manner there occurred numerous 'bank runs', in particular during the early nineteenth century. Otherwise, confidence in receipts would continue so long as the public believed that the goldsmith would exchange them for gold whenever the bearer requested him to do so. Aware that confidence was the key to their success, many goldsmiths devoted their efforts to persuading the public that the new banking institutions were 'prudent' and 'safe'. These soon became catchwords among the banking profession.

In time, the subject of how large or small a reserve was required for safe operation became one of fierce debate. Some argued in favour of a 100%-reserve on the basis that if bankers had issued £100 of receipts promising redemption on demand, then they should keep £100 of gold in the vault to honour this promise should they be required to. Others foresaw the hugely lucrative possibilities of holding a lower reserve ratio, perhaps as little as 20% or 30% as a proportion of receipts issued. They cited the tendency of most depositors to leave most of their gold with the bankers most of the time as a justification of the safety of their approach.

we car momey by the general public, it would suffice for these receipts to the probability of pr As the process of lending was contemplated, it became apparent to bankers that there was in fact no need to lend the physical gold by receipts were equally regarded as so cheaply. For a truly imprudent banker it would be possible to advantage for the bankers since they could manufacture their paper rechipts at almost no cost, whilst gold itself could not be produced With £100 of gold coins in his vault and a 20% reserve ratio, a banker could take the risk if he so dared of issuing £500 of receipts in total. The depositors of the coins would receive receipts to the value of £100, and a further £400 of receipts could then be use the entirety of ones gold reserves as a ratio for receipts issued. manufactured to lend at interest. In the meantime the banker would attempt to make agreements, primarily with other bankers, to borrow borrow extra reserves of gold should there be heavy withdrawals from his own bank.

The 'fractional reserve' banking system described above relied asked, did the banker not print receipts and spend them on his own consumption if it is in fact true that he had the power to manufacture money? The answer is that the act of spending his own receipts would lose the banker ownership of those receipts. It would then be certain that, at some future time, the receipts would return to his institution for redemption in gold - gold which never existed in the first instance. By lending the receipts instead, the banker could charge interest on the amount lent. Upon repayment,

the receipts could be destroyed as easily as they had been manufactured, but the interest charge would remain as revenue.

A problem soon arose in the operation of this, the most profitable business idea of all time. The bankers charged interest on money that only they could create. How then could borrowers hope to repay loans of this manufactured money plus the interest charges? Imagine that, initially, the total amount of state money in existence is £100. If bankers now create £400 of bank money there will be a total money supply of £500. Let us further imagine that the £400 of bank money is loaned for three years at 10% interest per year, and that an amount of £532.40 will therefore be due for repayment. Now, if the total money supply at the beginning of the loan period is only £500, where will the extra £32.40 come from?

The required new amount of money could only come from two sources. Either the bankers would have to expand the supply of bank money, in other words lend yet more, or the state would have to increase the supply of state money. This simple fact would have enormous repercussions for the economy as the practice of fractional reserve banking spread. In the long run, money supply and debt would increase despite all attempts at control. Some individuals petitioned the state to legislate against the bankers, arguing that whilst most people had to work to earn money, the bankers could simply print it. But the state had other ideas.

### The Bank of England

Naturally, the bankers would not wish to suffer loan losses. This kind of event would have the same ultimate effect as an expenditure of bank money by the banker himself, in other words a loss of ownership of the manufactured money. It therefore became common for bankers to avoid profit-sharing investments and to focus instead on interest-based loans supported by collateral. The collateral would act as a cushion to protect the

banker's loan in the event of failure of the borrower's business. In this case, the banker would be able to seize and sell the collateral in order to reclaim full or part repayment of the loan amount.

These criteria for extending loans naturally biased the lending of manufactured money towards the rich. After all, the rich were the ones with the most wealth to offer as collateral. Those without collateral, the poor, though possessing potentially profitable business ideas might not so easily attract the required funding. Thus it was that the government itself, having the right to raise tax and therefore being the most secure of all borrowers, would become the prime focus for the lending activities of the bankers.

In 1694, King William III of England was persuaded to invite loan offers from private individuals as a means of providing funds with which to wage war against France. Interest at 8% would be paid on the borrowings, financed through a variety of taxes, predominantly upon beer and ale. Repayment of the principal amount would also be made from the revenues thereby generated. On condition of £1,200,000 being advanced within a certain period of time, the individuals lending these funds would be granted the right, by Act of Parliament, to establish a company called *The Governor and the Company of the Bank of England*. The new Bank would be allowed to take deposits of coinage in return for the issue of bearer receipts. The receipts, known first as promissory notes and later as amount of state money specified on the face of the note.

In evidence of amounts lent to the government by the Bank, the former would issue the latter with government bonds, these known at the time as 'stocks' or 'funds'. (In this context, a bond is simply a tradable document that displays the terms of a loan between the borrower of money, the *issuer* of the bond, and the one who has purchased that bond, the *bondholder*). Usually the Bank would sell these bonds on to smaller investors, more or less immediately, at a profit margin. By avoiding ownership of the bonds for anything

but a short period of time, the Bank would minimise the risk of incurring a loss should the market price of those bonds unexpectedly fall.

The government increasingly came to fund part of its expenditure by borrowing money from the Bank of England. Fortunately, the Bank was in a position to aid the government in its times of need. It was privileged by an Act of Parliament with the right to manufacture promissory notes which were increasingly being used as money. The Bank was therefore able to meet the government's borrowing requirement by printing more promissory notes. Thus money supply and government debt grew together. The aggregate of the outstanding bonds and other borrowings, the 'national debt' as it became known, grew from £16,394,702 in 1701, to £52,092,235 in 1727 and £257,213,043 in 1784. By 1810, it had reached £811,898,082. The state's outstanding debts were beginning to spiral out of control.

Of course, the Bank could not simply print promissory notes without maintaining a sufficient level of gold coin reserves. Over time, it therefore issued smaller denominations of promissory note with which it could attract gold coin deposits from among the less wealthy merchants. Though no notes under £20 were issued until 1755, the outbreak of the Seven Years War in 1756 gave rise to a substantial borrowing requirement on the part of state. The Bank of England now issued notes in the denomination of £10. By the time France had declared war upon England in 1793, notes in denominations as small as £5 were being issued in ever more desperate attempts to cull gold from depositors. In this manner the Bank maintained what it saw as a prudent reserve ratio whilst the lending of paper money to both the state and private borrowers expanded.

The insufficiency of reserves to meet large scale simultaneous demands for redemption of its promissory notes was one consequence of the Bank of England's money manufacturing

activities. Thus it was in <u>February 1797</u>, that the Bank was permitted by an Act of Parliament to cease the <u>redemption</u> of promissory notes in gold. Following this 'Suspension of <u>Payments'</u>, paper money issue increased with the production of £2 and £1 notes. In a letter to the King in 1805, the Earl of Liverpool commented:

When the situation of the Bank of England was under the consideration of the two Houses of Parliament in the year 1797, it was my opinion, and that of many others, that the extent to which the paper currency [system] had been carried was the first and principal, though not the sole cause, of the many difficulties to which that corporate body was then, and had of late years, from time to time been exposed in supplying the cash necessary for the commerce of the Kingdom.

Payments in gold at the Bank of England were restored gradually in the years following 1816, but writers such as William Cobbett argued that this remedy did not address the dangers of a 'paper money' system at all. In Paper Against Gold (1828) Cobbett argued against the moneyed interests in the City. He talks of national debt and inflation as children of the paper money system, and introduces us to the monetary conditions of his time:

We see the country abounding with paper money; we see every man's hand full of it; we frequently talk of it as a strange thing, and a great evil; but never do we inquire into the cause of it. There are few of you who cannot remember the time when there was scarcely ever seen a bank note among Tradesmen and Farmers ... If you look back, and take a little time to think, you will trace the gradual increase of paper money and the like decrease of gold and silver money...

Though Britain's dominant position in international trade and increases in global gold production may have boosted the amount of gold coins in circulation, the banking system continued to encounter crises even after the period of the Suspension had ended. Hugh Thomas in An Unfinished History of the World reminds us that:

There was a great diversity of practice and many banks crashed eighty-nine between 1814 and 1817 alone, though many such were small indeed, with under fifteen shareholders.

In <u>December 182</u>5, six London banks and sixty-one country banks (those operating outside London) ceased—payment—in gold. In *A History of Money* (1994), Chown quotes Clapham:

The public was clamouring ... for money, Bank [of England] notes or gold. Neither notes nor sovereigns could be made fast enough ... By the evening of Saturday the seventeenth, the Bank [of England] had run out of £5 and £10 notes. However a supply came from the printers on the Sunday morning.

The 1825 crisis exemplifies the type of problem that can be encountered when there is a 'shortage of reserves' in the banking system. On this occasion, many customers of country banks were demanding redemption of their country bank promissory notes, and would accept only gold coins or Bank of England promissory notes in return. Many country banks would in turn approach the Bank of England promissory notes so as to borrow or withdraw Bank of England promissory notes so as to satisfy their own depositors' demands. The Bank of England duly obliged, lending freely so as to provide the necessary cash to the country banks and others. However, the demand for liquidity was so great that the mint had to work flat out in order to turn gold bullion into coinage, whilst the printers printed promissory notes on behalf of the Bank.

So the system wavered between banking crisis and reserve shortages on the one hand, and the profitable operation of fractional reserve banking on the other.

# From Receipts to Cheques

The authorities eventually acted to counter the dangers posed to the health of an economy in which numerous private issuers of paper money were active. Under Peel's 1844 Bank Charter Act, the right to issue most kinds of note was restricted to the Bank of England. It was envisaged that this reform would cure the banking system of its previous ills but new banking technology, in the form of the cheque and account statement system, would soon

render the legislation ineffective. At the time of Peel's Act, the country banks had not adopted cheques as a means of clearing payments to any great extent. However, they moved quickly to do so as their right to print notes was removed. Once more, public confidence was essential to the successful operation of the new system. Where once the public felt sure of its ability to convert receipts into gold, it had now to be persuaded that the figures printed on account statements could be withdrawn as state money if

Let us examine the cheque and account system as if there were but a single bank operating in the economy. Imagine that this monopolistic bank has several customers of which A and B are two. Both A and B start with a zero balance on their current accounts. Customer A now gives customer B a cheque for £100 in payment for goods, and customer B deposits this cheque with the bank. The banker credits account B with £100 and debits account A with the same amount. B is now in credit and A in overdraft to the amount of £100 and the goods have been paid for. The amount of new bank money in existence is the £100 that forms the total of customer B's account.

Two simple conclusions can now be drawn. Firstly, that one-group of bank customers <u>must always</u> be in debt to an amount that equals the existing supply of bank money. Secondly, that if A now repays his overdraft by depositing a cheque of £100 drawn on Customer B, then the bank money transferred from B to A simply vanishes. Bank money stands in complete contrast to state money. Metal coins and paper notes are never destroyed in the act of repaying a loan.

Confusion on the above matters can arise because the banking system is seen merely as an intermediary between depositors of money and borrowers of money, profiting only from the margin (or 'interest spread') between interest rates paid to savers and interest rates charged to borrowers. But if the entire banking

y bant a creator & money.

system is viewed as one very large bank, then it has the potential to act not only as an intermediary between saver and borrower, but also as a <u>creator of money</u>. It is however <u>unjustified to-single</u> out commercial banks in this analysis since deposit-taking institutions in general, building societies for instance, play their own part in the money creation process. Though the issues raised in the following discussions relate to deposit-taking institutions in general, we will continue to focus on the commercial banking system only.

The following analysis is similar to that given by most standard economic texts on the subject of the 'deposit multiplier'. Imagine an economy in which there are three Banks, A, B and C. Each operates a 20% reserve ratio. Initially there are £100 of modern state money (notes and coins) in circulation. Initially, none of this state money is deposited with the commercial banks. Now, if the holder(s) of the state money, deposit all the notes and coins with Bank A, that bank's balance sheet will read:

LIABILITIES deposits	cash
£100	£100

To meet its reserve requirement, Bank A decides to keep £20 of the deposited sum and lend the remaining £80. Having done so, Bank A's balance sheet appears thus:

LIABILITIES deposits	ASSETS cash loan
£100	£20 £80

The borrower of the £80 now spends this sum and the individual receiving it deposits it with his bank, Bank B. Bank B maintains a 20% reserve ratio, and therefore lends £64 of the £80 deposited with it. Its balance sheet is then:

ASSETS
cash
loans
E16
LIABILITIES
deposits
E80

The borrower of the £64 spends it in turn and the individual receiving the £64 deposits it with his bank, Bank C. Bank C's balance sheet now reads:

ASSETS
cash
cash
LIABILITIES
deposits
£64

In this example, the amount of deposits outstanding in banks A, B and C is now £244. This is the total money supply in this simple economy since it represents the amount of money that can be used and re-depositing funds that is seen above, referred to as 'multiple the total amount of deposits in the banking system reaches £500. This amount is given by the quantity of state money initially deposited, multiplied by the inverse of the reserve ratio expansion began, in other words before the intermediation of the

banks in the financial system, the money supply stood at £100, which was entirely comprised of state money.

To repeat, this monetary expansion has occurred because the banks have reasoned that all of the deposits made by depositors will not be withdrawn on one day. If this reasoning is proved incorrect then each of the banks may collapse. If the reasoning is correct, and the maximum amount of deposits withdrawn in the form of state money on any one day does not exceed reserves, then there will be no collapse on that day. This type of banking system is especially unstable in times of crisis if large numbers of depositors wish to withdraw state money. Realising this, modern banks, like the goldsmiths of old, also make arrangements to source new reserves of state money in the event of high withdrawals.

However, in the modern financial system it is the central bank that stands ready to lend an unlimited amount of state money to the commercial banks should it ever be required. This 'lender of last resort' function, essential to the stability of the modern economy, prevents the recurrence of bank runs as long as sufficient amounts of state money are quickly made available when required.

# 'Liquidity' and the Banking System

Sour The lender of last resort function carried out by the central bank is only one line of defence, the last line by definition, against a collapse in the banking system. We shall briefly review the other avenues that are available to the modern banker for sourcing those reserves of state money which are required from time to time.

In the event that reserves at any one bank branch are severely depleted, the bank in question may of course transfer surplus reserves from other branches. Often, commercial banks make the withdrawal of large amounts of state money by customers subject

to at least one day's notice. This requirement provides the bank with sufficient time to acquire the necessary\_reserves, if not from their own branches then from other sources as described below.

Instead of withdrawing state money from a bank in order to buy goods or services, customers may prefer to pay by cheque or debit card, for example. Where payment is effected in this manner, and where it takes place between two customers of the same bank, that bank merely alters the balances on the two customers' accounts as described in our earlier example. Otherwise, where payment is made to a customer banking with a different bank, then the 'paying bank' must transfer reserves of state money to the 'payee bank'.

For the purpose of making transfers of state money reserves to other banks, each commercial bank holds an account with the central bank. The balances in these accounts are termed 'operational deposits' (and are distinct from 'non-operational deposits' which cannot be withdrawn and which are called for by the central bank from time to time in order to effect monetary policy of one description or other). State money held in operational accounts can be transferred to the central bank accounts of other commercial banks. This is the normal method for settling the net amount of cheque payments between banks due on any one business day, a process for which a central 'clearing house' is responsible. The balance on an operational account can also be withdrawn by a bank in the form of state money, for which purpose the central bank operates regional storage centres where state money is held and disbursed as required.

Since payments made between commercial banks tend to cancel one another, in the same manner that gold coin withdrawals and deposits cancelled one another in our example of the early goldsmith, commercial banks only need to maintain a small balance on their operational account at the central bank. However, in the event that a bank has insufficient reserves of state money in

its operational account to meet payments due, it will be necessary to source extra reserves or arrange to go into overdraft. The sourcing of reserves may be carried out in several ways.

Reserves may be obtained by borrowing from other banks on the wholesale interbank money market (sometimes known as the 'parallel market' since it operates alongside the 'discount market' to be described shortly). A bank with surplus reserves may in this way lend its surplus to obtain interest on otherwise idle funds and the borrowing bank may simultaneously alleviate its reserve shortage. Such markets are a feature of every developed economy.

As a second option, commercial banks in the UK may obtain new amounts of state money by approaching the discount market. In order to understand this process, a brief digression is in order.

The discount market is separate to the wholesale interbank money market and the institutions at its centre are termed 'discount houses'. The term 'discount' arises from the principal activity of institutions which dend money by buying short term bills at a discount to face value. For example, a three month government bill is a document in which the government promises to pay, to the holder of the document, three months after the issue date, the face value written on the bill. A buyer may pay 95% of that face value to own this three month bill on the day of issue and will therefore have discounted the bill by 5% (or, more precisely, discounted it at an annualised rate of 20%).

Financial market operators closely monitor the level of the discount, the so-called 'discount rate' (which should not be confused with the discount rate that was discussed in Chapter One), because it may act as a signal of trends in the level of short term interest rates throughout the economy. It should be noted that a discount rate is not the same as an interest rate. If one pays £95 for a bill that repays £100 in three months time, the amount of the

discount is 5% (£5 divided by £100) but the amount of interest earned is 5.26% (£5 divided by £95).

The central bank influences the discount rate by withdrawing state money from, or injecting it into, the discount market at appropriate times. Injection of state money may be carried out by buying 'eligible bills' from the discount houses, or by lending money to them directly. On the other hand, when the central bank sells eligible bills to the discount market, state money is received in return and is thereby withdrawn from the market. (Eligible bills are simply government bills, or the bills of major companies, so-called 'commercial bills', that the Bank of England is prepared to purchase at a discount).

The discount houses may lend to, or borrow from, both the commercial banks and the central bank. With state money borrowed from the commercial banks, the discount houses are able to buy government bills, in other words lend to the government. With state money borrowed from the central bank, the discount from them. The understanding in the discount market is that the central bank will always lend what is required of it to the discount houses, and that the discount houses will always lend to the arrangements can be seen to countenance the possibility that the arrangements can be seen to countenance the possibility that the subsequently borrows back.

Most of the loans advanced to the discount market by the commercial banks are available for immediate or very short notice withdrawal should the commercial banks wish, being 'money at money, commercial banks will often withdraw these deposits as a first resort. If such amounts, and others, prove insufficient, then the commercial banks may become outright borrowers from the discount market. Borrowing by the commercial banks may

then force the discount houses themselves to borrow from the central bank. As far as a commercial bank is concerned, money withdrawn or borrowed from the discount market will be placed in its central bank account and hence alleviate any shortage of reserves.

Because the central bank agrees to lend the discount market whatever amount of state money is required of it, the central bank cannot necessarily control the amount of state money supply in existence. State money supply is therefore said to be determined 'endogenously'. Given that the central bank guarantees to provide extra reserves in this manner should the commercial banks require them, a safety net is effectively provided that enables fractional reserve banking to be practised with impunity.

Commercial banks may also bolster their reserves by selling their investment holdings or by issuing new bonds and shares of their own for sale to investors. However, the clearest sign of a liquidity shortage, as far as the public are concerned, arises when commercial banks attempt to improve their reserve ratios by calling in 'overdrafts and other loan facilities."

# The Manipulation of Money

seig = value - cost

Fiat money', another term for state money, is money whose value in exchange for goods and services exists by fiat, in other words by order, of the state. The excess of value in exchange over and above the cost of production of such money is termed 'seignorage'. Thus by producing £100 of notes, perhaps at a cost of £0.01, the state has created fiat money with a purchasing power of £100 and seignorage of £99.99. The greater the cost of production of fiat money, the lower the seignorage. Thus 'commodity money', gold for example, has a lower seignorage than paper money (assuming that the cost of making gold coins exceeds the cost of printed paper). Common to both these forms of

whether that value be by fiat or otherwise. money is a face value that is exchanged for goods and services,

seller, amounting to the value of the goods or services acquired by An IOU could stand in evidence of a debt owed by a buyer to a In many ways, modern fiat money bears a similarity to an 'IOU'. by the buyer when the time comes for repayment, the seller will that buyer. Where the seller has faith that the IOU will be honoured accept the IOU and complete the transaction. The issuer of this other members to repay at a later date. In a modern day members of the community have given up in favour of promises by to as society's 'virtual wealth' and it equals the wealth that some sellers to the buyers. This is the amount of wealth that Soddy refers would represent the aggregate amount of credit extended by the the only medium of exchange, the total value of IOU's outstanding production of that IOU. In a primitive economy where IOU's were IOU acquires goods and services of a value that exceeds the cost of a central bank and are generally accepted in exchange for goods and services, whilst an IOU drawn up by a private individual is not made is that these pieces of paper are printed under the authority of paper of little or no intrinsic value. The only real distinction to be goods-for-cash transaction, the seller receives a similar piece of

almost inevitably bears interest as a condition for its existence. not dependent on a loan transaction. It simply exists. However, Paper money does not bear interest in order to exist. Its existence is does not have to be borrowed in order to exist. It simply exists. interest charge arise. Gold does not bear interest in order to exist. It Nowhere in the issue of either an IOU or state money need an bank money cannot be created other than by a loan, and therefore

based on an interest-yielding money supply. This does not mean return. It simply means that there should not be a cost, a rent or The first proposal in this work is for a monetary system that is not that money should not be invested in the hope of some kind of

> subsequent usage of that money. any other charge extracted by the issuer of money Ö.

In 1927, Frank Knight wrote that, In the abstract it is absurd and monstrous for society to pay the commercial banking system interest for multiplying severalfold the quantity of the medium of exchange when a) a public agency could do it all at negligible cost, b) there is no sense in having it done at all, since notably the frightful instability of the whole economic system the effect is merely to raise the price level, and c) important evils result,

of a 100% reserve banking system an end to interest-bearing money with the necessary implementation Debt' (1926) predate similar ideas proposed by Irving Fisher in 1935 and Henry Simons in 1948. All have argued in their thme for the commercial banking system in 'Wealth, Virtual Wealth and Knight was commenting on the work of Soddy, whose critichsms of

wrote in 1813: Among numerous contributions on the subject, Thomas Jefferson

statesmen, carrying her rapidly to bankruptcy, as it did France, as it did us .... Private fortunes, in the present state of our circulation, are at the floods of nominal money with which their avarice deluges us. mercy of those self-created money lenders, and are prostrated by the [in England], and is now, by a depreciation acknowledged by her own The unlimited emission of bank paper has banished all specie

money manufacture has increased dramatically as governments mercy' of greedy money manufacturers. But in fact, the scale of thought that society would no longer allow itself to be Almost two centuries after Jefferson's comments, one might have throughout the world have embraced fractional reserve banking wholeheartedly. at the

wishes to repay debt or borrow money, but instead because there market operations' are often undertaken not because the state financial instruments on the open market. These so-called 'open We have seen that central banks from time to time buy or sell

appears to be too much (usually) or too little (less often) money in circulation in the economy.

'Monetisation' is an example of an open market operation in which supply When the state pays off old debt by issuing new amounts of state money, that debt is said to have been 'monetised'. In due supply by first selling bonds to the banks and then spending the money that it has raised thereby. The money that the state has the central bank indirectly encourages an increase in bank money course new amounts of interest-bearing bank money may be created as the banking system uses the extra state money as a reserve. Alternatively, the state may encourage an increase in bank money borrowed from the banking system will of course end up as a The state is therefore acting as a credit-worthy borrower upon which fractional reserve banking can be practised. But instead of deposit in that same banking system as soon as the state spends it. encouraging an increase in the supply of bank money in this way, Knight feminds us that the state could have manufactured the same amount of money interest-free.

When the central bank employs open market operations in an attempt to reduce the amount of state money in circulation, it may sell bonds or bills to the banking sector but <u>not proceed to spend</u> the funds so raised. Thus, the amount of state money in circulation contracts, and hence the growth of bank money supply is restricted. But if this really is the intended result, it is one that is <u>short-lived</u>. Because government debt pays interest, the state must ultimately repay more state money to the commercial banks than was borrowed in the first place.

### Mishkin|comments:

In many countries this [money creation by the state] is a straightforward operation because the treasury has the legal right to issue currency with which it can pay for government spending. In the United States, this process is somewhat more complicated, because the Treasury does not have the legal right to issue currency to pay for goods and services, but

can only issue securities. Financing government spending with money creation then takes on a more circuitous route in which the Treasury sells bonds to the public which are then purchased by the Federal Reserve ... This last method of financing government spending is frequently called printing money because high powered money (the monetary base) is created in the process. It is also referred to as monetizing the debt, because as the two step process described indicates, government debt issued to finance government spending has been removed from the hands of the public and has been replaced by high-powered money.

F. S. Mishkin: The Economics of Money Banking and Financial Markets (1992)

Customers of commercial banks, both those in debit and those in credit, will find their account balances increasing over time-due-to the application of an interest rate. One of the greatest economic fallacies of our time is that raising the interest rate reduces money interest supply growth and hence restrains inflation. In fact, raising the interest rate only encourages those credit and debit balances to interest rate only encourages those redit and debit balances to increase faster. Hence, in the long run, both money supply and the level of debt in an economy expand. This is not a background against which inflation can easily be restrained.

Whatever the course of monetary policy, a serious conflict eventually arises. Bank money supply cannot grow for ever if reserve ratios have a lower limit and the supply of state money remains fixed. But as we have seen, in the application of interest, bank money supply is forced into a continual expansion. The manner in which this conflict is resolved determines the subsequent performance of the economy. The commercial banks ultimately have two options. They must eventually act to increase their reserve ratios, either by calling in Joans or by sourcing new reserves from the state. As we have seen, the former approach destroys money as fast as Joans are repaid and thus results in a vicious recessionary cycle. The latter approach allows a continued expansion of money supply. In practice, given the economic and political consequences of monetary contraction, governments have

usually acquiesced by expanding the reserves of state money available to the banking system. Here, in brief, we see the principal cause of endemic inflation in the developed world.

world? Some statistics would be instructive but first we must and bank money for example, so too do different measures of recognise that just as different types of money exist, state money But at what rate does money supply actually expand in the real of bank account or financial instrument, economists often disagree money supply. Since each different measure includes different kinds of notes and coins in circulation outside the central bank plus the course of monetary policy. For example,  $M_0$  describes the amount upon the correct choice of measure to follow in monitoring the items, giving a very wide measure of money supply in the UK building societies, certain money market instruments and other amount of various time deposits whilst M4 includes deposits at current account deposits in the banking system.  $\mathbf{M}_2$  adds to  $\mathbf{M}_1$  the measure of money supply and equals Mo plus the net amount of is a 'narrow' measure of money supply. M1 meanwhile is a 'wider' operational deposits of the commercial banks at the central bank. It

The important point about these various measures of money supply, for the purpose of our discussion, is that they allow us to see how much money the state has created and by how much this amount has been inflated by the activities of the commercial banks and other deposit-taking institutions.

Between June 1982 and June 1992 in the UK, M<sub>0</sub> grew from £11,994 million to £18,934 million. In other words the state created an extra £6,940 million of notes and coins during this period. Governments are often berated for this reckless printing of money. However the following statistics show quite clearly where most of the 'printing' is done. In the same period, 1982 to 1992, M<sub>2</sub> grew by £183,077 million, from £98,490 million to £281,567 million. This is the scale of money manufacture in a modern

economy. It may be convenient for some to refer to the state as being in control of the money printing presses, which indeed it is. However, private firms manufacture by far the greater proportion of the money that is used by firms and individuals in their daily transactions.

changes in money supply will lead to changes in the level of prices an effect upon an economy, the relationship that exists between condition of the economic system. of an extra amount of money brings into existence an extra unit of run. The question is simply whether the manufacture and spending changes in money supply can influence real variables in the long other words that money is 'neutral', and those who feel that in the long run with no effect upon real variables such as output, in The main argument is between those economists who feel that Keynesian and Monetarist thought is one that is quoted frequently. money, output and prices, is nevertheless one of fierce debate. In that changes in the amount of money supply do have some kind of matters in the first instance. Although economists generally agree It may be asked why the level of money supply, and variation in it, viewpoints, though where exactly is dependent upon the initial this regard, the difference in approach between adherents of probably the case that the truth lies somewhere between these two production or simply an increase in price of existing units. It is

The 'neo-classical' contention holds that money supply is a major determinant of the general price level in an economy. Few would doubt that it is an increase in money supply that has contributed to a massive inflation over recent years in Russia. Falling prices have, conversely, been related to a contraction of United States money supply during the Great Depression of the 1930's. The simplest mathematical description of the proposed relationship between money supply and price appears in Fisher's Quantity Theory of Money (1911).

The theory states that:

MV=PT

where:

 $M = \text{money supply} \\ V = \text{velocity of circulation}$ 

P = average general price level over period

T = number of transactions during period

The formula is regarded as a truism since the amount of money in circulation multiplied by the number of times it is used must equal the average price level multiplied the number of transactions made at that price level. What makes the theory useful, according to its proponents, is that V and T are assumed to be stable over short time periods. Under one further assumption, namely that causation runs from M to P, changes in P can then be managed by controlling M.

If it is fair to say that changes in money supply do affect the general price level, then an examination of both state and private issuance of money becomes of vital importance to any economic analysis. Many writers have agreed that the power to issue money is a dangerous weapon when placed in the wrong hands. Friedrich Hayek, in 'Monetary Theory and the Trade Cycle' writes: so long as we make use of bank credit as a means of furthering economic development, we shall have to put up with the resulting trade

John Plender writing in the Financial Times, 23 July 1994, comments:

The human and financial resources that central banks pour into supervising commercial banks appear to deliver precious little. Despite all the effort, the English speaking economies have experienced one banking crisis per economic cycle since the mid-1970's, running from the property crash in 1974, to the Third World debt disaster in 1982, to the property and junk bond fiasco of the late 1980's, and the simultaneous billion Dollar bill.

Friedman, in contrast, attempts to show that current arrangements need undergo no basic restructuring, since under his proposals the commercial bank creation of credit could-be-controlled with resort to various tools of monetary policy. We have already seen that such procedures can be highly unreliable and, if successful at all, only at great cost to economic activity. In 'Free to Choose', Friedman argues that the state should rigidly adhere to a policy that allowed still further in 'Monetary Policy: Tactics versus Strategy', arguing This growth would accommodate the requirements of increased economic activity as population and trade expanded. Friedman goes that the state should freeze the monetary base once and for all and simultaneously abolish bank reserve requirements. This would prevent the authorities from expanding narrow money supply but allow the banking system to expand wider monetary aggregates in would actually strengthen the ability of the private sector to change growth of between 3% and 5% per annum in the monetary base. line with demand. Through our previous reasoning, this policy money supply arbitrarily as dictated by the profit motive.

In The Role of Money (1933), Frederick Soddy sees the sinister side:

By allowing private mints to spring up, Parliament has fundamentally and perhaps irretrievably betrayed democracy. Before the [First World] War it was customary even in the works of apparently respectable economists to find absolutely dishonest hair-splitting distinctions between the invisible money so created and paper notes. The latter were really money and the former was not! In fact the reader can always tell in such standard works on the subject when he is approaching the fishy part of the business. The essential fact, the creation of new money, becomes obscured in a cloud of anticipatory justification and special pleading.

#### The UK Experience

It has been argued on many occasions that, with proper management by the state, the private issuance of money can be

employed to achieve regulation have been abandoned by the regulated so as to have few ill effects upon economic conditions The reality is sadly different. Over the years, many of the devices central bank to influence commercial bank lending policy) and authorities in charge of monetary policy. The 'corset' (applied to vogue when found wanting. one time or other been in vogue and subsequently slipped out of special deposit schemes are just some of the devices that have at limit the growth of bank deposits), 'directives' (issued by the

commercial banks were to hold an amount equal to only 0.5% of regime. The new monetary arrangements came into effect on In the UK during 1981, the previously existing reserve ratio of held in the form of liquid deposits, mainly with the discount market addition, an average of 6% of eligible liabilities were required to be outstanding under categories specified by the Bank of England. In speaking the total amount of Sterling deposits that it has deposits at the central bank. A bank's eligible liabilities are broadly 20 August of that year with little public fanfare for such a 12.5% for banks was phased out in favour of a much less stringent their 'eligible liabilities' in reserve in the form of non-operational far-reaching development. As a result of the change in policy,

expanding money supply appears in the Bank of England A key statement with regard to the role of the banking system in

Quarterly Bulletin for September 1981: The abolition of the reserve asset ratio will provide the banking system banking system's lending to the private and overseas sectors is with the achievement of the monetary target only if the growth of the improvement in the liquidity of the banking system would be consistent of prudent banking) to meet temporary drains. But in the longer term an with somewhat more flexibility to draw on liquidity (subject to the needs

Godley, in his 1993 economic forecast for the UK, comments on 'Restrained' how? Not by self discipline it would seem. Wynne

62

the aftermath of the Bank of England's relaxation of controls: ... previous recoveries were initiated by the removal of credit controls which caused a spurt\_in\_lending for expenditure on durables and housing. This cannot happen now because there are no credit controls to the Lawson boom. institutions have been severely weakened by bad debts incurred during remove, and because the balance sheets of banks and other lending

prices. In this manner, the man in the street has been educated to goods and services and ultimately reducing the upward pressure on consumers are willing to borrow, thereby lowering demand for interest rates, reason some economists, reduce the amount that bank creation of money. That weapon is interest rate policy. Higher economies are now content to rely upon a single weapon to combat But the man in the street has been misled. believe that in order to control inflation, interest rates must rise. Without formal controls, many authorities in the developed int ball it

on the money that passes through their hands. As we have seen, this more money in total; the other is to increase the size of the spread which is to manufacture money and lend it at interest. There are argument camouflages the raison d'être of commercial banking, on the money that they lend, in other words by charging a spread Deposit-taking institutions are often said to make a profit by paying then two methods of increasing profit in this business: one is to lend lower interest rates on the money that they borrow than is charged supply may continue even when interest rates are high influencing either of these two methods. Expansion of bank money The actual level of interest rates may at times be immaterial in

and worry about loan repayments later. It is not obvious that many individuals there is an overwhelming desire to enjoy the item on the money that they borrow is a few percent higher or lower. For If people want to borrow money in order to buy a consumer item, where those rates become truly penal. But attempts to halt a they are often quite unconcerned whether the interest rate charged higher interest rates stop individuals borrowing money, except

how? put it begrowing I

monetary expansion by imposing penal rates of interest on the economy can severely handicap or destroy businesses that would otherwise provide employment in the future.

When unemployment and business bankruptcy rates increase it is hardly surprising that the level of demand for consumer and investment goods collapses. The natural reaction of any business to such circumstances is then to reduce profit margins and thus to subdue upward pressure on prices. 'So the remedy works!' cry the monetarists. 'Yes', reply the critics, '... but at what cost?'

One result of a long term addiction to the interest rate medicine is an unnecessarily volatile business environment in which long term planning is difficult and confidence becomes weak. In this climate, investment programmes suffer and hence product quality declines. When the recovery comes, domestic products may not so easily compete with the quality or price of foreign counterparts. In the Financial Times during February 1993, Christian Tyler describes the views of Robert Bischof, one of 100 managers chosen by Chancellor Kohl to revitalise east German state industry:

... (Bischof) knows only too well how easy it easy for a foreign company entering the boom-and-bust British market to cut the native opposition to shreds. He has done it himself. Bischof agrees with Germany's President, Richard von Weizsacker, that it is not people but the system that defermines the success or failure of an economy. "Under communism you could work yourself to death and get nowhere. That is very true in Britain, too... These ups and downs are destroying the social fabric - resources, capital, everything" ... For example, he says Anthony Barber and Nigel Lawson, the Tory Chancellors responsible for the two big artificial booms of the post-war period, should be awarded the Iron Cross for services to German exports.

In the *Sunday Times*, 22 May 1988, Brian Reading criticises one-dimensional assumptions about the relationship between the level of interest rates and the growth of money supply.

Any such national government which endeavours to make money tight by making it expensive, secures precisely the opposite result. Higher

money becoming scarce it becomes plentiful. ... High interest rates cause money supply growth to accelerate and are inflationary. Low rates make money scarce and are deflationary. ... British money supply growth has not been slowed by keeping interest rates high. On the contrary as Tim Congdon of Shearson Lehman points out "To conclude hat monetary policy has been tightened since December is fantastic. Is t really necessary to point out that the first quarter of 1988 saw the fastest credit growth ever?" Bank and building society lending rose nearly 70% over the last twelve months. Mortgages have never been speculative purposes, while enhancing the supply for productive investment. Industry could buy more of the best machines with which to interest rates cause capital to flow in from abroad and instead of dear But making money so cheap would work wonders in making it scarce, so more readily available, and, as a result, the property market - particularly outside London - continues to boom. ... Courage is required to bring down rates at a stroke to a level at which they can hardly go lower. ... Sharply lower interest rates would curb the growth of credit for ending the excess growth in credit which is now fuelling inflation. beat its competitors. Reading contends that the growth in the wider UK monetary aggregates during the 1980's reflected the financing of a spectacular property boom that peaked in the latter part of the decade. One might argue that since the price of a home is the largest item of expenditure incurred by most households in the UK, it should be included when calculating the headline inflation statistics. Not so. The conventional argument against the inclusion of house prices is that the cost of financing a home, in other words the interest charge on a mortgage, more accurately reflects the cost of housing for a private household. If this is the case, then we have a perfect example of the manner in which higher interest rates increase inflation.

Anticipating the impact of higher interest rates upon the cost of living for those households with a mortgage, UK Chancellor of the Exchequer Nigel Lawson argued in 1988 that even mortgage interest payments should be excluded from the inflation figures. This statistical change would have produced inflation figures that totally ignored both the cost of purchase, and the cost of financing the purchase, of private residential property. The pressure to

massage downwards the inflation figures on the part of this Chancellor was undeniable since he himself regarded inflation as the 'judge and jury' of his economic policy. Lawson's proposals have now been adopted in the United Kingdom in the form of an additional set of monthly inflation statistics, and the old set of measures have for the time being been retained. Nevertheless, the UK consumer is still presented with a variety of inflation statistics that do not reflect changes in residential property prices.

The collateralised approach to bank lending found rich pickings in the UK property boom during the 1980's. Homeowners in particular were encouraged to 'unlock the equity' in their homes by taking out personal loans secured on their property. One could not ask for a clearer example of the vicious circle with which creation of money stimulates asset prices and thereby encourages further creation of money. The essential problem for the government of the time was that the new money thereby 'unlocked' was often used by borrowers to finance consumption of consumer goods. Of course the prices of consumer goods are accounted for in headline inflation and cannot be excused away as easily as mortgage interest costs. As a result of the new consumer demand, not only consumer prices but imports too began to rise substantially.

By the Summer of 1988, a dose of the interest rate medicine became unavoidable. Pleading against this course of action, George Hodgson, Chief Economist at Citicorp Scrimgeour Vickers, wrote in *The Times* on 11 July 1988:

Indeed one of the long term solutions to the trade account problem must be to improve the supply side of the economy. Such an improvement could well be on the way with signs of a major surge in companies' capital expenditure. If there is one sure way of jeopardising this, it is to raise interest rates aggressively this Summer.

Hodgson's view went unheeded amidst the clamour to restrain money supply growth by raising interest rates. By the end of 1988 base lending rates were 4.5% higher than a year earlier. The

monetarists argued that more 'expensive' money would restrain money supply growth, and hence inflation, but M<sub>4</sub> rudely expanded by almost 19% in the twelve months to January 1990. The monetarists argued that higher interest rates would strengthen Sterling, making import prices lower and hence restraining inflation still further, but the currency dropped in value on the eighteen months to April 1990. As for headline inflation itself, it increased from 4.6% in June 1988 to 10.9% in September 1990.

The monetarists would argue that their policy prescriptions take time to have any effect. This is undoubtedly so and, undoubtedly too, severe recessions do restrain inflation temporarily. However, I shall argue shortly that the interest rate medicine would be quite unnecessary were the defects in our monetary structure to be rectified. Even where the structure remains as it is, the chain of causation specified by the monetarists in reducing inflation is wide open to debate. The Bank of England's own analysis confirms at least one of our earlier propositions. Brian Reading, in the *Sunday Times* of 27 May 1990, writes of the Bank of England's own analysis:

Indeed, the Bank's calculations show that, unless Sterling meanwhile rises, a one point rise in interest rates leaves the retail price index 0.2% higher two years later and unchanged after three years. ... Higher interest rates increase inflation in the long run through the damage they do to the supply side of the economy, without unambiguously reducing inflation in the short term.

· W

In the same article, Reading argues that higher interest rates increase the attraction of a currency for foreign investors, causing inflows of 'hot money'. If higher interest rates are to reduce money supply growth, it is the borrower who must restrain his borrowing: It is only when interest rates rise so high that we can't afford to borrow any more that credit growth slows down.

Will Hutton in The State We're In (1994) comments:

... as the evidence mounted that success in lowering inflation had little to do with monetary targets, the government persisted in believing that the cause of low inflation was monetary stability. In fact, the chain of causation runs from economic strength ... to price stability - but the government, fixated on financial variables and free market economics, believed that the chain ran the opposite way.

Barry Riley in the Financial Times, August 1993, reasons thus: Here is the puzzle, that while the Germans struggle to control monetary

growth through high interest rates, low US rates have coincided with exceptional monetary weakness. The answer lies in time lags. The short term donsequence of high interest rates is a rise in deposits, until the economy slumps and loan demand collapses. The short term consequence of low interest rates is a flight of savings from the banks, vigorowsly under the influence of cheap money and the banks and thus a monetary contraction, until eventually the economy expands in numbers.

As the above quotes show, some basic monetary ideas are being challenged. But overall, one might be forgiven for thinking that confusion abounds. Does increasing the interest rate reduce inflation or increase it? Why does money supply sometimes expand and at other times contract despite similar monetary policies? Is economic strength or economic weakness a cure for inflation? Only those who understand that modern money is created for the sake of profit can know the true answer to these questions.

The authorities avoid the necessary lobotomy of the existing monetary structure and adopt instead interest rate manipulation as the medicine of first recourse. In 1990 John Major as UK Chancellor of the Exchequer justified a high interest rate policy by saying that 'if it isn't hurting it isn't working'. These are words that one might expect of a quack. If such a blunt instrument works at all, it does so primarily through the mechanism of recession and bankruptcy.

It may seem rather curious that despite a repetitive cycle of monetary inspired inflation and deflation, the authorities in the Simpler and more effective than existing monetary tools, and far less destructive of jobs and business, would be the implementation of a 100% reserve ratio for the banking community as advocated by Irving Fisher in 100% Money (1935). In an introduction to the interest-based economies do not tackle the problem at its root. book, Fisher describes how the total money supply of the USA in 1929 was \$27 billion, of which \$23 billion was comprised of bank of which \$15 billion was bank money. The commercial banks had money. By 1933, total money supply had contracted to \$20 billion, destroyed \$8 billion of their money in the process of calling in their loans, whilst the state had issued a further \$1 billion of its own currency. Resources were abundant, workers were available to work and society required work to be carried out. But without sufficient money to facilitate business transactions, millions of workers remained idle. With Fisher's solution in place, these events need never have happened. It is therefore worth examining his proposal in a more detail.

#### The 100% Solution

The 100% reserve system advocated by Fisher contrasts with the current fashion for reserve ratios in modern banking systems that are far closer to 0% than 100%. The crucial conclusion of Fisher's book is that private firms should have their right to manufacture money removed and that the state, under constitutional regulation, should take over this function in its entirety. From this moment on, only the state would have the power to create money. The assumption in all of the above is, of course, that the state is a better guardian of money supply than private enterprise.

Fisher proposed not only to confine the production of money to the state, but also to define the circumstances under which the state might alter money supply. Alterations would only arise if

demanded by economic activity. Fisher's measure of changes in economic activity was simply based on the change in an index of general price levels in the economy over any one period. Depending upon such changes, a currency commission would be empowered to issue or retire necessary amounts of state money. Where economic activity increased, due perhaps to population growth or technological innovation, Fisher believed that existing amounts of money supply would be insufficient to enable the required number of transactions to be completed and that the general price index would therefore tend to fall. The currency commission might then sanction an issue of new money. A contraction of money supply would be indicated under opposite circumstances but, in both cases, the general price level would be used as the yardstick by which the need for money supply alteration was measured.

account against which a commercial bank would hold 100% account would take the form of a non-interest-bearing current In the banking structure that emerges from Fisher's discussion, naturally charge the depositor a fee for the various services loan. If current account funds cannot be used for such purposes, simultaneously be invested in a business, government or personal funds are available for immediate withdrawal, they cannot withdrawal. This type of account would exist on the premise that if there would be two basic forms of bank account. One the period for which the money was loaned by depositor until the end of an agreed term. This term would be an investment account would be unavailable for withdrawal by the would take the form of an investment account. Money deposited in provided in relation to the account. The second type of account they most certainly cannot be earning interest. A bank would bank as based on its costs in intermediating the savings and loan loaned, a return of some kind would be due to depositor. Again, the bank may itself take a commission or fee These funds would be available for immediate an intermediary. Since the money

transaction, and could compete with other banks on price and service.

examine what precisely would constitute money in this banking available to support their own purchasing activities. As far as the system. Since the investment accounts would not be subject to Having established these two basic types of account we may now existence would merely signify the total of loans of existing money rather difficult to justify. The total of all investment accounts in be loaned to borrowers and it would be those borrowers for whom immediate withdrawal by the depositors, their money would not be purchasing power to their holders, and would therefore have to be current accounts on the other hand would provide immediate made in the economy and could not be counted as new morey. The investment accounts in a measure of money supply would seem that money provided purchasing power. Therefore to include holders of such accounts would be concerned, their money would included as a measure of money supply. Since 100% reserves possibility for the banking system to create new amounts of bank would be held against the current accounts, there would be no

Under present arrangements, it is clear that the above distinction between currently available deposits and locked in 'term' deposits is highly blurred. This is one reason for the conventional debate as to what actually constitutes money supply. Under the prevailing monetary system it becomes possible to include a single customer's term deposit of state money, as well as subsequent deposits generated from that first deposit, whether they be current or term, in the wider measures of money supply. In contrast, where state money on its own forms the money supply, multiple counting would be impossible. Fisher writes in 100% Money that:

... in the end, the only marked difference between the deposits under the new and old system would be that the bank loans (and investments) would tend to correspond with time deposits instead of with checking deposits, as now. This might seem, from a bookkeeper's point of view, a very slight difference. But the difference would be fundamental, because

the time deposits, not being subject to check, would not be a part of our medium of exchange actually used for circulating goods.

Commenting further, he notes that:

Under the 100% system the time deposits would absorb savings and expand loans correspondingly just as now. But the demand deposits would operate differently. That is, any money deposited in a checking account would stay there and not be lent out. The circulating medium would not be expanded by such deposits but would merely be redistributed. Loans would go up with savings but the circulating medium would not go up with either ... banks would make loans just like anybody else, either out of their own savings or out of somebody else's, precisely as the parliest lending banks did before they were perverted by somebody's "bright idea" to lend other people's money while still letting these other people think they had that money to use as money.

Fisher is clearly of the opinion that where constitutional guarantees exist, the state will manage changes in money supply with greater responsibility than profit motivated private firms. Traditional instruments of monetary policy would become unnecessary and defunct. Where today the state hardly knows what money supply is, let alone how to control it, the Fisher approach clearly identifies money, and places it under the control of the state.

In order to eliminate the practice of fractional reserve banking, Fisher proposes that the state would first enact legislation requiring the clear separation of currently available deposits from term investment accounts as detailed above. 100% reserves would then be applied, again by legislation, to current accounts. Given that bank money supply will exceed state money supply before the change-over, sufficient amounts of state money would be printed well in advance of the restructuring so as to enable the commercial banks to achieve the required cash ratios.

As to the manner in which the newly manufactured state money would actually find its way to the commercial banks, Fisher suggests a neat solution. The state would simply buy back

outstanding government debt paying with the newly created money (in other words the debt would be monetised). In the same way that the national debt was first created through the manufacture of bank money, it would now be repaid through the manufacture of state money. The national debt would disappear at almost no cost. The newly created state money would be paid into either the current accounts or the locked-in investment accounts of those who had sold their government bonds, and the newly established 100% reserve system would begin to operate.

10	70	20	
cash	private debt	government debt	
bank assets:			

98

current deposits term deposits

Bank liabilities:

# BEFORE THE FISHER RESTRUCTURING

30	30
cash private debt	current deposits term deposits
Bank assets:	Bank liabilities :

## AFTER THE FISHER RESTRUCTURING

Fisher's proposals might face fierce criticism from the economists of the banking lobby who like to criticise governments for inflating the money supply. But the proposals are sound. No bank depositor would lose any part of any deposit that he held before the restructuring process began. Holders of government debt would receive the fair market price for their holdings. Most importantly, there would be no inflationary implications to this endeavour, since the newly created state money would simply replace amounts of bank money that already existed before the restructuring. There would be no expansion in money supply as a result, only a change in its composition.

In/Fisher's monetisation we find a solution that unwinds the errors of the past, with beneficial consequences for the future performance of the economy. Jefferson, Franklin, Cobbett, Knight, Soddy, Tobin, Simons, Hayek and a host of other notable economists have all argued in this same direction. Can all of them be so wrong?

#### CHAPTER THREE

## THE CURRENCY GAME

A review of the modern foreign currency market is given, focusing upon the means whereby central banks seek to control it. The impact upon economic activity of these various attempts at control is described, with reference to the international gold standard era and the Bretton Woods agreement. Precious metals are proposed as being a better foundation than state and bank money for a monetary system. Conventional bankers and economists often blame the gold standard for economic failures of the past. In fact, these failures resulted from the practice of fractional reserve banking.

#### A True Gold Standard

The arguments thus far have suggested that the <u>sole</u> authority to manufacture money should be that of the state. From hereon we must ask whether there is any way of ensuring that the <u>state does not abuse this authority</u>. A monetary system in which the state has full control of money supply <u>may not be sufficient to guarantee monetary stability</u>. We must identify a way in which the state can be prevented from manipulating money supply for its own political or economic gain.

In the aftermath of Sterling's exit from the European Exchange Rate Mechanism in September 1992 it was often said that these problems occur because of poor government, not because of greedy speculators. It was argued that if only the government would engage the correct economic policy, none of this would happen at all. The simplest antidote, as former prime minister

Heath pointed out at the time, would seem to involve not having such a thing as foreign exchange at all. Whilst this particular proposal might meet resistance from some in the foreign exchange market, a single currency would at least fulfill the supposed objective of increasing efficiency. With a single currency there would be no exchange rate to gyrate in the first instance, no transaction costs to pay and a release of valuable human resources into the wider economy. But what form should this new single currency take?

Any textbook worthy of the name will inform its reader that a reliable form of money must bear certain distinguishing features. Money should be durable; potatoes would be bad in the role of money because they rot. Money should be portable; bricks would be unsuitable because they are heavy and do not fit in your wallet. Money should be homogeneous; cows would not act as good money because some cows are of better quality than others and because to pay a price of half a cow would entail killing ones cow. Finally, money should be scarce if it is to be used as a countervalue in everyday transactions; if ten Dollar notes grew on trees they could not be used as money.

Some writers argue in favour of a full (or pure) gold standard under which the amount of state paper money in issue would be fully backed by stocks of gold held in government vaults. Each unit gold at a specified rate and, conversely, gold would be convertible into paper money at this same rate. A government currency office of some description would therefore be established in order to exchange gold for paper money, or vice versa. Constitutional guarantees would ensure that the state could not cheat on its obligation to keep a full stock of gold against its paper money issuance. Money supply could then expand only when counterparties and issued paper notes in return. Money supply

could contract where counterparties exercised their right to demand redemption of their paper money in gold.

The critics point out that under such a system the amount of paper money supply would be determined purely by the amount of gold that was available to the government in first establishing the standard, plus any amounts that private entities were subsequently prepared to deposit in government vaults. Would not the state then be giving up its control of money supply in favour of a metal whose availability would alter in an unpredictable way? What, for example, of a situation in which large new discoveries of gold were presented to the state for conversion into state money? What guarantees would there be that the supply of gold would in any way correspond with those amounts necessary to maintain price and, or, economic stability?

First, we should realise that, on best estimates, global gold supply over the last three hundred years has grown on average at an annual rate of just 2%. This growth rate is far lower than that of money supply under modern fractional reserve banking. Gold is not usually found in massive quantities in an easily obtainable form. Exceptions to this general rule do occur, if one happens to be a ruthless Conquistador in South America for example. Nevertheless, on a global scale and in the long run, the rule holds that gold requires effort to extract. This is the key. Precious metals have a cost attached to their production. Paper money and bank money have practically no cost attached to their production.

Clearly, it would not be worthwhile mining gold if the costs of production exceeded the value of the gold produced. Where gold is money, then in times of falling prices gold mining companies will find that the cost of labour and equipment is less than the value of gold that can be produced by employing that labour and equipment. The mining companies will therefore produce more gold. This would be the simple market mechanism whereby money supply would expand under the model proposed here. It is

a mechanism that has nothing to do with interest on receipts, bank credit creation or the printing press. It is a mechanism that tends towards economic justice and it is backed by the force of the free market.

Some or all of the amounts of newly produced gold will eventually be offered for conversion to paper currency at the government office of the country whose prices are the most competitive. Thus the producer of gold will access the maximum purchasing power for the gold that he produces. The tendency will be that the country with the lowest prices, most desirable produce, or most exciting investment opportunities, will attract the most gold for conversion into paper money at its government's currency office. The resulting expansion of money supply will tend to counter any international imbalances in purchasing power and thereby act as a form of automatic stabiliser with regard to employment and trade flows.

Where new supplies of gold are not forthcoming, economic activity might continue to expand, this time accompanied by a general trend toward lower prices. Though economists nowadays smirk at the possibility of falling prices and economic growth appearing together (they propose a 'lack of downward flexibility in wages' as one explanation), there is ample evidence of just such an event in our own economic history. A study of England during the period 1821 to 1914, the gold standard era, informs us that growth and falling prices were indeed juxtaposed. The Bank of England's index of retail prices falls from approximately 300 to approximately 200 in this time frame (1694 = 100).

The critics of a gold standard structure often propose that it may lead to a prolonged recession in one country or groups of countries. Suppose, for example, that Country A experiences a trade deficit and therefore that increasing numbers of foreign companies become holders of Currency A. Of course, these foreign holders of Currency A might later decide to undertake

investment into, or purchase goods and services from, Country A. Currency A would thus be returned into circulation in Country A and no monetary contraction would occur there. Otherwise, foreign holders may decide either to maintain their reserves of Currency A outside Country A or to present them to Country A for redemption in gold, in which case a monetary contraction would ensue.

It is upon the premise that monetary contraction causes a fall in output that critics of a gold standard often base their views (I have touched upon the neutrality of money earlier). A fall in the output of an economy implies unemployment and recession, they argue. But, as highlighted some moments ago, other economists propose that if prices in Country A fall then foreign customers and producers of gold will find the prices of goods and services in Country A relatively more attractive. With the subsequent increase in demand for its produce, arrives the stimulus for a recovery of output in Country A.

Whichever of the two above views is nearest to the truth it is clear that countries must produce goods and services of sufficiently high quality in order to attract those foreign customers or producers of gold. Low prices on their own are of little attraction if the product is of poor quality. Foreign currency or gold will only be offered in exchange for Currency A if Country A offers something worth buying or investing into. In the event of a long recession, what guarantee is there that Country A will be able to offer such opportunities to foreign purchasers and investors?

A frank response is warranted here. If one nation cannot win the competitive struggle with others, how then can it expect to enjoy continuing prosperity? Tampering with the monetary system will not fend off the results of economic failure. It may even make them worse by temporarily avoiding the collective hardships that spur a harder national effort. In any event, monetary acrobatics will not necessarily result in the production of goods that

foreigners wish to buy. In many ways, a country is like a firm. Its living standard will depend partly upon the quality of its produce. A gold standard would simply make the fact clear. Ultimately, the only stabiliser upon which Country A can hope to depend is the hard work and belt-tightening of its inhabitants.

One final criticism of a gold standard that often appears is as follows. Where the state guarantees to exchange gold for paper money at a defined rate, and vice versa, the mere existence of a guarantee might dissuade individuals from seeking that redemption in the first place. If the state's promise to redeem is never tested on any meaningful scale, what is there to stop the state cheating on that promise without telling anyone? And what guarantee would exist that, at a future date, the state would not simply change the rules and abandon the gold standard altogether?

Ultimately there is probably only one guarantee that a full gold standard could not be revoked to the detriment of the public. It is the guarantee that exists where gold itself forms the state money It would, at least for large denominations, be the physical gold wallets. otag f such a system were enacted globally, there would be a supply. State money would no longer be issued as paper or itself. N $\phi$  amount of revocation of a gold standard could then rob the holders of money of the intrinsic value which resided in their sea change in international currency markets. One ounce of gold coin in the USA would be no different to one ounce of gold coin in Japan, Germany or France. Maybe the ounce of gold would be accounting entries in evidence of gold stored in a government vault, given a different name in each of those countries, be it 20,000 Yen, 200 Deutschmarks or 1,000 Francs, but there would be little point Foreign exchange speculation would become defunct. International in paying transaction costs simply in response to this difference. investment, and trade based on genuine flows in goods and services, would be felieved of one major source of uncertainty.

Judy Shelton in Money Meltdown (1994) writes:

When the value of money can be altered through government policies against which money holders have no recourse, the economic decisions of private individuals are hostage to the ambitions of politicians. Flexibility in the control of money provides the means for government officials to adjust the purchasing power in the hands of the citizens to accommodate budgetary excesses and federal borrowing ... A gold standard fixes the value of money in terms of a universally recognised commodity so that money holders have the confidence of knowing that their paper claim can be converted to a real asset at a prespecified rate. The gold standard establishes a solid foundation for making rational economic decisions and then enables individuals to exercise personal choice in pursuing their own financial and economic objectives.

### Shelton quotes Phillip Cagan;

I see no escape from the conclusion, inherent in the position of the advocates of gold, that only a convertible monetary system is sufficiently free of discretion to guarantee that it will achieve price stability. The operation of any inconvertible monetary system introduces a discretion in management that cannot guarantee price stability despite the efficacy of its monetary controls.

#### and Ludwig von Mises:

Under an inflationary system, nothing is simpler for the politicians to do than to order the government printing office to provide as much money as they need for their projects. Under a gold standard, sound government has a much better chance; its leaders can say to the people and the politicians: "We can't do it unless we increase taxes.

With gold, no government would be able to devalue for competitive advantage against its trading partners. No businessman would be at risk from exchange rate volatility. No foreign investment gain would translate into a home currency loss. Every individual, company and state entity would know the meaning of value both at home and abroad, and would be able to budget, invest or borrow accordingly. Interest rate brutalisation of a home economy for the sake of currency strength would become a quirk of history, as would all phoney schemes to manipulate currency values. And government would no longer be empowered to rob the citizen by spending money of its own creation. The idea

sounds so persuasive, and so simple, that one wonders what powerful arguments must be delaying its implementation. We must now examine the operation and abandonment of previous gold standards in order to see what these arguments might be.

## History of the UK Gold Standard

Bimetallism is the name given to a monetary standard in which usually both gold and silver act as the currency of state in a legally defined ratio. Silver coinage of a given weight will be decreed to have the same value as gold coinage of a different weight. Such a standard is said to operate so as to enable sufficient amounts of coinage to circulate in convenient form throughout an economy. Small value (i.e. low denomination) coins made of gold might be impractical due to their small size and the effect of erosion, whilst silver coins of the same denomination would be far larger and therefore superior in fulfilling their function as legal tender. The system also alleviates any problems that may initially arise from an insufficient supply of gold reserves at the mint.

units of silver bullion can then melted into gold bullion. The one unit of gold bullion will then exchanged for one gold coin, and that gold coin will then be will engage in the following procedure. Fifteen silver coins will be bullion is now worth sixteen ounces of silver bullion, entrepreneurs be exchanged for sixteen units of silver bullion. exists a bimetallic gold and silver standard at a ratio of one to coin has the same value as fifteen one ounce silver coins, there then bullion form. For instance, if the state decrees that a one ounce gold or below the ratio of the fair market prices for those metals in implied bimetallic ratio between silver and gold coins to be above A problem arises however when economic agents regard the market value of silver bullion declines so that an ounce of gold weight for weight. If now, due say to large discoveries of silver, the fifteen. Gold coins would be fifteen times more valuable than silver, be presented

mint for conversion into sixteen silver coins, where the entrepreneur previously held only fifteen. The process will be repeated until gold coinage disappears from circulation to be melted in its entirety into bullion form. Where bimetallic ratios vary sufficiently from one state to another, such 'arbitrage' opportunities will inevitably result in the export of precious metal from one country to another, as dictated by the arbitrage equation.

It is for reasons such as these that in the formulation of the gold standard that became fully operational in Britain in 1821, silver coinage was decreed to be legal tender only in amounts of up to £2. A subsidiary silver standard was therefore said to operate, since transactions of substantial size could only be undertaken in gold sovereigns or gold backed paper money. Silver was, in other words, subsidiary to the main monetary standard based on gold. Arbitrage between silver and gold on any scale could not now occur.

into circulation gradually. Bank notes remained inconvertible until April 1817 when the Bank of England offered to cash notes of gold, had given the country an inconvertible paper currency until grains of fine gold. The sovereign was issued from 1817 and came achieved gradually under the terms of Lord Liverpool's 1/816 Act, England was no longer obliged to redeem its paper note issue with The 1797 Suspension of Payments, under which the Bank of under £5 for gold, as long as they were notes that had been issued in which one sovereign was defined as one hundred and thirteen the gold standard officially returned in 1821. The standard was before 1816. As there were only £1 million of such notes in convertible paper money, gold sovereigns and subsidiary silver standard came into being. The circulation thereafter comprised Bank offered the encashment of all pre-1817 notes. In |1821, all circulation, the demand for cashing was low. In October 1817 the were made redeemable into gold and a full gold

The British approach formed a basis for stability that was copied elsewhere, in the colonies and by competing powers. Portugal adopted a gold standard in 1854, Canada in 1867, Germany in 1873, the USA in 1879, Austria-Hungary in 1892 and Russia and Japan in 1897. However, until the adoption of the new gold standards, a variety of standards existed internationally. For instance, Germany had been operating a silver standard, the United States a bimetallic gold and silver standard, and Russia an inconvertible paper currency system.

monetary stability have often failed due to quite primitive fraud on the part of the state in debasing its coinage. A debasement was Attempts at employing a precious metal standard as a basis for achieved by reducing the precious metal content of the existing unit of currency. This reduction in metal content would be achieved by means of a recoinage. In a recoinage, existing coins would be decreed invalid as legal tender and recalled to the state mint for be used to manufacture new coins, each coin with the same face fund new expenditure. Here is a clear early example in which the raise rewenue. The modern counterpart, of course, is the expansion melting down. The metal bullion thus retrieved would subsequently value as the previous circulation but with less precious metal per coin. The amount of precious metal left over from the exercise would belong to the state, and could itself be coined and used to state effectively confiscates wealth from the citizens in order to supply through the mechanism of inflation. This modern approach has the advantage that it can be achieved in a far less conspicuous of the state's paper money issue, which devalues the existing money manner |... no recoinages required here. At the beginning of the Middle Ages, the <u>silver penny</u> was the one denomination of coin in general circulation throughout most of Europe. In 1257, Henry III attempted to introduce a gold coin into circulation in England but failed because the bimetallic ratio with silver was set at approximately 10, a ratio that was too low in

comparison to the existing bullion market relationship. In the 1279 recoinage of Edward I, round halfpennies and fourthings (later to become known as farthings) were introduced. These small denominations of currency had previously been produced by cutting whole round pennies into halves or quarters.

In Italy meanwhile, gold coinage was coming into fashion. Genoa issued a gold coin in 1252 to be followed by Florence and Lucca. Venice relied on Byzantine coins for a time, but subsequently issued gold ducats that were to become widely accepted throughout the eastern Mediterranean. Here is an example of a common currency that does not necessitate political union. The rise in the demand for gold that resulted from the increasing issue of gold coins subsequently raised gold bullion prices throughout Italy. The bimetallic ratio between gold and silver in Venice rose from approximately 1: 10 to above 1: 14 in the late thirteenth century, contributing to the failure of Henry III's gold coinage in England. Meanwhile, the existence of widely recognised and reliable monetary units, and an accumulation of gold stocks, helped to establish the pre-eminence of the Italian banking community.

The 'Great Debasement' of 1542 to 1551 in England saw the weight of the silver penny fall by a third, but more importantly, the silver content of the new lighter coins was itself reduced. At worst, only one-quarter of the new coins' weight comprised silver, the remaining three-quarters being made up in copper. Gold pound coins were also debased in metal content by a factor of one-third. Bimetallic ratios altered, due to these debasements, from one unit of gold to approximately eleven of silver, down to a ratio of one to five. So it was that Henry VIII, who had inherited from his predecessor Henry VII a reputable solid currency, bequeathed through six recoinages each of increasing seignorage, a vastly debased currency to his son Edward VI. Under Protector Somerset, the debasement continued through five further recoinages. Wherever debasement occurred, Gresham's Law

would operate. 'Bad' money would drive out 'good' money. Coins that had not been debased would vanish from circulation. The period of Tudor inflation that coincided with these events has been written about extensively elsewhere.

By its very nature, a debasement negates the previously existing precious metal standard in favour of a new one of lower content. Critics might use the history of debasement in an attempt to propose that a gold standard cannot protect the holder of money from the activity of the state. Such an argument simply does not stand up to close inspection. Even if debasement is attempted, in a modern state with a circulating currency comprised only of gold, individuals could have their old coinage melted into-bullion privately. A sale of this bullion on the free market would automatically achieve fair value in terms of the new coinage, no matter how debased. The bullion itself would be the ultimate guarantor of value to the holder against attempts at debasement.

If a gold standard is to operate without a circulating currency exclusively comprising gold, then it is essential that the amount of paper currency in circulation must be fully backed by stocks of gold. That much has already been made clear. But if excess state issuance of paper money is prohibited by the law of the gold standard, it seems reasonable that commercial banks should incur a similar prohibition where their own form of money supply is concerned. To enact a gold standard without prohibiting fractional reserve banking is simply pointless, but this is in fact the very history that Chown describes when he writes:

Issues of country bank notes rose from an average £4 million in 1821-3 to £6 million in 1824 and £8 million in 1825, a major factor fuelling the boom. This enlarged circulation was in principle payable in gold ... the public had become accustomed to accept Bank of England notes in redemption of country bank notes ... The country banks actively discouraged the redemption of their notes for gold but a successful petition against a Bristol bank in June 1825 brought home to the public their right to demand redemption in gold.

The Bank Charter Act of 1844 began the process of withdrawing the right of private firms to issue their own paper money. Peel's Act aimed to remedy the activity of those "self created money-lenders" so criticised by Jefferson. However, we have also seen how it was that the banking community moved to exploit the loophole left by Peel's Act after 1844, namely the possibility of using the account and cheque system in place of the gold and receipts system that had previously dominated. Chown summarises the mechanism whereby fractional reserve banking long ago compromised the objectives of the gold standard:

The United Kingdom gold standard did not ensure short run stability nor did it prevent trade cycles and financial crises. These arose from fluctuations in size of the credit superstructure built on the gold base...

It was the financing of the 1914-18 war that first exposed the Achilles' heel of the British gold standard. The exchange rate between Dollars and Sterling (hereafter denoted as \$/\$) had been fixed at \$/\$ 4.86 (4.86 Dollars to one Pound Sterling) prior to the war. This exchange rate was determined by the gold standards of the two countries. Since one Pound was defined as 113 fine grains of gold and one Dollar as 23.22 grains, one pound had to be exchangeable into 4.86 Dollars (approximately  $113 \div 23.22$ ). Any other exchange rate would have allowed arbitrage to occur.

For several decades before the war, government expenditure in Britain had fluctuated between 10% and 20% of GDP. But during the war government expenditure rose to approximately 70% of GDP. The pressure to finance this spending through an expansion of government debt and state money proved irresistible to the authorities. Hence, whilst there was only £34 million of paper money in 1914, by 1918 paper money supply totalled £299 million. At the same time, gold sovereigns progressively disappeared from circulation over the period 1914 to 1918. Gold sovereigns in circulation totalled £166 million at the outbreak of war, but by the end of the war the amount was less than £84 million.

The decision of the Bank of England in 1919 to abandon convertibility into gold sovereigns for all but the £5 note highlighted the facts of the monetary situation. The government's issuance of paper money had indeed substantially exceeded those amounts that could be backed by gold reserves at the central bank. Fortunately for the authorities, there were by now very few £5 notes left in circulation.

In the aftermath of the 1919 decision, the exchange rate moved to \$\$/£ 3.50 and Britain no longer intervened in support of Sterling on the New York currency market. Nevertheless domestic pressure in favour of a strong pound soon resulted in Bank of England action to increase interest rates. The pressure arose largely in the City of London whose status as an international financial centre was seen to depend on Sterling's strength. Foreign investors, attracted by the higher interest rates, were thereby deterred from converting Sterling into foreign currency on the foreign exchange markets. Instead, their funds were now to be deposited in Sterling accounts so as to access the higher rates now on offer.

The above is an example of the type of policy that sacrifices domestic business activity to international currency concerns. Currency motivated interest rate increases often ignore the reality of the domestic economic climate. Whilst investors may benefit from an increase in the flow of interest payments on their Sterling loans, it is every borrower who must bear the burden. For business entities, profitability may simply be insufficient to meet higher interest charges. An attractive Sterling interest rate may tempt an individual to maintain a Sterling deposit and thus alleviate pressure on the Sterling exchange rate. However, payment of this interest simply presents that depositor with a still larger amount of-Sterling at a later date. The holder of Sterling is then confronted with the same ultimate choices - to convert to foreign currency, to convert to gold (if possible), to purchase British goods, or to once more acquire Sterling investments.

As it was, British manufacturers were finding it increasingly difficult to sell their produce abroad. The war had destroyed many business relationships and allowed foreign competition to gain a foothold in some of Britain's most lucrative markets. Furthermore, the lack of investment in non-war related products during the war years had left British manufacturers with product lines that were often old-fashioned or simply irrelevant to the new markets of the twenties. Exports of manufactured goods fell from £1,664 million in 1920 to £943 million in 1925 and £670 million in 1930. The current account balance of trade fell from a surplus of 5.8% of GDP in 1920 to a surplus of only 0.8% by 1930. What hope here then, to provide foreigners with sufficient goods in exchange for their Sterling?

An increase in the interest rate is often no more than a delaying tactic, a Mr. Micawber response, an attempt to stave off the moment when the currency rate falls or the gold is withdrawn. It may work temporarily, even over a period of years, but if the domestic economy cannot produce sufficient output in the meantime, the moment of truth must eventually come.

Now, the British had abandoned the gold standard in 1919 and the economic instability of the time was amply evidenced in wholesale prices. These rose by approximately 50% between 1919 and 1920 and subsequently collapsed by over 40% between 1920 and 1921. Churchill was persuaded to restore a semblance of the old order in 1925. His budget speech proclaimed the return to gold, at the pre-war standard, implying an exchange rate of \$/£ 4.86. However, the return to gold was not quite what it seemed. Convertibility was allowed for international purposes only, being disallowed domestically except where the public could muster sufficient notes (approximately £1,700) to exchange for 400 ounce bars at the Bank of England. Existing reserves were quite insufficient for the purpose of restoring a full gold standard, and Churchill's refusal to allow domestic convertibility into gold was an admission of such.

a centre for 'hot' money, was the first target for withdrawals by among international investors. London, having established itself as collapsed in May 1931 and there followed a rush for liquidity events did not help the situation. The Creditanstalt bank of Austria Despite Churchill's efforts, confidence in Sterling began to wane in available for them to borrow. The requests of foreign depositors to 'long'. It was the Bank of England that now rushed to the rescue of available for short notice withdrawal, yet are simultaneously loaned example of the problem that can arise where deposited funds are foreigners requesting withdrawal of their deposits. Here is a clear found that their loans could not be repaid at the speed demanded by especially to borrowers in Germany and Central Europe, but now liquidity crisis. Over the previous years they had lent heavily, foreign depositors, but the London banks themselves were in a Between 1929 and 1931, the wane became a collapse. Foreign the light of economic reality. The moment of truth was near integrity-remained intact. withdraw their funds were thus honoured and the City of London's the bankers, making its own gold and foreign currency reserves

The national government formed that year under MacDonald had attempted to restore confidence in British economic policy by balancing the budget, but the move was of temporary benefit only. In July 1931, the German currency collapsed on the foreign exchanges and the speculators turned their attention to Sterling. A flood of Sterling deposits now began to arrive at the Bank of England for encashment in gold. The interest rate increase required to persuade foreigners to maintain their holdings in the form of Sterling would have destroyed the fragile domestic economy and was thus deemed to be an unacceptable policy alternative. On 19 September, the Bank of England ran out of gold and foreign currency reserves as the flight from Sterling continued. On 21 September, the gold standard was suspended. Sterling was now free to find a new exchange rate. It dropped quickly from \$/£ 4.86 to £/\$ 3.80.

### **Bretton Woods and After**

Earlier, I alluded to the idea that fractional reserve banking and national debt are two sides of the same coin. To repeat, since bank money supply is synonymous with money created through loans at interest, there must always exist an amount of debt that equals the amount of bank money supply. There is therefore a tendency for both debt and bank money supply to grow in relation to existing rates of interest. But what happens if society does not wish to see a continual expansion in its debt? What if default becomes widespread?

supply also contracts. Under these circumstances, governments almost always decide to counter the monetary contraction by expanding their own borrowings. This chain of causation is rarely recognised by the commentators. The conventional argument is that recognised by the commentators. The conventional argument is that reduction demands upon the government's purse exceed the receipts that are due to it. Obvious, and true. Social security payments rise as unemployment rises, tax receipts from individuals fall as fewer individuals go to work. But the more relevant truth is that a frequent cause, under a fractional reserve banking system, is the failure of loans made at interest (bank assets) to rise in line with the demands of the interest rate imposed upon bank deposits (bank liabilities).

History has shown that a government can <u>sacrifice</u> the gold standard for the <u>sake of its spending programmes</u>. Afraid to inflict upon the population the kind of tax levels that are necessary to fund, for example, a war, the <u>state effects an expansion</u> of money supply through any one of the techniques described earlier. Whatever the chosen method of money supply expansion, citizens still pay tax but this time <u>through</u> the mechanism of inflation. New amounts of state money in circulation will then preclude a return to a gold standard at the previous rate unless the authorities are

first prepared to contract the money supply by an amount equalling the previous expansion. In the absence of such a contraction, the panorama of all too predictable economic woes comes into view. Soon, concerned investors begin to exchange domestic for foreign currency. The government now feels obliged to raise the interest rate to defend the currency, with temporary effect, but to the cost of the domestic economy.

stability in the international monetary order without addressing the seen established under the Gold Reserve Act of 1934. Note here the fundamental problem of fractional reserve-banking. The objective was to achieve stability in exchange rates between the major currencies of the world. In pursuit of this goal, the United States was to adhere to the pre-war gold price of \$35 per ounce that had ounce. With the implementation of agreed fixed exchange rates indirectly, to anchor the international money supply. In theory, as long as the United States maintained a full reserve of gold to Dollars issued, and as long as participating nations intervened to The Bretton Woods agreement of 1944 aimed to re-establish devaluation of the Dollar from the previously existing \$20.67 per maintain the required exchange rates with the Dollar, the system between participating currencies and the Dollar, gold was to act, would operate as intended. But, according to the argument system could have maintained a full reserve of gold to Dollars proposed here, no state operating a fractional reserve banking issued. The system is simply unworkable in the long run.

As part of the Bretton Woods agreement, both the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (the World Bank) and the International Monetary Fund (IMF) were established. The main purpose of the World Bank was, apparently, to provide funds for developing nations at subsidised rates of interest. Meanwhile the IMF was to maintain a reserve of gold and foreign currencies comprising the contributions of IMF member states. The reserves might then be loaned, on request of the borrowing country and at

the IMF's discretion, and used to maintain the required exchange rates between participating countries.

Due largely to the input of Keynes at the Bretton Woods conference, the IMF charter envisaged that countries would be free to alter monetary and fiscal policy in the light of domestic economic conditions, whilst at the same time demanding that exchange rate stability be a fundamental object of international monetary co-operation. The two goals were, and still are, mutually incompatible.

Governments cannot be given the freedom to allow an expansion or contraction of money supply and simultaneously expect to maintain the purchasing power of their currency abroad. It was precisely in between paper money and gold was not established under Bretton Woods. A further fundamental flaw in design was thereby incorporated at the very outset and in much the same manner as for incorporated at the very outset and in much the same manner as for redemption of Dollar reserves at the United States Federal Reserve. Had domestic convertibility been allowed, then the expansion of domestic money supply soon to be described would quickly become unsustainable. But with conversion privileges confined to the central banks themselves, individuals and private entities would be prevented from demanding fair value for their currency holdings.

The door to money supply manipulation was thus left open under the Bretton Woods arrangements and, by way of extra precaution, access to foreign exchange for domestic residents was severely rationed throughout the period. Indeed, in the United Kingdom, restrictions remained from the time of their imposition in 1931 until their removal under the Thatcher government in 1979.

The state deficit financing that was encouraged under President Kennedy and later expanded under President Johnson, and that

paid for both the Vietnam war and a variety of new social programmes, would ultimately expose the fundamental weakness of the Bretton Woods arrangements. Hence, by the middle of the 1960's, the United States was expanding its money supply vigorously. Still, confidence in the Dollar remained. It was the international currency, indeed the prime reserve currency, but the elements of its downfall were in place.

The first real cracks in the system appeared in March 1968, when a two tier gold market was established with an official gold Dollar price available to central banks and a free market price available to private operators. Such was the reaction to the perceived and all but official devaluation of the Dollar in terms of gold, that the private market price of gold bullion now rose substantially above \$35 per ounce.

In an attempt to remove the emphasis that had been placed under the Bretton Woods arrangements on the Dollar as the reserve currency, Special Drawing Rights (the SDR) had been created at the 1967 IMF annual meeting. Originally defined in terms of gold, but by 1974 as a basket-of-major-currencies, they were used from 1970 onwards to settle transactions between central banks. The move was of course sponsored by the inflation of the US money supply that had by now been occurring for some years. SDR's however never challenged the Dollar as a reserve currency, being an invention for use primarily by the central banking community.

The election of Richard Nixon in 1968 set the stage for the final chapter in the Bretton Woods saga. Nixon, in his desire to stimulate the domestic economy, pressured the Federal–Reserve into an expansion of US money supply during 1970 that further detracted from the Dollar's appeal to foreign investors. Dollars were increasingly exchanged on the markets for various European currencies that were now offering substantially higher interest rates. Since US imports had been rising in line with the expansion of money supply there, increasing amounts of Dollars were

finding their way into foreign hands. Foreign central banks were committed under the Bretton Woods agreement to buy up these excess Dollars on the foreign exchange market in order to maintain the predetermined fixed exchange rates. Often, the foreign central banks would pay for the Dollars that they were forced to purchase by issuing new amounts of their own currencies, one mechanism by which inflation is said to be 'imported'. The Bretton Woods agreement allowed for these Dollars to be exchanged at the Federal Reserve for gold, but the problem was that the gold reserves of the United States were far below the amount of Dollars in the hands of foreign central banks. Aware that they would cause the collapse of the Bretton Woods arrangements by asking for redemption of their Dollars in gold, the foreign central banks chose instead to hold their Dollars as reserves.

The 'Eurodollar market' that subsequently developed, partly in response to increased commercial holdings of Dollars outside the United States, was beyond the reach of the Federal Reserve's monetary tools. *Eurodollar* was the term used to describe a Dollar held outside the United States, and *eurocurrency* the general term for a currency held outside its home country. Though simple in concept, the implications of a eurocurrency market for monetary policy are complex. The authorities in one country. United States reserve requirements for example cannot apply to banks taking Dollar deposits in, say, London. On the other hand, UK reserve requirements could not apply to those Dollars since Dollars are not the currency of the UK. In this regulatory vacuum existed, therefore, the scope for a large expansion of Eurodollar deposits.

From the depositors' point of view, the market offered tax-free returns out of sight of domestic authorities. Corporations soon cottoned on to the appeal of the euromarkets, and began to raise funds through long term bonds issued as *eurobonds*. Eurobonds were simply bonds denominated in a currency that

that of the country in which the bonds were issued. Investors could claim their interest payments anonymously and tax-free, the attraction being obvious, and as a result would usually accept a lower interest rate on their investment than was available in the domestic currency market. If the watered down gold standard of Bretton Woods was intended to engender stability in monetary conditions internationally, the euromarket was just another kick in its feeth.

\$10 billion. Where private citizens might long before have recourse whatever to fair value in terms of gold. Private holders exchanging their Dollars for other currencies. In seeking to delay Dollar reserves. Question marks began to arise in public as to the ability of the US to redeem this amount in gold. In May 1971, foreign central bank holdings had risen to \$32 billion as Jollars were now dumped in massive quantities onto the foreign exchange markets. US gold reserves meanwhile amounted to only demanded redemption in gold of their abundant Dollars, central banks instead valued international monetary order above the alue of their own Dollar reserves. The purpose of the original design of the Bretton Woods system, in preventing domestic convertibility for currencies, was thereby clear to see. Various institutions had been free to expand Dollar-money supply, to their various advantages, whilst private holders of those Dollars had no sought refuge in the only possible way, by the final hour, the foreign central banks merely magnified their own In January 1971, foreign central banks held twenty billion in therefore torment On 15 August 1971 Nixon announced that the United States would no longer allow convertibility of Dollars into gold for foreign central banks. He further attempted to camouflage-the inflationary results of years of monetary expansion through a series of measures that included wage and price controls.

The Smithsonian Agreement of December 1971 followed in the wake of the Bretton Woods collapse. It allowed for a 2.25% fluctuation band around new central exchange rates between the The United States let it be known that it would not defend this price and the gold link was therefore a useless gesture. The Smithsonian major currencies, and an official gold price set at \$38 per ounce. Agreement soon faltered. Though Sterling devalued against the Dollar in June 1972 and the Italian Lira in January 1973, the Swiss Franc and the Deutschmark showed increasing strength. As one currency revalued against the Dollar, investors raced to sell their Dollar holdings at the fixed rates offered on the remaining currencies still within the system. An official gold price of \$42 per ounce was set in February 1973, but by March the private market price for gold was already more than double this. The pressure-on the Dollar was too great to be accommodated by the Smithsonian Agreement and on 12 March 1973, under the Brussels Agreement, all Dollar links to gold were formally abandoned.

The era of global floating exchange rates was thereby ushered in. Major currencies were no longer to be pegged to a reserve of intrinsic value, nor to one another. The Keynesian and Friedmanite schools had argued that such a system would allow governments to 'tune' their domestic fiscal and monetary policy to their own advocating was the sacrifice of currency as a store of value, in favour of currency as a tool of economic policy. Other theorists developed their various ideas to describe where exchange rates would stabilise. However, seemingly logical theories of 'purchasing power parity' seemed not to describe the reality. Instead, speculation and hot money would flow in search of the highest real trates of return available and would increasingly dwarf currency transactions based on trade in goods and services.

US money supply continued to expand through the 1970's. In 1979, the gold price stood at \$450 per ounce and US consumer

prices were rising at an annual rate of 13%, a post 1940's peak. A major policy change was now implemented by Paul Volker, the new Chairman of the Federal Reserve. On 6 October 1979, Volker announced the Federal Reserve's new focus on control of US money supply as a policy target in controlling inflation. It would now allow interest rates to go wherever markets drove them. See here the now familiar assumption that higher interest rates would control inflation by restricting money supply growth.

The Plaza Accord in September 1985, the Louvre Accord in February 1987, and a variety of not so public agreements between central bankers since then, have aimed at providing the international currency markets with some form of stability. But we are left today with a monetary order that is in no way conducive to exchange rate stability. If stability is the goal, then a floating exchange rate system unattached to any absolute store of value is not the tool for the job.

In the modern financial world, it is the activity of the speculator that makes the system particularly unstable. Such an agent aims to ride the crest of a wave from one currency to the next, hoping to jump to another wave before the current one devalues, and in the meantime take the interest yield on offer. Currency transactions that are determined in this fashion have little to do with activity in the real economy. Meanwhile, the politicians' efforts are directed at talking their currencies up or down, tinkering with the monetary apparatus, pulling this lever or that, never truly knowing what each lever does. Some businessman watch these antics in helplessness. Others quietly wait for the pre-election boom.

A fully backed gold standard, preferably a gold coinage, would put an end to the arbitrary state creation of money. A 100% reserve ratio for commercial banks and deposit-taking institutions would do the same for the private creation of money. Both policies in combination would eradicate the problems that I have identified here, for as long as they remained in place. One policy

without the other would eventually result in miserable failure. Here lies a real danger. When a sound monetary arrangement takes the blame for failures borne of another cause entirely, then a generation may pass before that arrangement is restored it to its rightful place at the heart of economic policy. I believe that the gold standard has the guilty party.

The European single currency debate of the 1990's is passing by without so much as a semblance of regard to the ideas contained herein. If debated at all, there would certainly be objections to these proposals from the banking community itself. Even at the professional level there is often little awareness of the monetary phenomena that arise as a result of our current monetary and discussions on the subject, and sometimes the most basic conclusion is dismissed in a flurry of apologia for the established system.

In the meantime, if money is not allowed to function as it should, if it is used to make both political and monetary profit at the cost of the people, it cannot simultaneously fulfill its intended role as the lubricant of our economic life. In the new order, where the nation is prepared to pay for today's standard of living today, to borrow box of tricks alone, the future will be the best it can be. Instruments of monetary policy that have on occasions camouflaged the illness by almost killing the patient must become a thing of the past. It is possible to eradicate monetary factors as a cause of economic instability. Where economic problems exist, they will result from real factors, cartels perhaps, maybe trade disputes, even famine or war. We will always face those problems. Why add to them?

CHAPTER FOUR

# WEALTH CREATION AND WEALTH

Gambling on a roulette wheel employs resources and people, and so does farming. But whilst farmers produce the food that allows man to live, casinos simply transfer wealth from losers to winners. It is because wealth rots that new wealth must constantly be produced in order to replace portions of the old stock of wealth that have suffered from decay. If mankind were to engage solely in wealth transfer processes, the stock of wealth could not be maintained let alone increased. Wealth creation is therafore fundamental to the survival of mankind. Mechanisms of wealth transfer are examined, in particular the parts played by collateral and leverage. It is proposed that these various mechanisms conspire to promote speculative booms, which in turn can have a dangerous impact upon the allocation of resources.

### Gambling or Investment?

It has already been suggested that a monetary value cannot be put upon all forms of wealth. One cannot, for example, put a price on the air that we breathe. Many statistics that purport to describe the wealth of a nation can therefore be extremely misleading. Gross domestic product (GDP), for example, is a measure published by government agencies that aims to show the value of new wealth produced in the domestic economy over a given period. Statistics on Net Domestic Product (NDP) account for depreciation in the stock of Wealth (the 'capital stock') from which GDP is derived. However, changes in wealth that arise due to the deterioration or

improvement of such things as beautiful views, social stability or the quality of education are largely excluded.

The point is made by Christopher Ormerod in his witty criticism of modern economics, *The Death of Economics* (1994):

But there is no reason in principle why other factors, such as environmental ones, should not now be thought to be especially important and hence included in the national accounts, despite the fact that many of them, such as pollution, exist but are not bought and sold. Similarly, work done in the household is not given any value in the current conventions used to construct national accounts. So the various daily tasks of cooking, cleaning, washing, ironing and child care are assumed to add no value to the economy.

The concept of 'adding value' referred to here, is of great importance in the following discussion. Using Georgescu-Roegen's approach of relating value to the enjoyment of life, added value can be equated to the net added enjoyment of life that arises at some point in time, present or future, from a given activity. An economic process that resulted in no increment in enjoyment would then be referred to as a 'zero value-added' process, whilst one that actually reduced the amount of enjoyment would be termed 'value-subtractive'.

A growth in wealth cannot always be inferred from the making of an accounting profit, and that an accounting profit does not always arise from a growth in wealth. The existence of one does not necessarily signify the existence of the other. As Ormerod highlights, family members do not produce accounts for their domestic duties. They do not calculate a money profit in respect of their duties and the authorities, whose job it is to collate statistics measuring economic activity, do not therefore register such added value in measurements of GDP. It might be possible to remedy any underestimation of added value by imputing a value to household work even though it is rarely charged for. Imputed values could then be incorporated into the relevant national

statistics, though of course the problem of subjectivity arises in any such endeavour.

There is however another dimension to the struggle of relating accounting profit to growth in wealth. For an example of this we need look no further than a casino. Here, the winner of a game of chance wins what the loser loses, less the casino's cut. As a result of this cut the gambling process is usually highly profitable for the operator, and for the winner at the tables it is, obviously, always profitable. In order to create wealth in net terms an economic process must however do more than simply transfer existing wealth between participants. Some proponents of gambling argue that it produces pleasure to those who win and is thereby creating a form of wealth. Pleasure is indeed a form of wealth, but one need only consider the fact that losing is highly unpleasurable in order to see that even in this sense the amount of net wealth created in the gambling process is close, if not equal, to zero.

Now the gambling fan may counter with the assertion that the revenues made by the casino are in part used to pay salaries and thereby allow the employees to 'enjoy life'. Surely then, the gambling process cannot be zero value-added or value-subtractive? This latter argument is again dubious because it ignores the fact that the money used to pay the croupiers' salaries is simply transferred from other individuals who might be equally capable of 'enjoying' wealth purchased with that money. Furthermore, it pays no attention to the opportunity cost of gambling, in other words the wealth that could otherwise have been created by the efforts and resources of those involved in the gambling process.

human activity involves a kind of gamble. The argument proposed is that to criticise gambling is to criticise any form of activity whose outcome is uncertain. If the investment of money on a roulette wheel is to be mocked, why not then the investment of

are planted the farmer risks an early frost or a disease. When a new oil refinery opens, the operator risks malfunctions and destructive accidents. These are all risks, that is for sure, but they are risks which must be taken if we are to have food to eat or petrol to run our cars. For an individual to say that 'planting crops is a risk and gambling at the roulette wheel is a risk, therefore I might just as well direct my efforts towards roulette', is to ignore the fact that by farming is essential for the maintenance of life whilst roulette is

Under this last line of reasoning, the operation of a national lottery has been criticised by many. The infrastructure that is devoted towards this process of wealth transfer could instead have been directed to other sectors of the economy and created new wealth. Furthermore, if the state genuinely wishes to raise extra revenue for charitable causes and the arts, then the existing taxation system cost. If the Inland Revenue already exists, why bother setting up a whole new taxation infrastructure to duplicate it?

Soon after the opening of the UK national lottery in 1994, Joe Rogaly wrote in the Financial Times:

The national lottery is fantasy finance. ... It is a tax on the poor derided The national lottery is fantasy finance. ... It is a tax on the poor derided by the rich, a machine for the creation of a spurious sense of self by the rich, a machine for the creation of a spurious sense of self by the rich, a machine for the creation of a spurious into the maw. satisfaction for the pathetic boobies who toss their coins into the maw. Satisfaction for the pathetic sold pame, no net gain for charities, no There is prepared that could not have been financed work of art saved or building erected that could not have been financed work of art saved or building erected that could not have been financed work of anyone seave perhaps the shareholders of Camelotta no benefit to anyone save perhaps the shareholders of Camelotta no benefit to anyone save perhaps the shareholders of Camelotta no benefit to anyone save perhaps the shareholders of Camelotta no benefit to anyone save perhaps the shareholders of Camelotta no benefit to anyone save perhaps the shareholders of Camelotta no benefit to anyone save perhaps the shareholders of Camelotta no benefit to anyone save perhaps the shareholders of Camelotta no benefit for men; but their harm in both, although they says the holy book. "Say; There is great harm in both, although they says the holy book. "Say; There is great harm in both, although they says the holy book. "Say; There is great harm in both, although they says the holy book. "Say; There is great harm in both, although they says the holy book. "Say; There is great harm in both, although they says the holy book. "Say; There is great harm in both, although they says the holy book." Say; There is great harm in both, although they says the holy book. "Say; There is great harm in both, although they says the holy book." Say; There is great harm in both, although they says the holy book. "Say; There is great harm in both, although they says the holy book." Say; There is great harm in both, although they says the holy book. "Say

The above argument is of great relevance to any debate on the economic worth of financial market speculation. Some regard speculation simply as a form of gambling whose impact upon resource allocation is similar to that of a casino. However, there is at least one important difference. Financial market speculation can affect a variety of economic variables such as exchange rates, interest rates or commodity prices, whereas the placing of bets in a casino cannot. Through its impact upon the price mechanism, speculation can then have an effect upon resource allocation that is far wider than its own domain.

Many proponents of speculation adhere to the view that there is indeed a value-added process going on here. Three common for foreigh exchange as an example, end-users requiring foreign currency will find a liquid market in which to transact. Were no speculative operators to exist, an end-user might come to the market looking to exchange one currency for another but find no arguments are as follows. Firstly, because of the volume of speculative transactions in the major markets, let us use the market counterparty willing to trade at a reasonable price or in a reasonable size. Speculation provides this willing pool of buyers and sellers, providing a market in good volumes. It is in this sense that speculation is held to create value. Secondly, the difference between the price at which the end-user may buy or sell (the 'bid-offer spread') a foreign currency becomes very narrow due to the competition between speculative participants in the market. The offer prices, and the lower the effective costs of transacting for Thirdly, as speculators trade out profitable more the speculation, the narrower the spread between the bid and opportunities, the market price tends towards 'efficiency'.

Whilst it is possible that benefits are derived from liquidity and low transaction costs, the chief beneficiary often seems to be the speculator himself. For this agent, the need for speedy execution of trades in large size and at short notice is paramount. Agents in the real economy place less emphasis upon such features. The

quip is that one buys a banana in order to eat it, not to sell it a higher price later. More seriously, we should ask whether is it quite so vital to the functioning of the real economy that a foreign exchange deal should take less than one minute to complete? Would a foreign business transaction, that has taken several months to plan, be cancelled because the necessary foreign currency can only be obtained at one week's notice?

The supposed improvement in price efficiency that is ascribed to speculation is even more debatable. The level of efficiency in a marketplace is commonly held to hinge upon the degree to which available information is accounted for in current prices. This approach seems to relegate the purpose of a marketplace to one of secondary importance only. Can a market be efficient if it does not allocate resources towards projects that maximise wealth creation? Paul Volker, in an interview with the New York Times in the Autumn of 1990, cites the destructive effects upon confidence and business planning that foreign exchange volatility can cause. In pinpointing speculation as the primary cause of such volatility, he asks what possible argument of efficiency could justify an increase of 30% followed by a decrease of 30% in the \$/Yen exchange rate over the first few months of 1987. Are we to assume that efficiency has nothing to do with stability in the market price?

If the acquiring of foreign exchange is necessary to fund a foreign business project, then the act of trading one currency for another may indeed enable a value-additive project to take place, but in 1992 central bank statistics compiled by the Bank for International Settlements estimated that speculative trading accounted for over 95% of the \$880 billion of global daily turnover. A lot can therefore be seen to ride on the efficiency argument. It must at least overcome the criticisms voiced by Volker on the volatility issue.

In order to maximise turnover, financial intermediaries usually find that volatility of some amount is quite desirable. It is less easy to persuade a client to buy a Dollar for one hundred Yen if in all likelihood the Dollar will still be worth one hundred Yen tomorrow. Yea, it takes two to gamble, but should a financial institution actually encourage the client to place his bets? Gestetner, Gibsons Greetings, Metallgesellschaft and Orange County have all lost at the gambling game to the value of several hundred million Dollars in total. A skewed incentive system exists within many financial institutions that rewards those who encourage clients to gamble the bank's salesman. The Economist magazine of 7 October 1995 comments:

Red faces turned puce at Banker's Trust, an American investment bank in a legal battle with Procter & Gamble, a consumer goods company. Leaked documents and tapes reveal that bank staff talked about a "ripoff factor" attached to complex deals involving derivative sales.

When international bankers act in this manner, they do not promote the function that a financial system originally purports to fulfill. Wealth transfer is the name of this game, uncertainty and resource misallocation its consequence. In order for its reputation to survive the tarnish of such cases as the Procter & Gamble "rip-off", the financial market must be structured in a manner that meets the genuine needs of its clients on a less predatory basis. Clients that borrow or invest should be viewed by financial institutions as potential candidates for a long term and mutually profitable relationship. As it is, for institutions whose income derives from the charging of commissions on traded volumes, the object of business strategy then becomes the maximisation of turnover. Once more, purpose is of secondary importance only and, for some members of this club, the efficiency argument simply becomes the last refuge of the scoundrel.

In order to continue our discussion of financial market speculation, we must briefly turn our attention to the futures markets. As their name implies, these are markets in which a

buyer and seller agree the terms of a transaction to be settled at a future date. For example, a buyer may agree to purchase one hundred ounces of gold from a seller at \$400 per ounce, delivery and payment to be made the following December. This is a December gold future contract traded "at \$400 in one hundred ounces".

Both counterparties to a futures trade must deposit an amount of money on the trade date, the 'initial margin', that acts as a cushion to guarantee performance of settlement at the future date. This margin is usually a small percentage of the value of the underlying asset traded, and it is held by a central clearing house for safe-keeping. All futures markets work on this principle of 'margined trading'. The central clearing house becomes the counterparty for both the buyer and seller, standing in between them to guarantee against default, and it uses the margins received from buyers and sellers in order to honour this guarantee.

Notice that full payment for the item traded, and the item itself, is not exchanged up-front. In this manner, the futures market-allows a seller to sell what he does not own, the process of 'short selling's and the buyer to buy without paying the full price immediately. Both counterparties simply wait until the future delivery date to complete the exchange of countervalues. (Alternatively either party may decide to 'close out' the trade prior to delivery by entering into a new trade, opposite to the one first traded. In this case, a profit or loss is realised, one that is determined by the difference between the two futures prices).

Even in markets where more or less immediate delivery and payment is required, so-called 'cash' or 'spot' markets, similar trading strategies may be undertaken. In order, for example, to sell in the cash market a bond that a trader does not own, he simply borrows that bond from a bond lender for a given period of time Having borrowed the bond, the trader then immediately sells it Before the end of the borrowing period, the short seller hopes to

have made the expected profit by buying back the bond at a lower price in the market. The borrowed bond can then be returned to the lender as priginally agreed.

The above methods of trading are in my view a major sponsor of speculative activity. If agents wish to place bets on the future value of an asset, it is much less costly to do so where full countervalue enter a futures trade and the return, on this small amount of capital price of the asset itself. Here we have a simple example of leverage, a subject to be addressed in more detail shortly.

Where a seller can sell goods that he does not own, and do so in large volumes, the equilibrium of a marketplace can be severely the opposite direction if buyers do not have to pay the full price of for others to follow and thereby become self-fulfilling with regard to profitability. Whilst the real sector sees producers and marketplace, the speculative sector aims to profit by anticipating course I hope to show that in this way the speculator can distort the very mechanism that determines production and consumption in the very mechanism that determines production and consumption in the occasion threaten society at large. Hence, the speculative purchase of foodstuffs in time of famine has been derided throughout history.

In an earlier discussion, I proposed that wealth exists as a stock of varying longevity from which flows of enjoyment arise over time. Now, in order for such stocks to increase net over a period, the value of new wealth produced must exceed the value of that part of the old stock that has depreciated during the period. Since, for

example, food stocks diminish as human beings eat and roads deteriorate as cars travel upon them, economic effort is in part a necessary process of running in order to stand still. It is, to a degree, an effort to maintain or replace old stocks of wealth and thereby maintain the flow of enjoyment of life at previous levels. Once again, the entropy process is of relevance. The degree of order in a closed physical system decreases over time unless that system is maintained by an input of energy. Likewise the physical system that is wealth. As any flow of enjoyment of life proceeds, the source of that flow deteriorates in its capacity to provide enjoyment.

The crucial point is that if all economic processes within a society were to be value-subtractive or zero value-added, then that society would ultimately find itself in a position of poverty, whether in the material, social or environmental sense. Because of entropy, because all physical structures decay and become less capable of providing the enjoyment of life over time, the creation of new wealth and the maintenance of the old stock of wealth is vital to the long term survival of any society. Profit does not necessarily signify that such a process is occurring. Neither gambling nor drug dealing, for instance, can unambiguously show that their efforts provide new wealth or maintain old wealth for the community, and both absorb the efforts of individuals who might otherwise do so. They are in fact two businesses which, far from creating wealth, may actually destroy it. Yet they are profitable.

The essential explanation of this paradox is summed up in the title of this chapter. Some economic processes create wealth, others simply transfer it. In the modern world we see the latter featuring on an increasing scale. Which of the two approaches to making profit predominates, wealth transfer or wealth creation, will determine the happiness and security of our own and subsequent generations. Whilst sections of some communities may attempt to survive on a mix of value-subtractive activities, of which theft is one further example, infrastructure and social cohesion tend to

suffer. Even where value-subtractive processes do not quite so dominate the economic landscape, the principle remains the same. Resources that could be employed to contribute to the production of wealth are instead diverted to processes that merely transfer it.

Some of the conventions of our financial environment tend to encourage wealth transfer processes to operate. They are conventions that determine the kinds of economic process in which the various economic players participate, and thus the allocation of resources within the economy. In order to develop this argument, we must now focus upon wealth transfer in a slightly different context.

#### Collateral and Interest

given the term 'negative equity' debt. In the UK housing market this latter feature is nowadays value of seized collateral would be insufficient to meet outstanding mechanism exists there is still of course the possibility that the wealth transfer through seizure of collateral. Even where this mechanism then employed to meet debt repayment obligations is that at some stage the money return due to the lender of funds may charges in the financing of business activity implies the possibility not be met by the profits earned with those funds. A frequent lender's return results in whole or in part from a transfer of assets The result of this seizure of collateral is that, where it occurs, the in order to recover the required amount of loan that is outstanding. collateral, to be provided by the entrepreneur himself. In the event from the entrepreneur, not a profit. The use of fixed interest lender of fixed interest funds can seize the collateral and liquidate it that the entrepreneur is unable to repay the loan and interest, the meet debt repayment obligations, by insisting on a cushion of themselves from the possibility that entrepreneurs will be unable to As described in Chapter Two, most lenders attempt to insulate

It is clear that with the collateralised financing approach, a lender may be able to establish a positive return on invested funds without necessarily having lent to a profitable borrower. If prospective profitability is supposed to be the determinant of the allocation of profitability is supposed to be the determinant of the allocation of profitability is supposed to be the determinant of the allocation of profitability is understood lending investment funds within an economy, then collateral based lending investment we have already established that profit is not necessarily since we have already established that profit is not necessarily since we have already established that profit is not necessarily synonymous with wealth creation, we can reason that an investor synonymous with wealth creation, we can reason that an investor or a monetary gain on an investment that is value-additive, or a monetary gain on an investment that is value-subtractive. Therefore, even if prospective profitability does determine the allocation of loanable funds, there is then no guarantee that value-additive processes as a result.

Wealth transfer need not operate solely on the small scale of entrepreneurial finance. State financing provides an example of the very same process but on a far larger scale. Where the state very same process but on a far larger scale. Where the state interest, such expenditure with money borrowed at fixed rates of finances expenditure must either generate sufficient extra tax revenue with which to meet repayments, or the state must allow z revenue with which to meet repayments, or the state must allow z seizure of collateral to take place in much the same way at seizure is concerned, we have already seen that the seizure is effected state is concerned, we have already seen that the seizure is effected through some kind of taxation, either explicit in the form of higher third option, of issuing more debt with which to repay old debt merely delays and often magnifies wealth transfers.

There is, however, a more subtle process at work with regard to state funding. The existence of a risk-free rate of interest places the state funding of private business activity at a disadvantage to the financing of government activity. Why invest in a private proje that has an expected but risky return of 10%, when the state offer a risk-free 10%? At every risk-free rate of interest there will

private projects that are financially unjustifiable since they yield the same or less than the risk-free rate, but at a higher level of risk. If any of these projects include essential services such as the provision of health care or education, it is left to the state to provide financing.

Two undestrable phenomena may then result. Firstly, and in the longer term, a substantial burden upon public sector finances may develop as the state is forced into the funding of projects whose expected paofitability does not warrant private investment. This burden will|probably increase as real risk-free interest rates move higher. Secondly, the financing of state-projects can attract funds that might otherwise be invested in private projects. This is the process most frequently termed 'crowding out'. The supporters of the crowding out theory argue that private enterprise is the most efficient medhanism by which to allocate resources in the economy. Without fear of the consequences of non-profitability, the state may perspective. In basic terms, the argument concludes that private fund projects that are highly questionable from a financial enterprise would make far fewer mistakes of this kind. There may be some truth in this analysis, but the crowding out argument must be balanced against the earlier argument that socially desirable projects, being highly value-added in our approach, are often quite unsuitable for valuation on the basis of profitability. Private enterprise might not invest in these projects, no matter what the interest rate, simply because no profit-based measure of value-added appears.

However, if the state is forced to fund essential projects that yield a lower monetary return than the risk-free rate of interest at which it wealth from the state to the financier of that state activity. The sturn to health for certain individuals who then contribute to the economy and hence the government's tax ake. The new revenues might be seen as a form of return to the

state's investment in health care. But if the return does not meet the costs of financing borne by the state, then ultimately it is the taxpayer who foots the bill for debt repayment to the financier.

It might therefore be argued that the level of risk-free, and thus differing risks and time horizons in which they invest, no one interest rate can possibly reflect the returns available on all types of activity. And since government monetary policy usually revolves are often determined outside the authorities control. As we have seen in Chapter Two, monetary policy is often preoccupied with the through the state's monetary policy to approximate with the returns of economic activity. Yet this task is almost certainly an impossible one. Given the diverse nature of business enterprise, and the around control of short term interest rates, longer term interest rates effort of controlling bank creation of money supply. Such an effort may run contrary to the demands of the real economy in which the short term interest rates than are viewed appropriate for control of the banking system. The conflicting demands of these situations other, interest rates within an economy should be influenced level of business activity may require substantially higher or lower produce impossible dilemmas for authorities in charge of economic In any event, interest rates cannot be set at a level that fairly reflects the profitability of business enterprise since the rate of profitability cannot be predicted before the event. It must again follow that there are times when the interest charge does not reflect the profitability of the project in which funds have been invested. Either the interest return will be smaller than the rate of profitability, or in excess of it. It is here that the benefit of full equity financing becomes apparent. Equity holders in projects financed purely on an equity basis are rewarded with dividends and capital growth on their shareholdings which in the longer term relate quite closely to the profitability of the investments undertaken. The resultant rate of return then automatically provides the correct cost of capital. As long as interest-bearing

debt does not form part of the financing for the venture, any profits on the economic processes involved will be shared without a seizure of collateral. Of course, the profits themselves may have arisen from wealth transfer processes but this is another matter entirely.

contribution of the entrepreneur may then be fairly recognised as an an equity financier in a pre-agreed ratio. In this manner the input to the capital of the business venture. entrepreneur agreeing to share part of the profits of the project with outset. Secondly, and more importantly, there is nothing to stop the upside on his investment by charging a fixed rate of return at the event of loss. There is then little reason for the financier to limit the risk of the project with no cushion of entrepreneurial funds in the circumstances either, since he himself is in fact exposed to the full would not wish to charge a fixed rate of return in these criticisms of this argument might be made. Firstly, the financier entrepreneur to be entrepreneurial in the first instance. Two equity capital of the project, the return in its entirety would be due to that financier. Hence, no monetary incentive would exist for the example, where the equity-based financier contributes the entire entrepreneurs would tend not to engage in certain projects. For financing is that were it not for such funding techniques, One argument sometimes extended in favour of fixed interest

I have so far argued that since profitability for a lender can be achieved through a seizure of collateral, the existence of collateral may compete with prospective profitability in determining where a lender allocates his funds. Lenders may be willing to loan funds to those borrowers who have existing assets, but may be unwilling to do so where no existing assets are available as security. The cynics quip that bankers are people who lend you money if you can prove you don't really need it. Others simply note that collateral based financing criteria tend to encourage the circulation of funds among the rich since these are the ones who are most able to offer collateral in the first place. The rich, or those with

existing assets, do not have a monopoly on value-added project proposals however. Poor people, indeed poor nations, may have a proposals however. Poor people, indeed poor nations, may have a proposals however. Poor people, indeed poor nations, may have a proposals however. Poor people, indeed poor nations, may have a proposals the capital variety of value-added processes to engage in, but lack the capital variety of value-added project capital can only be raised where so to do. To the extent that capital can only be raised where collateral already exists, the collateralised approach fails this particular group of potential borrowers.

are simultaneously available to depositors on short notice. In this In Chapter Two, I noted the tendency for banks to lehd funds that apparent during times of economic downturn. In compensating for banks to satisfy demands for withdrawal of deposits, especially procedure resides the risk of a liquidity crisis, the inability of the commitment of funds before producing a return, do not compete on these risks, bankers tend to prefer the funding of short term projects grounds of liquidity with short term projects. But once again, short downturn. Long term projects, those requiring a long term that can satisfy the demand for liquidity in the event of such a term projects do not have a monopoly on value-added processes. Short term projects sometimes revolve around the financing of speculative activities since, under certain economic conditions, industrial or business processes. Property booms often demonstrate these appear more capable of yielding short term profits than this possibility. Furthermore, we have seen that the very nature of distant consequences of current actions. Short term projects come the discounting analysis undertaken by financiers devalues the favour them if they rely on such analysis in determining where to out best from the discounting analysis and financiers will thereby

## Leverage and Speculation

Two common conventions of the modern financial environment have now been introduced, namely collateralised loan finance and the existence of risk-free interest returns, that affect the decisions of the existence in allocating their funds. In order to describe more financiers in allocating their funds.

fully the manner in which these conventions conspire to encourage wealth transfer processes in an economy, we must also understand the motivation of the borrower of funds. By looking at both sides of the lending and borrowing process, we may better understand why resources are not always be allocated in a way that maximises added value.

The process of borrowing money to finance asset purchase can, if engaged in widely, tend to be self-sustaining. Owners of assets are often able to provide them as security for loans. Sometimes the collateral and borrowing, the price of those assets may begin to rise, allowing further growth of collateral values and thus loans thus secured are themselves used to buy further assets and particular asset class becomes the focus of this pyramiding of engendering greater confidence among lenders to lend. Very quickly a 'speculative bubble' may develop. The asset provides the collateral, and a bull market with a pool of debt-financed buyers provides the liquidity. The greater the extent to which this mechanism is employed, the greater the degree of leverage that capital base. The example on the following page demonstrates how these in turn can be used to secure further financing. Where one esults. Thus, a small increase in asset price can provide the debtinanced płoject owner with a large percentage gain on his own the operation of nine times leverage, being debt at nine times the value of equity, translates a return of 20% on assets into a return of 110% on the owner's equity.

The euphoria that is evident among those who operate on the basis of leverage, often turns to distress. This is most obvious in the aftermath of a speculative property boom. Governments may react to asset price booms by raising the interest rate at which all must borrow. As interest rates rise, some borrowers are unable to meet their interest costs and a few begin to default. In the newly cautious monetary climate, the tap of bank money that has financed the speculation is turned off. New buyers therefore become scarce and the boom in prices abruptly ends.

Owner's equity	100
Amount borrowed (at 10% interest)	006
Total project assets	1,000
Profit on project	200
Total asset value after one year	1,200
Interest and principal repaid to lender (= 900 + 10% of 900)	066
Total owner's equity after one year (= 1,200 - 990)	210
Return on assets (= 200 / 1,000)	20%
Return on owner's equity (= 110 / 100)	110%

# EFFECT OF LEVERAGE ON RETURN TO PROJECT OWNER

So far so good, at least as far as the authorities are concerned. Speculators however, aware that further capital gains are unlikely in the short term and aware that interest costs on their borrowings are rising, now attempt to sell some of their assets to repay their borrowings. In an unhealthy market, asset prices begin to fall. The net worth of many speculators shrinks quickly as leverage begins to work in the opposite direction to that which was originally intended. Lenders, increasingly concerned by the declining value of their collateral, begin to foreclose on those borrowers least able to meet their repayment schedules. A vicious circle of further asset sales, further asset price falls and further bank foreclosures ensues.

In The Debt Deflation Theory of Great Depressions (1933), Irving Fisher describes the deadly combination of falling nominal asset prices and over-borrowing that is debt\_deflation. For Fisher, the expansion and contraction of bank money supply, 'check-book money' as he calls it, is the crucial catalyst. In 100% Money, Fisher writes:

As explanations of the so-called business cycle, or cycles, when these are really serious, I doubt the adequacy of over-production, under-consumption, over-capacity, price-dislocation, mal-adjustment between agricultural and industrial prices, over-confidence, over-investment, over-saving, over-spending. I venture the opinion, subject to correction on submission of future evidence, that, in the really great booms and depressions of the past, each of the above named factors has played a subordinate role as compared with two dominant factors, namely 1) over-indebtedness (especially in the form of bank loans), to start with, and 2) deflation following soon after ...

Gordon Pepper in *Money, Credit and Asset Prices* (1994) also focuses on monetary causes of asset price inflation and deflation in financial markets and finds a marked correlation. He summarises: Industrialists focus on the behaviour of the factors affecting individual companies: that is on profits, dividends, earnings and so on. The fact that these are not dominant short-run influences on the level of the equity market is an important explanation of accusations of the City being out of touch with reality and of 'short-termism'. Many of the remedies proposed for curing short-termism are tinkering with symptoms. Attention should now be focused on the underlying causes, that is on flows of funds and imbalances in the financial system.

Thus both Pepper and Fisher highlight private sector expansion of money supply as the prime moving force behind the truly major speculative booms of modern times. My own argument agrees on this point, but proposes that the causation can run in the opposite direction too. Expansion and contraction of money supply is itself encouraged by interest-based and collateral-based financing. Given the appropriate economic environment, such financing criteria may encourage the banking sector first to expand lending, and hence money supply, in the natural desire to make profit.

During the contractionary phase, the same criteria encourage precisely the opposite phenomena.

#### Pepper comments again:

A market's reaction to news is biased when liquidity transactions tend to persist in one direction; the market reacts to good news but tends to ignore bad, or vice versa. Keen observers detect that the market appears to want to go up or down, as the case may be. This is an explanation for intuitive expectations and 'market noses'. Market participants detect that following a trend tends to be a profitable course of action. The herd instinct then prevails. Crowd psychology becomes more important than the behaviour of investors acting as rational individuals. Technical analysis (chartism), which is based on crowd psychology, becomes more important than fundamental analysis.

Many homeowners in the United Kingdom today know how powerful the herd instinct can be. Speculators may buy residential event, increasing property values encourage the construction of new simply acts as a tool for the making of speculative profit. In any devotion of substantial new resources to the construction of take over. In this environment, it is rarely asked whether the arguments of the '... buy now while you can still afford it' variety relationship to fundamentals. Very quickly, pseudo-technical money-spinner that strips the price signal of any meaningful households, the speculative game can become a self-fulfilling the sense of resource\_allogation. When enacted by millions of processing available information, but it is often most inefficient in property because the information available to them indicates that residential property meets a genuine need for accommodation, or house prices are rising. This may be efficiency in the sense of exists, the action of this price signal can be highly efficient in property. Where genuine non-speculative demand for property allocating resources to the sector. This may not be the case where the price signal is activated by speculation

Between 1984 and 1989 in the United Kingdom, loans outstanding for housing purchase rose by over 110%, from £108 billion to £258 billion. During the same period, the ratio of average house

price at mortgage approval stage to male average earnings, rose from approximately 3.25 to 1 to almost 4.5 to 1. The official statistics show that average house prices in the south-east, where rose from £37,334 in 1984 to £81,365 in 1989. The cost per the debt-financed speculative mechanism was unleashed to the full, hectare of housing land in this same region averaged £397,800 during 1\$84 and £895,000 in 1989. By 1992 however, average house prides in the south-east had fallen back to £74,347 whilst the per hectate cost of housing land had collapsed in just twelve months to stand at £636,500 in 1990.

Having leveraged in order to buy, and now unable to meet substantially increased interest charges, many over-indebted individuals|began to default. The number of properties repossessed by member∮ of the Council of Mortgage Lenders rose from 15,800 in 1989 to \$43,900 in 1990 and 75,500 in 1991. This is but one of the many consequences of debt-deflation.

Pepper's criticism of technical analysis may apply to the short term only, but often the short term is quite long enough for the market correction to prove highly destructive. The charity Shelter estimates that 100,000 low cost permanent homes are needed each year to meet the high levels of low cost housing need in the UK. At the end of 1994, such accommodation would have been welcomed by many among the 127,290 households that were homeless, the 419,890 frantic UK construction boom of the 1980's did little for the that were more than three months in arrears on their mortgages, and the several million who were living under 'negative equity'. The

When the free market mechanism operates in the manner described

above, no one can seriously argue that is has resulted in a healthy application of material resources and human effort. Some of the players in this economic game are of course more guilty han others, and some may suffer more than others in the ftershock. But suffer too do some quite innocent bystanders. The

nonetary squeeze that aims to cure it. Once sold at rock bottom probably of far greater value to a businessmen when viewing the demand cannot be fully satisfied anyway, if the consequence is a oart in the speculative boom, must also endure the full effect of the orices, the entrepreneur cannot simply re-acquire his business at a ater stage. Workers, plant and skills that have taken years to forms of goodwill evaporate. As any entrepreneur knows, to begin again from scratch is not an easy process. A successful long term business cannot usually be founded in a short period of time since client trust and suitable products all take time to develop. To long term commitment and long term effort. Businessmen clearly like boom conditions, but they also dislike busts. In fact, stability is ong term. It is of little use to experience a boom in which client assemble, quickly disassemble, clients find new suppliers and other produce a new model of car or computer chip, a new medicine or aeroplane, or any number of other value-added products, requires value-adding economic agent who did not, or could not, seek any oust in which bankruptcy follows.

those with ideas and competence, not just those with collateral. We finance and it is not because the talents in this sector are of poor quality. Indeed, the pay rates in the financial sector have attracted many well qualified brains. It is more the structure of our cowards the project he finances. It requires the financier to finance do not hear these phrases often enough in the vocabulary of modern financial system that can encourage a harmful set of priorities to The successful quick-buck speculator might like the boom and bust conditions that have afflicted the Anglo-Saxon economies in recent years and short term speculative projects may at times find favour with those collateralised financiers who value liquidity. But this cind of activity does little to encourage the formation of industries that are capable of providing stable employment and added value in the long term. Wealth creation requires economic stability, a commitment to the long term, a commitment by the financier

There are, no doubt, a multitude of factors that could be held responsible for making the system what it is, but I have chosen in nature of discounting, the private creation of money, monetary headlines in an election campaign. As subjects, they are too voter's doorstep. But they are having a continuing and powerful effect upon the lives of every individual in the modern economy.

#### CHAPTER FIVE

## VALUE JUDGEMENTS

In this chapter, the normative and positive approach to economics is reviewed. Easitive-economics tries to be free of value judgements but is not. Normative economics deliberately highlights its value judgements and makes them central to its discussions. The most essential question that must be asked is "where do our value judgements come from?" Revealed knowledge is contrasted with deductive knowledge. It is proposed that economic activity cannot be based solely upon the foundation of materialism. The value judgements of Islamic economics are introduced and their implications for a variety of economic policies is discussed.

# The Normative and Positive Approach

Conventional economics emphasises its freedom from value judgements. It is an analysis that tends to be of the positive kind, therefore choosing to examine questions of the 'what happens if ...?' variety. The positive economist might enquire, for example, as to the effects of an increase in tax rates upon tax revenue. This approach stands in contrast to a method which seeks to highlight particular value judgements and use them as a foundation for a variety of economic prescriptions. Here is the normative approach to economic analysis, an approach that concerns itself with questions of the 'what should be ...?' variety. The normative economist may therefore contend with, for example, the degree to which wealth redistribution should be achieved through the tax mechanism.

Despite its pretensions, even supposedly positive economics has its own peculiar set of value judgements. The Benthamite proposal, for example, proposes that man acts out of a desire to maximise the as Smith and Ricardo argue that the profit motive determines economic activity. If discovered in another world-view, such sweeping assumptions would hardly be tolerated by the positive-minded economist. Yet in the pervasive modern concept of economic man' resides the ugly sight of one who acts out of a selfish desire to increase his own material wealth. There seems to be little scope for charity, conscience or ethics here.

Value theory, as outlined in the works of neo-classical economists such as Menger and Walras, provides a further example of the type of value judgement that can creep into orthodox economics. Since a man who is lost in the desert will value a glass of water more highly than a bar of gold, the price he will pay for water will be greater than that for gold. Marginal utility theorists propose that the price of an item is determined by consumers in this 'subjective' manner, economists had theorised. Thus was born the concept of marginal determine the price of all available units. In a wet country this might not be very much, but in the desert ...

However, the utility theorists made certain assumptions of their independent of the utility of others, and that utilities are exceptionally determined (in other words determined by external influences only). But utilities are often inter-dependent, fashion trends being an example of the way in which the preferences of one consumer can affect those of others. Utilities can also be endogenously determined in that today's utility can affect tomorrow's utility. For example, £1,000 of wages today may provide what is regarded by a given individual as a luxurious

standard of living, but over time this same individual may become accustomed to his new lifestyle and regard it as rather more of a necessity than a luxury. The satisfaction arising from that same wage thus diminishes and the individual's set of wants changes. Where he once dreamt of owning a motor car, he now dreams of owning two. In this way, today's utility is affected by yesterday's consumption. But since yesterday's consumption is determined by yesterday's utility, an endogenous process is seen to be at work. Utility determines consumption which in turn determines utility.

Much of 'value-free' positive economics is not, in fact, free of values. The value judgements are there, it is just that they are not immediately apparent. The normative economist reminds us of the rather obvious fact that without first defining 'what should be' in our economic life, we cannot go on to decide how best to achieve it. In defining what should be we are immediately forced to make value judgements. The problems begin to arise when we ask who it is that should be empowered to make these value judgements.

# Revealed Knowledge and Deductive Knowledge

It is a common view among many religious denominations that, where the important value judgements are concerned, the Divine Authority has already pronounced quite sufficiently. This is a comforting idea in that it conveniently removes at least one source of argument and confusion that can arise where men are left to make such judgements for themselves. For others, the approach is merely an abdication of intellectual responsibility.

Naturally, the manner in which modern value systems are constructed does not go uncriticised itself. Sessions of Parliament in the United Kingdom often provide a good example of the kind of battles that can occur between groupings that hold opposing value judgements. To favour the rights of the businessman or the rights of the poor? Here, in a simplified form, is one typical

confrontation between capitalist and socialist that is debated on most days of the political calendar across the widest spectrum of topics. Opposing value judgements can severely hamper the legislative process, for on some occasions even the objective of policy is in question and not simply the best means of attaining it.

By implanting a secular order where a religious constitution once existed, the politicians have usurped the Higher Authority's right to make value judgements for us. Religion may be a private matter, it may have little to do with the day to day running of the affairs of state, it may have little pedigree where economics is concerned, but why are the value judgements of politicians to be held in any greater esteem?

Whether we allow our own value judgements, or those of a supposed Divine Being, to rule our lives is an essential question that every society must address at one time or other in its development. The battle here is chiefly between two camps. The one believes that science and religion have little common ground, whether in our physical understanding of the universe around us or in the political, social and economic foundations of our daily lives. The other camp inclines most strongly to the contrary.

Where western thought is concerned, Dr. Maurice Bucaille in *The Bible, The Qur'an and Science* (1981) argues that this supposition of 'conflict' hetween science and religion has arisen largely because of a number of inescapable contradictions between a Biblical text, which for many represents 'religion', and current scientific

knowledge. It was at first held that the corroboration between the scriptures and It was at first held that the corroboration between the scriptures and science was a necessary element to the authenticity of the sacred text. Saint Augustine, in letter No. 82 ... formally established this principle. As Science progressed however it became clear that there were discrepancies between Biblical Scripture and science. It was therefore decided that the comparison would no longer be made ... The existence of these contradictions, improbabilities and incompatibilities does not seem to me to detract from the belief in God. They involve only man's

responsibility. No one can say what might be original to the texts, cidentify imaginative editing, deliberate manipulation of them by men, cunintentional modification of the Scriptures.

The basic argument that often takes place in the secular Westbetween 'men of science' and 'men of religion', is of som relevance to our discussion of value judgements. For some, science has disproved religion and all religious guidance can safely be ignored. Therefore values derived from the Bible, for example, are not necessarily more worthy than values derived from the man nex door.

scientific 'discoveries'. Modern astronomy confirms that the Earth scientific sphere, the guidance of the Qur'an predates many moder created the Earth's plant life before creating the Sun. Rather, in the reject our most basic scientific knowledge by telling us that Gov mankind's scientific investigation. The Qur'an does not ask us to physical sciences has not so far contradicted the results o expanding (Qur'an 21: 30), and that the Sun too follows an orbi and the heavens were once joined together, that the universe i Muslim world. This is in part because Qur'anic guidance in of its own (Qur'an 36:40). Whilst nineteenth century scientists in Conflict between theologian and scientist is far less evident in reproduction, followers of Islam already knew that it is the mal (Qur'an 53: 45/46). Europe were proposing their unlikely theories of huma which determines the sex of

The scientific commentary in the Qur'an dates from a time whe men could not discover the above facts 'scientifically'. The existence of these mysteries is of course no final proof of God'existence, although it would be interesting to hear them explaine away by the modern scientist.

Given the Muslims' faith in the Divine origin of the Qur'an, it is not difficult to understand why the methodology of study understand relies so heavily upon the 'inductive' approach. However

Islam does not exclude the 'deductive' approach so common to western study.

Under the deductive approach, attempts are made to deduce the specific from observations of the general. "A causes B, B causes C, therefore A is the cause of C", argues the deductive thinker. For example, if tar enters the lung when tobacco smoke is inhaled, and if tar is observed to cause cancer of the lung, then one might deduce that smoking causes lung cancer. Here is the basis of a scientific method of investigation which has had many benefits for humanity.

However, deductive thinking, based as it is on observations of the world around us, is not always secure against error. Knowledge obtained by deduction changes according to the evidence at our disposal and is limited by our ability to understand the world around us. As Omerod points out, Copernicus and the Church came to opposite conclusions by analysing the same data. The Sun rose in the east and set in the west. Surely then the Sun orbits the Earth, Copernicus.

In the inductive approach, the specific comes first and the general follows from it. "A and B are true, therefore C is true" argues the inductive thinker. For example, the universe is full of beauty and order requires a designer, therefore there exists a Designer of the universe.

The inductive approach is often criticised for lacking the scientific nethod that is common to deductive thinking. However, some hings cannot be discovered through scientific investigation. In these cases, knowledge revealed to man by God is the only means of finding the answers that we seek. Revelation, it is argued, is erfect and has been revealed as a guidance. And by following it, nan does not need to learn his lessons the hard way.

As far as attitudes to interest are concerned, the Muslim will be hostile because Islam is against interest. Interest is harmful to society and this fact is part of revealed knowledge. Even if man cannot fathom the thinking of the Almighty, the validity of Divine guidance must remain unchallenged. The non-Muslim might see this approach as a case of blind faith where proof is unavailable but, arguing inductively, if the astronomy and biology of the Our'an are reliable why should the economics be any less so?

answers, on our own, in a world where each individual has a right. afterlife realities, we may carry on as before, searching for our own to disagree and where absolute truth cannot often be identified. I do but my discomfort is no argument against the hypothesis. I do the fundamental difference between value judgements borne of a If there is an afterlife, a God, a Day of Judgement and recompense not feel comfortable with this latter and rather lonely proposition, however argue that modern positive economics caters little for a and all that this implies for the motivation behind worldly economic as economic analysis. In the remainder of this work I have simply The world view of Islamic economics requires an appreciation of religious inclination, and those that arise in the non-religious mind. for all Earthly deeds, then our economic analysis should surely attempt to account for this state of affairs. If there are no such belief in God, a belief held in some form by several billion people, behaviour. Value judgements can inform economic activity as well chosen the Islamic set of value judgements as my starting point.

# The Foundations of Islamic Economics

In my introduction I proposed that the economic ideas identifiable in Islam provide what is possibly the last established opposition to the essentially capitalist economics that is now in the ascendancy. However, Islamic economists do not generally aim to re-invent the economic wheel. Many western principles are themselves a fundamental part of Islamic belief and, in many cases, western

principles have themselves been developed through contact with the Muslim world of old.

The Qur'an is regarded by Muslims as the ultimate reference when establishing Islamic law. Under no circumstances can the injunctions of the Qur'an be negated or annulled by reference to any other source.

The practice and traditions of Prophet Muhammad s.a.w., known as *sunnah* (literally 'way' or 'path') and recorded in the *ahadith* (singular *hadith*), are next in order of importance when deriving Islamic principles. During the time of Prophet Muhammad s.a.w., ahadith were passed on orally by those who had committed them to memory. A definitive compilation of ahadith was not attempted until some time after the Prophet Muhammad's s.a.w. death when, in response to growing unease at the hundreds of thousands of varying and contradictory ahadith that had become widespread, the major compilers and biographers began to produce what have now become standard works. The six most authentic ahadith collections, the *Sihah Sitta*, are those of Bukhari, Muslim, Tirmidhi, Abu Daud, Nasa'i and ibn Majah.

The ahadith inform us that the Prophet Muhammad s.a.w. said "... after I am gone differences will arise among you. Compare whatever is reported to be mine with the Book of God. That which agrees therewith you may accept as having come from me. That which disagrees you will reject as a fabrication". Thereafter arose a strict scientific method, under which ahadith were scrutinised for authenticity. For example, the honesty and virtue of the one reporting a hadith, as well as the chain of reporting (the *isnad*), had to be well established in order for that hadith to be authenticated by the early writers. Bukhari used only 4,000 ahadith and Abu Daud 4,800.

Islamic scholars, *ulema*, may encounter an issue upon which there is no specific guidance in the Qur'an or Sunnah. In such a

case, they may indulge in <u>ijithad</u>, the forming of independent judgements, so as to guide Muslims in the relevant activity. The resulting jurisprudence is termed *fiqh* (literally 'comprehension') and the passing of a judgement is termed a *fatwa*. Fiqh may be derived in two ways. Firstly, through achieving a consensus among ulema, termed *ijma*, and secondly through deduction by analogy with existing principles, termed *qiyas*.

Shariah, literally 'fount' but more commonly translated as 'Islamic law', thus comprises rules that are explicit in the Qur'an and Sunnah, as well as rules that are derived therefrom by means of Ijma and Qiyas. There are four major Sunni—schools of thought, these being the Hanifi, Maliki, Shafi'i and Hanbali, and two major Shia schools, the Ithna Ashari's and the Ismaili's. The schools were not established in order to found a new variant of Islam, rather they sought to establish new methods of studying existing guidance.

Islam has a great deal to say about the regulation of human activities, and not just in the economic sphere. It is a religion which highlights its value judgements, prescribing them as a basis for the guidance of mankind. Three foundations underlie this prescription. They are aqidah, the articles of faith which embody a Muslim's belief, akhlaq which embodies the moral and ethical code of Islam, and Shariah. Shariah is often regarded as having two different aspects, namely ibadat (the law pertaining to devotional matters), and muamalat (the law pertaining to civil activity).

From aqidah arises a belief in the oneness of God, tawhid, that is fundamental to Islam. Worship is to be directed to this one God. Other forms of worship, whether they take the form of devotion towards humans, material possessions, or ones own reputation, are contrary to the teachings of Islam. If materialism is seen as a religion, then it is a religion which Islam strives to eradicate.

A second article of faith under aqidah reminds mankind of a Day of Judgement on which the record of worldly life will be brought to bear as witness for or against each individual that has lived on Earth. Ultimate justice will on this day be disbursed by God in recompense for past deeds. In Islam, the worldly life is seen merely as a test, for which eternal paradise is the potential prize. Here is a reward for ones worldly efforts that is infinite, non-monetary and this recompense really does exist, in fact even it is only imagined to exist, then where does it leave the validity of our materialist models of human motivation, based as they are upon such foundations as worldly self-interest and worldly wealth maximisation?

In studying aqidah, one finds that the scriptures revealed by God are provided for the guidance of mankind. Man is not perfect and hence his own laws cannot be perfect either. Man-made law is subject to alteration and revocation, often to suit the ends of the law-makers themselves.

To put Islam in its perspective as the 'final revelation', Muslims also believe that many individuals were called to Prophethood by the very same God prior to the advent of Prophet Muhammad s.a.w.. Among these individuals were Noah, Abraham, Moses and Christ, all of whom are deserving of respect. Thus it is that many religions embody some or many of the principles laid down in Islam. Islam certainly does not claim to have a monopoly-on-truth, muddied, over time, the guidance embodied in other faiths. It is not therefore surprising to find followers of other religions sharing many of the principles of Islam, including those on usury.

Ø

Under muamalat, the enactment of forbidden (or *haram*) activities, the taking of interest for example, is punishable by an Islamic court of law. Other major harams include murder, adultery, theft, the consumption of intoxicants, gambling, astrology and obscene

activities. Any form of involvement, directly or indirectly, with haram activities is prohibited irrespective of the desirability of the perceived outcome that might result therefrom. The principle in Islam is that the ends do not justify the means. In fact, the Prophet Muhammad s.a.w. is related to have said that "only good can come from good", and it would therefore seem that under Islam the means justify the ends. Importantly, the principle of necessity, al-darura, provides an exception to the above rules of prohibition. Where survival is threatened for example, a Muslim is allowed to consume prohibited food or drink. Otherwise, any action that is not haram automatically becomes permissible or halal.

In aqidah and muamalat one may begin to recognise the set of value judgements that informs the Islamic economic model. Muhammad Haykal in *The Life of Muhammad* argues that a society which founds itself upon economic activity, but gives little weight in public life to faith, is incapable of achieving happiness for mankind. The material economic outlook pits man against man as competitor rather than co-operator, worker against capitalist, or entrepreneur against socialist planner. Haykal comments that: The victory of materialist thinking (in the West) was largely due to the establishment of western civilisation primarily upon an economic foundation. This situation led to the rise in the West of a number of world views which sought to place everything in the life of man and the world at the mercy of economic forces...

Our brief discussion of utility suggests that material possessions provide at best a short-lived boost to an individual's happiness. If this proposal is correct, then it is unsurprising that more material wealth becomes the goal of conventional economic policy. Perhaps it is shopping that is now the opium of the people. Islam, in contrast, aims for a regulation of human activity that at the same time offers an outlet for its expression. As Haykal points out, Islam does not deny the human desire to accumulate private wealth, but this desire is not allowed to dominate as is the wont of purely capitalist society, nor is it totally suppressed as in the communist prescription.

Under Islam, the desire to accumulate wealth is regarded as a God-willed fact of human nature. The struggle against the sometimes conflicting desires to accumulate wealth yet to follow the religion of Islam, is then seen as being one of the forms in which the test of life presents itself. Power and wealth are as much a test of human qualities as poverty and weakness in that both have in attendance their own peculiar temptations toward sinful behaviour. The actions of worldly life must therefore be undertaken with consideration for both the present and afterlife consequences, and worldly self-interest is not the sole criterion on which action should be predicated. Economic man is replaced here, as in so many other religious world views, by an altogether less materialistic kind of individual.

None of the above is meant to suggest that wealth is an evil of itself. It is not a principle of Islam that heaven is only open to the poor, rather that the accumulation of wealth should not become an end in itself. Nor can a utopian equality of wealth be implied as a goal of policy in the Islamic model. The Qur'an stresses that inequality in wealth and ability have been established on Earth quite deliberately, resulting as God intended from the differing aptitudes and abilities which He has bestowed upon mankind. No fair policy is seen as capable of completely erasing the resulting inequalities and no policy to achieve such a goal is viewed as desirable. Accepting disparity in wealth as 'natural' does not of course amount to the same thing as acquiescing to the existence of poverty. In fact, the avoidance of hardship is regarded as a fundamental prerequisite for the maintenance of faith.

In Islam, conditions that enable individuals to achieve success in the afterlife become the focus of public policy, not simply the improvement of material standards of living. The state should encourage resources to be allocated with this objective uppermost in mind. This policy is often described as maximising social welfare, or encouraging what is in the public interest (maslahah).

The idea that mankind is God's *khalifa* or vice-regent on Earth has been interpreted by some to suggest that man is a guardian of wealth that ultimately belongs to God. However the correct interpretation of the khalifa concept, according to Ibn Khatir, is that each man is a vice-regent of the previous generation. God needs no vice-regent since He is all powerful and ever-present. For those who are blessed with the enjoyment of God's wealth comes the responsibility of ensuring that those without it are not left in need. This, for the wealthy, is another of the tests of life.

In order to understand the approach to providing social welfare more fully, one should be aware of three categories of resources that have been identified by such scholars as al-Ghazali and al-Shatibi. Their approach is to an extent reflected by western economic thinking, for example in Maslow's 'hierarchy of needs'. Under the Islamic formulation, necessities (daruriat) are those items and activities required in order to sustain the 'five foundations of life'. These foundations are usually classified as being religion, honour, mind, life and wealth. Conveniences (hajiat) are those items that ease the burden of human life but which can be done without if not available. Refinements (tasiniat) then include all other permitted goods and services, being those that do not remove a burden from human life but rather act to brighten or adorn it. Refinements are therefore synonymous with luxuries.

A distinction between need and want now appears. Fahim Khan is one economist who emphasises that human wants are unlimited whilst human need is not. He continues:

Whereas want is determined by the concept of utility, need, in the Islamic perspective, is determined by the concept of maslahah. The objective of Shariah is the welfare of the human being ... Whether a Mercedes car has utility can be decided on the basis of different subjective criteria. For example, because it is good to show off in; or because one likes its design; or because it is manufactured by ones own country or by a country that one likes, etc. There could be innumerable criteria on the basis of which one may decide whether something has a utility. This is

\* Marken (FA

not so in the case of maslahah. The criteria are fixed for everyone and the decision has to be made on the basis of this criteria. This property of maslahah vis-à-vis utility is capable of enhancing the predictability and validity of economic policies because the criteria available for decision making are known.

Fahim Khan: Essays in Islamic Economics (1985)

Islamic scholars proceed to argue that the state's economic policy must be designed so as to encourage the resources of both the state and the private sector toward necessities first, and only thereafter towards conveniences and refinements. Left to its own devices, the market mechanism may be a practical device in providing wealth in return for work, but it is certainly not efficient in providing wealth according to need. The building of luxury residences by a wealthy elite in the midst of a deprived majority would clearly contradict the principles laid down here. Such an allocation of resources would not maximise social welfare and might in fact decrease it as a result of resentment among the poor. Thus arises one justification for the intervention of the state in resource allocation.

Naturally, there is a limit imposed by technology, environment and population upon the wealth-creating capacity of an economy. However, constraints determined by the physical endowment of many natural resources are far less evident. Many will remember the dire predictions of resource depletion that were in vogue during the 1970's. This type of paranoia is not new. Malthus was equally misguided in assuring his contemporaries that the world was soon to starve. Even today, some poorer countries are advised on how best to avoid overpopulation on the basis that too many people require more resources than are available.

Meanwhile, Christopher Booker writes in the Sunday Telegraph, 29 May 1994:

On April 19<sup>th</sup> for instance, the House of Commons was informed that in 1992, under the latest figures, we paid for the growing and destruction of 40,503 tonnes of cauliflowers, 49,370 tonnes of tomatoes, 154,943

tonnes of oranges and 336,992 tonnes of peaches. This means the destruction of four billion peaches - nearly 1,000 tonnes for every day of the year - mostly bulldozed into the ground in Greece. A Government minister, Michael Jack, solemnly assured MP's that the British Government strongly disapproved of this practice and was "pressing the (European) Commission for a radical reform of the arrangements". But that is exactly what politicians have been saying every year for a decade, and nothing will be done this time either.

Introductory texts on economics may inform us that the "economic problem" is one of limited resources being chased by unlimited want, but it seems that the economic problem of our time is more one of fulfillable need left unfulfilled. Excess resources can often be seen where the pessimists choose not to look and, whilst wants are indeed unlimited, need is not. Such a view was close to the heart of Robert Doane. In an America with one billion acres of farmland, half a billion acres of forest and two million miles of roads, he famously lamented how incredible it was that any individual could be in need.

Islam tells us that God has endowed the Earth with <u>sufficient</u> resources to last mankind until the Day of Judgement. As we have seen, one main focus of attention under Islam is how this wealth can be discributed among mankind so as to avoid need. Key in this theory of distribution is <u>zakat</u>. Zakat, literally 'purification', is a wealth levy that applies to Muslims only and varies, according to the nature of the asset in question, from between 2.5% (for personal wealth such as gold and silver) to 20% (in the case of treasure trove when discovered, for example). The revenues raised from zakat form a substantial source of the funding for welfare payments in the Islamic economic system.

The various forms of zakat must be paid by each individual whose wealth is in excess of a threshold, the *nisab*. The nisab itself is to be <u>set by the state</u> at a level that allows for a sufficient standard of living throughout the community and <u>may be varied by the state</u> according to economic conditions. In any event, zakat is not to be imposed where hardship would result from its payment. To this

end the inspection of the living conditions of welfare recipients, a form of means testing, was common in the early Islamic state.

Sakat funds are to be directed in their entirety towards eight classes of beneficiary, quoted below, whether they be Muslim or non-Muslim. Other state revenues would also occasionally be distributed to these permitted causes. They might include voluntary charity (sadaqa), the land tax (kharaj), and (jizhya) a yearly poll tax. In the early Islamic state, Jizhya was levied on non-Muslim able-bodied men only, this group being exempt from military service yet simultaneously protected by the Muslim state army. But it was under the institution of zakat that the Islamic state was to the greatest extent provided with a means of funding and implementing an early welfare mechanism.

Alms are for the poor and the needy, and those employed to administer the funds: for those whose hearts have been recently reconciled to Truth: for those in bondage and in debt: in the cause of God: and for

Onr'an 9:60

Modern welfare systems exist in recognition of the fact that the happiness of the rich and the happiness of the poor are inseparable in the long run. The conventional wealth lobby tends to stress ideas of punishment for offenders, the free market, survival of the fittest and other predatory theories, but deterrence and competition have little meaning for the poor unless accompanied by hope and opportunity.

source for many welfare payments, yet despite an increasing burden of tax we find that wealth distribution often remains stubbornly in favour of the few. According to The Economist, in the United Kingdom during 1994 some 5% of the population owned more than \$50% of the country's wealth. Meanwhile, the poorest 20% laid claim to less than 5% of that wealth. In this environment, the transfer of one-tenth of the wealth of the former group would suffice in doubling the material standard of living of

the latter. Some wants might be sacrificed in this process, but they would be the wants of rich. Meanwhile, the poor would be allowed to satisfy at least some of their needs.

In seeking to achieve true wealth redistribution, we see one very good reason why it is that value judgements need to come from a Higher Authority and not from a parliament of men. To impose a wealth tax upon the country's rich may in theory provide a solution to the problem of gross inequality in wealth. But in practice will the laws be passed if the law-makers are the rich themselves?

prescription. It cannot be put in place by the simple passage of laws for, like Prohibition in America, these laws would fail hopelessly without the support of the people. Elements of Islamic law when applied individually may prove as unsuccessful as the policies which they are intended to improve upon. A man cannot be expected to refrain from stealing food if his alternative is death by staryation. For the state to exact a penalty for theft, without having provided an alternative for those who are starving, would be quite wrong.

## TRADE OR INTEREST?

Here, the Islamic approach to trade and finance is introduced. The prohibition on <u>usury</u> is discussed and the concepts of <u>gharar</u> and <u>maisir</u> outlined. The various forms of Islamic contract that are of particular relevance to economics are summarised and categorised under contracts of <u>exchange</u>, contracts of <u>charity</u> and contracts of investment. The manner in which these contracts are applied in the modern world of Islamic finance is reviewed and criticised. Particular attention is given to a comparison of murabahah and interest. A description follows of the way in which Islamic contract laws might operate so as to prevent some of the economic evils described in the preceding chapters.

### What is Usury?

Islamic economics does not rely simply upon religious preaching to achieve its goals. The injunction requiring Muslims to pay zakat is one example of detailed guidance on a specific issue. Likewise, a variety of injunctions can be seen to influence the course that commercial activity may follow, of which three are extremely important. These injunctions relate to usury (riba), gambling (maisir) and deception (gharar) and they are embodied in both the Qur'an and the ahadith.

The literal translation of the Arabic word *riba* is increase, addition or growth, though it is usually translated as 'usury'. As the following discussion shows, usury is not to be regarded solely as the practice of taking interest on a loan.

### FORMS OF RIBA

Riba al-Qarud Riba al-Buyu

Riba al-Fadl

Riba al-Nasa

Two major forms of riba are defined in Islam. They are riba al-qarud which relates to usury involving loans, and riba al-buyu which relates to usury involving trade. The practice of both kinds of riba was well established by the pagan Arabs before the time of the Prophet Muhammad s.a.w., though he reminded his companions that riba could appear in many other different forms.

Riba al-qarud, the usury of loans, involves a charge on a loan arising due to the passage of time, in other words a loan at interest, and is often referred to as *riba al-nasia*, the usury of waiting. It arises where a borrower of anothers wealth, in any form, enters into a contract to repay to that other person a pre-agreed amount in addition to the principal that was borrowed. In whatever manner this increase arises, if it is fixed in absolute amount at the outset of the transaction, the loan becomes a usurious one.

Some scholars have in the past asserted that the prohibition on riba al-nasia relates <u>only</u> to high interest charges and not to all forms of interest. Others such as Tantawi the Sheikh of al-Azhar in Cairo at the time of writing, argue that bank interest is a sharing of the bank's profit and is therefore permissible. Such views have now been almost unanimously rejected.

Thus, in order to avoid riba al-buyu, both the quality and quantity of the exchanged amounts must match and the exchange must be simultaneous. Hence, if wheat is to be exchanged for wheat, then it must be exchanged for an equal weight and quality simultaneously. Though six commodities are mentioned specifically, some scholars maintain that the principle extends to all commodities. Naturally, by paying in the form of currency, any commodity can be procured. Abu Sa'id said that Bilal brought to the Prophet some barni dates and when the Prophet asked him how the dates had been obtained, Bilal replied, I had some inferior dates so I sold two sas for a sa'. On this the Prophet said 'Ah the very essence of riba, the very essence of riba. Do not do so, but if you wish to buy, sell your dates in a separate transaction, then buy with the proceeds'.

Hadith: Muslim

In the ahadith, the Prophet Muhammad s.a.w. condemns the one who takes riba, the one who pays riba, the one who writes the agreement for riba and the witnesses to the agreement.

Some scholars have in the past asserted that the prohibition on riba al-nasia relates only to high interest charges and not to all forms of interest. Others such as Tantawi, the Sheikh of al-Azhar in Cairo at the time of writing, argue that bank interest is a sharing of the bank's commercial profit and is therefore permissible. However, these views have been widely rejected.

might say that it occurs where both counterparties in a transaction do not enter, or cannot be assured of entering at a later date, into a fair exchange of countervalues. However, it must be stressed that this is not a definition to be observed directly in the literature. Fairness is perhaps one of those things that is hard to define but which most people recognise when they see it. Naturally, some elaboration on the meaning of the word from an Islamic perspective will be required in due course. In the meantime, it is

quite clear that the Qur'an requires that usury is given up in all its forms and in its entirety.

O you who believe, give up what remains of your demand for usury if you are indeed believers. If you do it not, take notice of a war from God and his Messenger.

Qur'an 2: 278 to 279

Gambling, like theft, is regarded in Islam as one form of injustice in the appropriation of others' wealth and therefore has much in common with the concept of riba. The act of gambling, al-qimar or al-maisir, sometimes referred to as al-murahanah or betting on the occurrence of a future event, is prohibited and no reward accrues for the employment or spending of wealth that an individual may gain through means of gambling:

They ask thee concerning intoxicants and gambling. Say, "In them is great sin, and some profit for men: but the sin is greater than the profit." Our'an 2:219

The Prophet said 'If a man earns by sinful acts and gives it in charity or kind action or spends it in the way of God, God will throw him into Hell after collecting everything'.

al-Ghazali: The Revivification of the Religious Sciences

With regard to gharar, Islamic law is clear that it should not be present in any contractual agreement. One cannot for example sell what one does not own, the process of short-selling, because this is regarded as a form of deception. The word gharar is sometimes interpreted as meaning 'uncertainty' rather than deception. One cannot sell an item of uncertain quality, an unborn call for example, since the buyer and the seller do not know exactly what it is that they are trading.

None of the above implies that a contract can be deemed invalid on the basis that the future outcome is not known. The future is always unknown from man's point of view. But not knowing whether one shall make a profit as a result of entering into an investment contract is not the same as the lack of knowledge that

exists where the subject matter of the investment contract itself is uncertain.

Concerning risk and uncertainty, few Muslim writers seem to differentiate between the two. But in the conventional literature risk is sometimes regarded as that which applies when likely and unlikely outcomes can be forecast on the basis of past experience. Uncertainty is sometimes said to exist where the likely outcome of a future process cannot be determined from an appraisal of past experience. Some people take their holidays in the Summer because the risk of cold weather is minimal, but past experience can never give one an idea of the likely outcome of a coin toss. Uncertainty in a contract may invalidate it, but risk is not the same as uncertainty.

The Islamic literature often focuses upon protecting the buyer from deception and uncertainty in a sale contract since it is assumed that the seller is the one who is better informed of the item that is being sold. Hence Nabil Saleh in *Unlawful Gain and Legitimate Profit in Islamic Law* quotes ibn-Rushd in *Bidayat al-Mujtahid*: Gharar in sale transactions causes the buyer to suffer damage and is the result of a want of knowledge which affects either the price or the subject

However, a contract wherein a given item is sold at a price to be determined in the future according to some specified event involves uncertainty and could be detrimental to the seller *or* the buyer. It is not therefore always the case that uncertainty affects the buyer only.

## Forms of Contract in Islam

Although the injunctions on riba, maisir and gharar are general in scope, an investigation of the subject of trade in the ahadith will

uncover a flexibility that caters for a variety of specific commercial situations. For example, though a seller is generally required to own what he is about to sell, for farmers who wish to contract with buyers prior to harvest, it is permissible to sell what one does not yet own. A farmer who is growing fruit that is nearing ripeness is permitted to sell his fruit for delivery at a future date, being the date when it is expected to be fully ripe. In doing this the farmer might be said to sell that which he does not own since he sells ripe fruit yet possesses less than fully ripe fruit. However, given certain conditions, the Prophet Muhammad s.a.w. allowed this procedure under the contract of bay al-salam (to be described in more detail later).

The most widely recognised Islamic forms of commercial contract are often grouped into three categories. These are: a) contracts of exchange, where both parties receive a countervalue; b) contracts of charity, where the donor does not arrange to receive a countervalue, and c) contracts of investment where assets (or effort) are invested into a project on a profit-sharing basis. Other contract forms do exist, for example contracts of security, and these impact upon a wide range of economic activity. A table showing the most commonly encountered contracts appears below.

According to al-Ghazali in *Revivification of the Religious Sciences*, and al-Shafi'i in *Kitab al-Umm*, a contract, or <u>al-aqd</u>, must be enacted between counterparties who are: capable of understanding the implications of the contract, in other words who are *mumalysiz*; in a sane and mentally competent condition (hence very ill or intoxicated persons cannot make a valid contract); represented by a sighted person if blind, or; represented by an agent if unwilling or unable to act in their own name. Here are some terms which, in the opinions of these scholars and in their day, were required to ensure that fairness was maintained in business dealings.

Other Forms	of Contract	
Contracts of	Investment	
Contracts of	Charity	
Contracts of	Exchange	

Murabahah	3ay al-Salam	Ijara	
	am		
Qard Hasan	Hiba	Waqf	
Mudarabah	Musharakah		
Bahn	Daman	Wadia	

Istisna

# SOME FORMS OF CONTRACT IN ISLAM

Y 7 N W.

Leave the tenets of Islam are permissible so long as the above conditions of contract apply:

Hazrut ibn Masud was once asked by a man 'Shall I take a loan from a man whom I know to be a bad man?' Ibn Masud replied 'Yes'. Ibn Masud was asked by another, 'Shall I accept the invitation of a man who takes interest?' Ibn Masud replied 'Yes'.

al-Chazali: Revivification of the Religious Sciences

Every contract must satisfy certain basic conditions: it should be expressed in clear and unambiguous terms; it should come into force after an offer, in writing (bil kitabah) or verbally (bil kalam), is accepted; it must be enforceable in its own right; it must not be conditional upon any future event; it must not be agreed under duress; and it is invalid if either countervalue involves a haram item or activity. These conditions, excepting the distinction between the halal and the haram, are regarded as basic to any contractual relationship throughout the developed world today.

## Contracts of Exchange

The Arabic word bay refers to any transaction in which ownership of an asset is exchanged between a seller and buyer, in return for money or by barter.

Exchange transactions can be for immediate exchange or for deferred exchange.

O you who believe! When you deal with each other in transactions involving future obligations in a fixed period of time ...
Our'an 2: 282

It is recommended that future contracts be evidenced in writing.

O you who believel When you deal with each other in transactions involving future obligations in a fixed period of time, reduce them into writing

Qur'an 2: 282

Spot transactions need not be evidenced in writing but witnesses are recommended.

... but if it be a transaction which you carry out on the spot among yourselves, there is no blame on you if you reduce it not to writing. But take witnesses whenever you make a commercial contract ...

Qur'an 2: 282

The item for sale should be under ownership of the seller and in his physical or constructive possession at the time of contracting the

The messenger of Allah forbade me to sell a thing which is not my property

Hadith: Tirmidhi

The messenger of Allah forbade me to sell a thing which is not my property or something that is not apparent and seen clearly.

Hadith: Bukhari

The subject matter of an exchange contract must have value of some kind. According to the Shafi'i and Hanbali schools, the 'usufruct' of an asset (in other words, the benefit arising from the use of that asset) can be considered as property and thus can be

Hanbali schools on this matter. Lumpur, most scholars of later periods side with the Shafi'i and Maliki schools do not share this view. According to Professor subjected to an exchange transaction, whereas the Hanafi and Hashim Kamali at the International Islamic University in Kuala

a view does not seem to prohibit the making of a public offer to sell to the highest bidder, is disallowed by many jurists. However such A sale by public auction, bay muzayadah, in which the item is sold

The Shariah permits making [a] public offer with fixed deadlines for acceptance, binding the offeror to abide by the deadline he has fixed. Similar rules relating to wages are abundant in Islamic jurisprudence.

The Islamic Training and Research Institute (1990)

the contractus trinius in Chapter One) that may, in themselves, be quite acceptable (see the discussion of agreements being constructed from a set of underlying contracts condition. If followed\_strictly, it helps to prevent usurious transaction under a separate contract. Here is an important identifiable transaction, individuals should instead enter into each than signing a single contract to cover more than one separately A contract of sale must relate to only one transaction. Hence, rather

two sale transactions. The messenger of God has forbidden making one contract of sale from

Hadith: Tirmidhi, Nasa'i

signifies the point at which a contract is concluded, for example a exchange transaction, and ownership remains with the seller until handshake or a signature. delivery is made. In this respect, there should be a formal event that The price agreed must be fixed at the time of contracting the

implementation of a service contract on a manufactured item sold conditions may be imposed upon the sale, for example the Though a sale cannot be made conditional upon a future event,

> (see 'daman'). to a buyer, or the availability of a warranty against defective goods

to three days, whilst other scholars do not precisely define the to cancel a transaction during a given period after conclusion of Both the buyer and seller may be given an option, khiyar al-majlis, period of time allowed that transaction. The Hanafi school limits the period of this qption

All the conditions agreed upon by the Muslims are to be upheld, except a condition which allows what is prohibited or prohibits what is lawful And finally, any condition may be applied to an transaction so long as it complies with the injunction that

simultaneously, certain rules, and some exceptions to those rules, cash for goods or services (in other words as 'spot transactions'). exchange transactions on the basis of a simultaneous exchange of apply. The following contracts are widely recognised. the one hand, and goods or services on the other) are not exchanged Naturally, individuals will not always be in a position to conduct Where the two countervalues to an exchange transaction (cash on

only had recourse to the asset in question. In any event, he could trader's ownership, and the risk that the buyer might not be able to make the required payments after taking delivery of the asset from date, for example the risk of damage to the goods whilst in the specified location. The trader would perform this service for a fee not charge further 'fees' where repayment of the due amount was the trader. Hence, in the event of default, the trader in a murabahah and would bear the various risks of the transaction. These risks buy goods on behalf of that individual and deliver them to a included those related to ownership of the goods until the delivery the appointment of a trader by an individual, the trader agreeing to At the time of the Prophet Muhammad s.a.w., murabahah involved

delayed. Here, murabahah can be seen as a contract of exchange in which the buyer pays the trader for the service of delivering a given item.

In the modern world of Islamic finance, murabahah is often referred to as 'cost-plus financing' and is often applied to raw material purchases as a form of short term trade credit. Typically, an Islamic bank will purchase items required by the client and then sell them more or less immediately to that client at a higher price. This 'mark-up' is usually quoted as a percentage rate—that must be applied to all the costs incurred by the banker in fulfillment of the murabahah, such as the costs of purchase, storage and transport. The client contracts to settle the amount outstanding in one lump sum upon delivery of the items. If the client makes payment for the goods in instalments, the contract becomes one of bay mu'ajjal (sometimes referred to as bay bithaman ajil or BBA).

Thus, for example, in murabaha, all risks associated to ownership must be borne by the bank even fleetingly before the article is delivered to the client. Otherwise, the mark up will [be] tantamount to riba.

Islamic Training and Research Institute: Developing a System of Financial Instruments (1986)

The *Islamic Training and Research Institute* also quotes the report submitted to the state authorities by the Council of Islamic Ideology in Pakistan in 1980:

Safeguards would, therefore, need to be designed so as to restrict its [bay mu'ajjal's] use only to inescapable cases. In addition, the range of mark-up on purchase prices would have to be regulated strictly so as to avoid the ... [appearance] of interest in a different garb

Bay al-salam is a contract for deferred delivery of commodities, with a specified delivery date and full advance payment Originally, the primary use for this form of contract was in the financing of crops and raw material production. Full advance settlement of the agreed trade price is required but the goods that are subject to the transaction need not yet exist. The quantity and quality of the items to be delivered should however be defined, and should not depend

upon unforeseeable factors. Thus the quantity of goods purchased under the bay al-salam contract cannot be defined as that resulting from the cultivation of a given plot of land since such a quantity may vary according to climate and other unforeseeable factors. Items must be indistinguishable in nature (in other words 'fungible') in order to avoid delivery difficulties. Hence, rare items, or those that are not precisely specifiable, cannot be the subject of the bay al-salam contract. If, upon delivery, the quality or quantity of the items are found to be other than specified, the buyer has the right of refusal. The buyer does not own the goods until after delivery, but may take a performance bond from the seller.

Whoever wishes to enter into a contract of salam, he must effect the salam according to the specified measure and the specified weight and the specified date of delivery.

Hadith: all Sihah Sitta

specialing inda

leases therefore tend to look very similar to interest-based loans. Ijara represents/the purchase of the usufruct of an asset in return agreement. Under an operating lease, the one renting the asset (the 'lessor') does not recover the full value of the asset through lease benefits of owning that asset at the end of the rental term. Modern 'finance leases' differ in that the lease payments are structured so for a payment, where ownership of the asset itself is not transferred. This contract accords with a modern 'operating lease' payments and is therefore exposed to at least some of the risks and as to provide the lessor with a full return of the funds invested in the asset plus a pre-agreed rate of return on those funds. Finance secured by collateral except that, in the case of a finance lease, the and accounting differences between interest-based loans and the above forms of leasing agreement that can make the latter more collateral is the leased asset itself. There are however certain legal attractive to a borrower than an outright interest-based loan.

Under an alternative approach towards Islamic leasing, an approach not sanctioned by every commentator, the one renting the asset (the 'lessee') can agree at the outset to buy the asset

during, or at the end of, the lease period. In this case the lease takes on the nature of a hire purchase contract known as *ijara wa iqtina'* (literally, lease and purchase). The cash-flows involved in such a lease can be structured to look very much like an interest-based loan secured by collateral.

Another form of transaction under this category, *istisna*, involves a contract of exchange allowing deferred delivery at a specified date. The contract relates to the production of made-to-order items and allows a manufacturer to fund the production process by receiving the sale price of his produce up-front. A detailed specification of the item to be produced must-be agreed-between the buyer and seller prior to commencement of the production process. Some jurists maintain that up-front payment is not a pre-requisite under istisma, in other words that both countervalues may be exchanged at a date in the future, although authentic evidence in support of this position is not widely available.

It is important to note that Muslim scholars have traditionally prohibited the trading of debt (bay al-dayn) at anything other than face value. Thus, if person B lends person A £100, B cannot then sell this debt to person C for anything other than £100. (If B sold the debt to C for, say £90, then C would effectively be earning interest of £10 on a loan of £90 made to A).

Where the sale of debt obligations in exchange for immediate payment is concerned, most jurists are of the opinion that such can only occur where the debt is sold at face value. Where the price paid for a debt is not the same as the face value of that debt, the transaction would be tantamount to riba al-nasia and is therefore prohibited. Among the achievements of the Islamic Fiqh Academy (affiliated to the OIC) was the issuing of a detailed decision ... for deeds based on debts, which shall be non-negotiable except where transfer is made with the exchange of the face value of that debt. If they are purchased for less than their face value this would be the same principle as is applied to discounting of bills of exchange which is prohibited.

al-Qardawi: Islamic Capital Market Conference, Malaysia (1996)

However, consensus on this topic does not currently exist. For instance, Professor Kamali quotes ibn-Taimiyah and al-Darir, as being in favour of bay al-dayn, and argues in favour of the transaction on the basis that there is no clear and authentic prohibition to be found in the Qur'an or Sunnah.

If the trading of debt is <u>prohibited</u> in Islam, there is <u>no such</u> restriction upon the <u>selling</u> of an <u>investment</u> holding. A hotelier might for example, purchase a property and rent rooms to travellers on a daily basis in <u>order to earn a profit</u>. At a later stage, the hotelier might decide to sell his business to another entrepreneur. This latter transaction is quite acceptable under Islamic law since it involves the sale of an asset, not the sale of a debt.

Shariah permits the disposition of title of ownership, and even goes so far as to permit, according to the Malikites and some jurists, the disposition of debts through pawning and selling under certain conditions ... regardless of whether the pawning of debt is carried out by the debtor himself or anyone else.

Islamic Training and Research Institute (1990): Developing a System of Financial Instruments

The trading of assets is allowed under Islam in a variety of ways. For example, a hotel could be sold by means of a cash sale to a willing buyer. Alternatively, if the hotel is owned by a company, the shares of that company can be sold instead. The price at which these shares change hands is a matter for the counterparties involved in the transaction to decide. Islam does not prohibit one from buying and selling assets, or certificates representing those assets, at an agreed price according to market conditions. Here is the contract of bay al-sikak, sale by document.

### Contracts of Charity

Among the contracts of charity that one frequently encounters in the literature on Islamic finance are the following:

Qard hasan, an interest-free loan, is extended by a few Islamic banks to investment account customers, by others to-needy and underprivileged borrowers such as students and the poor, or occasionally as business development loans for small enterprises. Loans with service charges operate on the same basis as for qard hasan, except that the former incur a service charge applied by a bank for the costs of administration. Service charges were deemed by the Jeddah based Council of the Figh Academy in 1986 as not bearing resemblance to interest as long as they amount to the administrative costs borne by the lender and no more.

As for hiba, gifts, according to al-Ghazali they are permissible provided that: a) no goods or services or other benefits are given or arranged to be given in return; b) the recipient has no administrative or state powers, lest a gift should be viewed as a bribe, and c) in the event that a gift constitutes haram property, it must returned, destroyed or given to charity.

A wagf, or charitable endowment, is established where a donor gifts an asset in perpetuity to some named cause, specifying that the usuffuct of that asset only, not the asset itself, should be used for the charitable purpose. The endowment assets must be held in trust for the beneficiary and may not be sold by the trust. Furthermore, the assets must not be used as security for any other transaction. The trust manager is liable for mismanagement or negligence of trust assets.

## Contracts of Investment

Under mushardkah, all parties to a project contribute finance on a profit-sharing basis and have the right but not the obligation to exercise executive powers in that project. Musharakah can be established as permanent musharakah in which invested funds are not subject to repayment in the short term, or as diminishing musharakah where invested funds are repaid over time as profitability allows. Divestment terms are stated at the outset of the financing agreement. Musharakah bears many similarities to

modern limited partnership structures and the holding of voting stock in a limited company. All partners must contribute capital to the partnership, and profits may be shared in any ratio agreed between the partners. A partner's maximum loss is limited to the amount of his contribution to the total financing and losses are to be shared according to the financing share of each partner. Permission from existing partners is required before raising fresh capital from other parties.

According to Imam Hanifa, the contribution of capital to the musharakah is to be made in the form of cash. Imam Malik however argues that a non-cash contribution can be made provided that its cash value can be established prior to employment in the partnership. Thus material contributions must first be valued or sold for cash before establishing the contributor's share in the partnership.

This argument over musharakah was based upon the premise that where separately identifiable assets are employed, no-pooling of assets can occur and thus no partnership in business can be established. Imam Shafi'i argues that fungible commodities can be contributed as non-cash capital, but not non-fungible items. Al-Ghazali also argues that pooling of assets must occur and that non-fungible contributions of capital are disallowed.

Arabic language from the word mudarib, a user of others' capital. The mudarib contributes management input, itself viewed as a form of capital, to a productive process and can be regarded as an entrepreneur. Under mudarabah, an investor of funds (a rabb al-mal) contracts with a mudarib to enter into a business venture on a profit-sharing basis, giving the mudarib full control over the funds provided. Thus, the rabb al-mal is an investor of cash on a non-executive basis. Once again, a ratio of profit-sharing between the mudarib and the investor is agreed at the outset of the project. Meanwhile, losses must be shared according

absence of a guaranteed wage, the entrepreneur has no recompense remuneration in any other form than profit-share. Given the capital to the project or raise fresh capital from others on the basis for his efforts unless the project is profitable. of mudarabah. Importantly, the mudarib is not allowed to draw the rabb al-mal, the mudarib may contribute some of his own loss is limited to his share of the financing. With the permission of to the financing share of each investor but the investor's maximum

named because the mudarib, the user of others' capital, qualifies to get a share of profits on account of his endeavours and work. He thus strive according to his discretion. ... The owner of capital thus surrenders participates in the profit as well as having the right to use capital and his own rights over that portion of capital to the user of capital The word mudarabah is derived from 'Darb on earth'. It has been so lmam Sarakhsi

it. They agree that its form is that the Qirad gives to another person capital that can be used in business. The Amil (user of capital) gets, words any portion that is agreed, one fourth, one third, or even one half. according to conditions, some specified proportion of the profit, in other Qirad. It was an institution in the pre-Islamic period and Islam confirmed There is no disagreement among Muslims about the permissibility of

## Other Forms of Contract

the pledged item default and maintenance of the pledged item falls to the holder of irrevocable. The pledged item must be saleable in the event of Rahn, a pledge of security, may be made provided that the pledge is

by a third party can only occur with the permission from safe-keeping fall on the owner. Use of the deposited item the trust, in which case compensation is due. The costs of delivery pay compensation in the event of unintended loss or damage to the item held in trust unless payment is received for the performance of deposit, holds that item in trust (amana). A trustee does not have to A person who enters a contract of safe-keeping (wadia) for a

> permission, any subsequent loss must be fully compensated by the deposited item without the permission of the owner. In the event of contract another trustee to undertake the safe-keeping of a defines the basis upon which an Islamic current bank account is to resulting profits or losses accrue to the depositor. This principle of the depositor in which case most commentators insist that all the trustee using the trust item in some manner without the owher's be established as discussed in Chapter Seven. The trustee cannot returned by the trustee. trustee. Upon the demand of the owner, the deposited item must be

third party. seller to a buyer against loss or damage caused by the item sold to a custody of the trustee, and daman al-tarrud a surety given by a al-talaf is a guarantee to make up any loss to property whilst in the due to violation of contract on the part of the bank itself. Daman constitutes a liability to make up a loss-and has been applied in guarantee or warranty) exists in various forms. Daman al-nags Islamic banking as a guarantee against the loss of depositors' funds The contract of daman (which can be translated as surety,

are being interpreted under Islam. For example, industrialists too take time to produce because of the manner in which these various types of contract contract is therefore of great use to them. However, a heated order to serve a diverse range of commercial requirements. debate is now occurring within the world of Islamic finance their output and an ability to enter into a made-to-order (istisna) who would suffer were forward sales to be totally prohibited have been adopted by many Islamic banks over recent years in Contracts of exchange such as murabahah, istisna and bay mu ajjal A Step Forwards or a Step Backwards? The farmer awaiting ripening of his crops is not the only one and employed, and because

practice Islamic financing has come to rely much more heavily upon contracts of exchange than contracts of investment.

The arguments for and against using contracts of exchange as a means of providing finance are not always clearly defined in the literature. Let us therefore clarify the issue at hand before proceeding. As we have seen, it is agreed among Islamic scholars that a businessman is allowed to share the profits of his business with an investor who finances that business. The investor and the each share prior to the commencement of business. However, some commentators argue that profit-sharing does not satisfy all of the order to make a monetary profit. So how would a Muslim raise the wishing to buy a house usually buys that house to live in, not in money to buy a house if that house never produces a profit which can be shared with a financier? The answer according to some is businessman agree the proportions of future profit that they will potential demands for financing in an economy. An individual for the Islamic banker to use a contract of exchange, such as BBA, instead of a contract of investment.

Imagine, for example, that an individual approaches an Islamic bank having identified a house that he wishes to purchase from a builder. The banker agrees to buy the house from the builder on behalf of the individual at the market price of say £100,000, and then sells it to the individual for a price of £150,000 to be paid in instalments of £7,500 per year over twenty years. The 'mark-up' of £50,000 represents the banker's profit, not an interest charge, argue the Islamic bankers who practise this technique. The bank acts as a trader, they say, buying the house for £100,000 and selling it for £150,000. In this manner, a contract of exchange is used to provide the required finance to the house buyer.

Among the agreements that are normally required by the banker under a BBA contract is a 'promise to buy'. This promise would be given to the bank by the individual *before* the banker buys the

house from the builder. Under this promise, the individual confirms that he will buy the house from the bank at an agreed date in the future. With the promise in its possession, the bank purchases the house from the builder and then sells it on to the individual. The banker will usually take some form of security for repayment of the instalments, such as a charge over the house. This is the familiar process of taking collateral that we have reviewed in earlier chapters, and it allows the bank to sell the house in order to repay any outstanding instalments if the individual defaults.

So far, there seems to be very <u>little practical difference between</u> the cash-flows paid under BBA (or ordinary murabahah) on the one hand and interest-based forms of finance on the other. An interest-based bank might also advance £100,000 to a borrower for the purchase of our hypothetical house, and require repayments of £7,500 per year for twenty years.

Neither is there any noticeable *qualitative* difference between the two forms of finance. The Islamic bank tends to take a charge over the house, just as interest-based banks do, and would be empowered to sell it in the event of the borrower's default, just as interest-based banks would be. In this manner, Islamic banks attempt to guarantee receipt of their mark-up to no less a degree than any interest-based bank would attempt to guarantee its interest charges under a conventional loan.

Some say that the difference between Islamic and conventional finance in this case is that, under Islamic finance, the house is transferred into the individual's ownership in the first instance instead of a cash loan of £100,000. But this argument is irrelevant because it relates to the mamer in which the financing is put in place, whereas we are trying to determine whether the payments due under that financing are equivalent to the charging of interest. And in many cases, the argument is simply incorrect. Even a conventional bank might pay the loaned sum of money direct to

the builder's account when the deed of ownership is transferred to the buyer.

When a pre-agreed rate of return is combined with a promise to buy, there arises a situation which is indistinguishable in its practical aspects from the definition of riba al-nasia that was introduced at the beginning of this discussion. BBA and murabahah contracts allow Islamic banks to compete with conventional banks in the sphere of interest-based lending, which as we have seen in earlier chapters is the lifeblood of banking. This competitive success has been accomplished simply by setting the murabahah mark-up in line with prevailing interest rates. As a result, not only do the cash-flows of most Islamic financing contracts look like interest, they are also set at the same level as market rates of

Let us go back to the house, the builder and the individual for one moment, and look at the problem from a slightly different perspective. Now it is of course quite permissible under Islam for the individual to buy the house from a builder for £100,000 in cash and to occupy it more or less immediately. Alternatively, that individual might negotiate with the builder to pay instalments of £5,000 per year for twenty years. The same sale price would then be paid, £100,000, but over a twenty year period instead of in one lump sum up-front. No interest here. In both cases the builder would make his profit, being the difference between the cost of building the house and the £100,000 sale price. And in both cases the individual would buy the house to live in under a contract of exchange whose validity few scholars would question.

Imagine now that the builder offers the house at £100,000 for up-front payment but at £150,000 for instalment payment over twenty years. If the buyer decides to go for the deferred payment option, does the extra £50,000 represent an amount of interest charged by the builder, or just a further amount of profit that the builder is trying to make? And if the builder of the house can

charge this extra amount for deferred payment, why can't an Islamic bank do the same thing when it buys an asset and then sells it on more expensively?

... they say 'Trade is like usury', but God hath permitted trade and forbidden usury.

Qur'an 2: 274 to 275

Perhaps those who oppose BBA and murabahah are the 'they' that the Qur'an is referring to. Could it be that the practice described above is in fact permissible in Islam? One might think that Islamic scholars could guide the layman in understanding what really is the difference between trade and usury. Yet even the scholars are divided on some of the crucial issues. For example, regarding the instalment sale of an asset by a financier in the manner described above, Imam Shafi'i is regarded as being favourably inclined by most commentators. However, Imam Majik would seem to be against:

(1353) It reached Malik that the apostle of Allah (may peace be upon him) prohibited two sales within a sale. [Professor Rahimuddin comments: It means that the seller tells the buyer 'I shall sell this cloth to you for Rs. 10 for cash or for Rs. 15 on credit'].

(1354) It reached Malik that a person told another to buy a camel for him for cash and that he would buy it from him at an appointed time on credit. Abd Allah b. Umar considered it a bad kind of transaction and prohibited it.

(1355) Qasim b. Muhammad was asked about the case of a man who purchased a thing for ten dinars on cash or for fifteen dinars at an appointed date on credit, and he considered it bad business and prohibited it.

Imam Malik: Muwatta', (Rahimuddin translation)

Perhaps it is because there are so few independent scholars with a detailed understanding of modern finance that clear guidance is often unavailable. Whatever the case may be, in the theoretical vacuum of Islamic finance, the words 'murabahah' and 'BBA' are often used as labels with which to market conventional banking services.

If Islamic banks enacted true murabahah, of the kind described in our review of contracts of exchange, there might be less controversy. It is however a different matter when a banker attempts-to-guarantee-receipt of a pre-agreed mark-up by forcing promises to buy and other such contractual obligations upon the purchaser. When these techniques are employed, the very least one can say is that the Islamic banker enters into the realm of what is doubtful.

Some argue that if BBA is indeed a form of interest then a huge number of Muslim shopkeepers should be condemned for charging interest of 50% when selling their stock to customers at a mark-up of 50%. But, in a modern murabahah or BBA contract, the banker agrees to sell goods to his customer *before* purchasing them from a supplier (this of course being the purpose of the promise to buy). In contrast, a corner shop trader agrees to sell goods to a customer after buying those goods from a supplier. The corner shop trader takes the risk that no one will buy his stock. Islamic bankers don't take this risk if they can possibly help it.

The builder of our house charges a price which he is free to determine according to market conditions. He may offer this price for payment in any way that he sees fit, either cash up-front or payment by instalment. If his price is too high he may reduce it so that a willing buyer comes forward. The difference between the builder's cost and his selling price is then his profit. But if the builder seeks to increase his price in recompense for a delay in receiving payment, he is now attempting to earn extra revenue due to the passage of time. In short, he is charging a gain of waiting, riba al-nasia.

It is a gain of waiting that benefits those who deposit with (in other words, lend money to) a bank. The bank in turn lends that money to its borrowers, and the borrowers pay interest charges back to the bank. The sooner our builder lends his money to the bank, the sooner he will begin to receive his share of those interest

charges. Under Islam, the builder <u>may not benefit</u> from borrowers in this way. So why should he be permitted to do so if the bank is left out of the equation?

When the builder allows the buyer of the house to pay under deferred payments, the buyer will be in debt to the builder just as he would have been in debt to the bank. And just as the bank requires more in return than it gives, so does the builder. "My price is £100,000", says the builder, "but if you can't pay me now, take the house and pay me £150,000 later". This is the language of usury, not of trade.

To relate the analogy of the builder to the realms of the Islamic financial market, a final digression is in order.

From the earliest days of large-scale financing, some borrowers would issue bonds to their lenders. The bond would stand in evidence of an amount of money loaned. The amount to be repaid by the borrower at the end of the loan period would be stated on the face of the bond (which is why it became known as the 'face value'). Part of the bond document would be divided by perforations into separate sections, each section known as a 'coupon'. When an interest payment became due, the holder of the bond was required to tear off the appropriate coupon and return it to the issuer in order to claim his payment. Eventually, the term 'coupon' became widely used to describe the level of interest instalments on a bond.

A bond is said to be a 'zero-coupon bond' if no coupons (i.e. interest instalments) are due to the bondholder during the life of that bond. Investors therefore only buy zero-coupon bonds at a price that is below face value so that, when the bond matures, the difference between the purchase price and the face value is realised as a gain of waiting. The issuer of the bond guarantees to pay the face value to the bondholder at maturity, and in the event of default the bondholder often has the right to seize collateral.

In the early years of Islamic banking some simple minded commentators argued that zero-coupon bonds are 'Islamic' because no interest is paid during their lifetime. But of course interest is paid, it is just that it is paid all in one go at the maturity date instead of in instalments over the life of the bond.

gain of waiting over two years investor at the beginning of year one for £6,200, giving a £1,300 one year. The two year zero-coupon bond might be bought by an gain of waiting of £682 would be achieved by holding the bond for bought by an investor at the beginning of year one for £6,818, a 'zero-coupon bond' with a face value of £7,500. If this bond was buyer at the end of year one could be securitised into a one year make a gain of waiting. Thus, the instalment due from the house bond at below face value, that investor would be contracting to owed to him by the house buyer. And, if an investor purchased that if the builder decided to sell one of them, he would be selling a debt house buyer could be securitised into a zero-coupon bond with a Now, imagine that each instalment due under a face value of £7,500. These bonds can now be bought and sold and, For example, each instalment to be received by the builder from the 'securitised', that is, turned into a tradable financial instrument.

In Malaysia today, some Islamic finance deals are being concluded on more or less the basis described above. Among the projects so financed is the construction of the new Kuala Lumpur International Airport (KLIA).

Here, the financiers purchased assets that the KLIA already owned, such as land and the rights to the future revenues to be generated once the airport is operational. Payment was made in cash for these assets, and the KLIA thereby raised the funds that it needed to continue its construction programme. Having bought the KLIA's assets, the financiers in this deal then immediately sold them back to the KLIA for payment in instalments over twenty years. The final step in this financing process was that the

instalments were securitised into zero-coupon bonds and sold at below face value to investors. Here is securitised BBA in action, zero-coupon bonds in all but name.

... It is the largest such facility to be issued in Malaysia to date notes issuance facility is based on a deferred payment basis (Al-Bai-Bithaman Ail) where the subscribers will buy from the KLIA Bhd assets such as the KLIA concession at an agreed purchase price. The assets will then be resold to KLIA Bhd at an agreed selling price, which comprises the purchase price plus a pre-determined profit-margin. The payment of the selling price by the KLIA Bhd is through the issuance of Islamic primary and secondary notes, also known as Islamic bonds or Syahadah Al-Dayn (Certificate of Debt)... Once again the facility will serve to strengthen the confidence of private debt securities (PDS) Malaysia.

Islamic Banker (March 1996)

A cursory reading of the trade literature will inform one that Malaysian financial companies are rushing to make profits on the bandwagon of Islamic finance. But the bandwagon is often a source of embarrassment to those Islamic bankers who are asked to explain the difference between interest and Islamic finance.

Among the inventions coming out of Malaysia in 1996 were Islamic short selling and Islamic debt discounting. Some Malaysians encourage the development of these 'innovative' Islamic financial products on the basis that Islamic finance should be able to compete with the interest-based sector in order to establish itself. But this argument has little in common with the methodology of Islam. Islam does not tell Muslims to define an objective, and then use what means they see fit in order to attain it. Instead, it tells them that if the means are correct, the ends will look after themselves. Islam does not teach one to overcome usury by

Some things will never be permissible in Islam no matter what kind of argument is put forward as a justification. Formication is one of them. Usury is another. Muslims are told in the ahadith that

those who not only practise usury, but who do so in the name of each dirham of usury is equal to thirty-six acts of fornication, and which sincere Muslim would believe that an act of fornication could ever be permitted in Islam? What, then, is the fate awaiting assagn-furtial Islam?

the 'pragmatic' attitudes of many Muslim businessmen who work instructed by clients to side-step them. Others say they are committed to building a genuine Islamic alternative, but are trapped many who work in the field of Islamic finance are not Muslim in the first place. And since non-Muslims often see little harm in the practice of interest, they can hardly be expected to fight the battle within it. Some are sadly lacking in their understanding of Islamic financing principles, and therefore offer little resistance when The trend towards compromise in Islamic banking is reinforced by in the mind-set of a western financial degree course. Then again, against it on behalf of Islam. When theory so often follows practice, the danger arises that the definition of usury will come to depend upon what the bankers are Apracusing. This is already happening in academic establishments where positive economists study the 'what is' rather than the 'what Sylvery S

Quent to be' of Islamic finance.

the mundane mechanics of sharing profits. It would reach into the things that Islamic bankers can do. This approach will lead to very heart of the monetary system. Trying to copy the In order to build Islamic banking into something more than just conventional banking with the labels changed, Muslim bankers Genuine Islamic banking has a point to it that goes far beyond conventional system in order to 'compete' is one of the least helpful compromise on the subject of usury, the fate that eventually befell must first study and understand the history of banking in the west. Christianity.

A half-baked Islamic banking system is no Islamic banking system public anyway and, sometimes, even those professionals who practise these techniques question their validity. After almost four decades of debate on the subject of Islamic banking, no standard at all. BBA and murabahah often lack credibility with the general theory or statement of practice has emerged because so much of the industry is built upon inescapable contradiction and consistent fudging of the key issues.

## In the Absence of Leverage

In other words, both contracts of investment and contracts of Our brief summary of contract types indicates that a Muslim murabahah or musharakah; he can hire the machines that he needs for a production process under a contract of ijara; and he can buy entrepreneur may obtain the assets that he needs for his business in a variety of ways. For example, he can raise money under goods under a purchase agreement in which instalments are deferred until a future date when funds are more readily available. exchange can be employed by the Muslim entrepreneur.

Importantly, by basing his business upon contracts of exchange, the entrepreneur does not need to share the profits of his business in order to develop it. Hence, the Muslim entrepreneur is allowed to make his profits whilst hiring a labourer for a fixed wage under a there is little to discourage commercial activity from continuing contract of exchange. The point is that, in the absence of interest,

as equity-based. This would give the wrong impression that all operations in an Islamic economy have to be based on equity-sharing. and that the latter does not have to be equity-based always. Seen in this perspective it would seem that the Islamic economy is profit-based. Islamic Training and Research Institute: Developing a System of only. It was pointed out that al-Qur'an prohibits riba and permits tijara Economists were also taken to task for describing the Islamic economy Financial Instruments (1990)

We may now move on to consider the impact of Islamic contract law upon the operation of leverage. In proceeding with this discussion, it is assumed that the reader is familiar with the description of leverage given in Chapter Four.

along with the prohibition upon short-selling, would anchor the on the deposit invested.) The prohibition of this type of leverage, Islamic financial market to the real economy. increase in the gold price would then translate into a 100% profit gold at a profit before the balance of £90 becomes payable. A 10% employed by a conventional speculator in the hope of selling his up-front. (This would be a typical form of 'margined' transaction £100 of gold in the forward market by paying only a £10 deposit able) to speculate if required to pay full countervalue up-front, countervalue up-front. Since fewer individuals will wish (or be the Islamic approach it is no longer possible, for example, to buy speculative trades should decline in both value and number. Under least one counterparty to the trade is willing to exchange full transactions, a speculative purchase will then only be possible if at counterparty to the transaction at the outset. Like all other two liabilities cannot normally form the basis for an exchange that full countervalue must usually be exchanged by at least one transaction. Under the Islamic approach, it has been established Our brief review of Islamic contract law indicates that exchanges of

The impact of the substantial eradication of leverage that is evident in the properly structured Islamic financial system goes beyond the speculative arena. For example, conventional corporate finance theory becomes largely redundant under the Islamic prohibition of interest. In Chapter Four we saw how a typical modern corporation seeks to improve the rate of profit on the funds it has invested in its own business, through the mechanism of debt financing. The objective was achieved in that earlier example by borrowing money at 10% and subsequently investing it into assets from which a profit of 20% was made. Due to leverage, the owner made a profit of 110% on his

own investment into the company. (It may benefit the reader to revisit that example before proceeding with the current argument.)

This last type of leverage, often termed 'gearing', is all-pervasive in the modern world. It is also, to my mind, the greatest source of economic injustice after the manufacture of money by the conventional banking system. The mechanism of gearing allows borrowers to own any amounts of profit that remain after the interest charges have been paid. In this manner, lenders at interest do not receive a share of company profit proportional to the amount of money that they have loaned to an enterprise. In the example of Chapter Four, the lender provided 90% of the funds for the borrower's business but received only 45% of the profits made, in the form of interest earned. Meanwhile, the borrower provided 10% of the funds and earned 55% of the profit, in the form of growth in net assets.

To the layman it may seem that equity holders are playing a rather unfair—trick upon interest-based lenders, but the professional financier will quickly respond that lenders are taking less risk than equity investors. Let us examine this risk argument a little further.

The risk argument is based upon the fact that, under modern law, interest is paid before dividends. Given that lenders are therefore more likely to receive their interest than equity investors are to receive their dividends, theorists argue that the former should be prepared to receive a lower rate of return on their investment than the latter. The possibility exists of course that a corporate borrower will not generate sufficient returns on assets to pay its interest bill but, for the market as a whole, this has been a short term phenomenon only. (If the phenomenon were to be true in the long run, then every corporation would eventually go bankrupt.) In any event, we have already seen how lenders minimise this type of risk by taking as much collateral as possible. A second possibility is that the enterprise will earn just enough to pay its interest bill, but not enough to pay out any dividends to the

owners. In this case, the lenders will receive their return on investment, but the owners will receive nothing. Again, lenders seem to undertake less risk than equity holders.

But the above justifications for lower returns to interest-based lenders ignore a third possibility which is by far the most commonly encountered in the world of large companies. The fact is that, in the long run, larger corporations in the interest-based economy generate returns on assets that are above their interest costs in most periods. So much so that long term returns on a diversified portfolio of equity shares have consistently outperformed long term returns on a diversified portfolio of interest-based loans since the Second World War. This has been true in every major economy and over most time frames that one wishes to examine. Since these are the facts of life in the corporate world, then what exactly is the risk that academics talk of when justifying lower returns to interest-based lenders?

If equity holders receive higher returns than interest-based lenders in the long run, then it seems that it is the interest-based lenders who are in fact taking the most risk. Theirs is a risk, proven by long term experience, that interest-based investments will under-perform those of equity-based investors.

In the interest-based system, it is the convention under which money is invested that in part accounts for the level of reward which it receives. Meanwhile, in the Islamic approach, investment is rewarded in line with its contribution to profitability after the fact. Rather than rewarding invested capital according to the level of risk that is perceived at the outset, Islamic principles suggest that capital is to be rewarded according to the profitability with which it has actually been employed.

Gearing becomes impossible under Islam unless the debt financier is prepared to advance an interest-free loan. However, it is difficult to see why even Muslim investors would advance their

funds as interest-free loans to a profit-seeking corporation on any significant scale. Unless some form of charitable—project was involved, it would be far more common for them to advance their funds on a profit-sharing basis. Therefore, since interest-free loans are unlikely to form a significant part of the capital for a profit-seeking corporation, and since interest-bearing loans are totally prohibited, the opportunity for gearing in the Islamic economy is suppressed.

Under the conventional approach to financing, the choice of debt to equity ratio revolves largely around the level of confidence attached to the business venture under consideration. If the entrepreneur is confident of a return on assets of say 20% per annum, the incentive to fund this opportunity using debt at 10% is far more attractive than a profit-sharing alternative. Why share a 20% profit when one can borrow at 10% interest? But where the businessman is involved in a highly uncertain venture, debt might in fact seem less preferable than equity. Saiful Rosly, in a paper presented at the Dual Banking Conference, Kuala Lumpur, (1995) comments.

Thus, the use of debt and financial leverage concentrates the firm's business risk on its stockholders. ... Would mudarabah and musharakah be a viable product worth investing in today? Certainly not in Malaysia. This is because firms will opt for equity when projects are highly risky and push for debt if profit-making is a question of capital alone ... more so in a world where business can make a choice between debt and equity, supported by tax laws not in favour of mudarabah and musharakah banking.

Rosly also points out that, in modern economies, there are tax advantages to interest-based funding that do not apply to equity funding, thereby making debt still more attractive as a form of financing for the corporate borrower. Naturally, control of ones company impacts upon the decision of directors to go for interest-based financing since lenders do not under normal circumstances have the right to vote on company policy. Even then there are techniques which could raise equity financing

without giving up control, the issuing of non-voting shares for example, but with such powerful incentives for debt financing in the major economies it is no surprise that gearing features in almost every large company's financing profile. Fahim Khan summarises:

... if the profit-sharing system is better than the interest-based system then why does it not prevail over the interest-based system and drive the interest-based system out of practice ... If the interest-based option is permissible, the demand for investable funds will tend to be mainly for projects which are low return or more risky, with entrepreneurs financing high return or low-risk projects from their own funds. Suppliers of funds, aware of this tendency, will find it easier to charge interest rather than make the effort of finding or selecting the more profitable projects ... Only when the option of interest-based financing has been totally abolished will the profit-based system be able to prove all its merits described in the literature.

Fahim Khan: Essays in Islamic Economics (1995)

## CHAPTER SEVEN

# BANKING AND MONEY UNDER ISLAM

A genuine Islamic banking structure is outlined. The system relies upon <u>profit-sha</u>ring and the eradication of fractional reserve banking. It allows investment and other financial activities to continue in line with the demands of both modern life and the principles of Islam. 'Monetary policy' becomes redundant under this approach. The chapter concludes with a brief discussion of money in Islam and it is proposed that Islamic banking, in conjunction with a precious metal currency standard, would form the basis of a fair and stable wealth creating economy.

# An Islamic Commercial Banking Structure

A truly Islamic banking system could be based upon the banking structure that emerged from the discussion of Chapter Two. The current account would work on the basis of wadia, being a sight deposit available for immediate withdrawal of deposited funds. A 100% reserve ratio would apply to such accounts. A service charge could be applied to these accounts so as to remunerate the deposit-taker for the costs of administration. Normal withdrawal and transfer facilities would apply in order to effect an efficient payments system and deposit-takers could compete on both service charges and the quality of services available. Monzer Kahf in The Islamic Economy (1978), and S. M. Yusuf in Economic Justice in Islam (1971) both support the adoption of these measures in conjunction with the abolition of interest.

Some writers argue that the deposits under current account could be used by the banks to advance interest-free loans, though under the wadia injunction this could only occur with the permission of the depositor. There would of course be little to prevent a bank offering a form of current account in which funds deposited were explicitly made use of in such a way. Under wadia, two essential restrictions would however remain even on this second type of current account. Firstly, deposits would be available for immediate withdrawal only if liquidity was available and, secondly, any benefit or risk arising from the on-lending of these funds would accrue to the depositor. In this way the economic perils highlighted earlier, vis-à-vis the operation of fractional reserve banking, would not arise from either form of current account.

arrangement as the basis of operation for investment accounts. The musharakah, but other forms of investment, into leasing contracts investment of those funds and the bank would retain the remaining share, Thus a bank might offer potential depositors an 80% share of the two profit-sharing principles of mudarabah or for example, would provide the banks with a variety of opportunities to earn diversified returns on investment account the depositor and the banker on an investment account would be agreed prior to the depositing of funds. The holder of an investment account would receive a share of the profit arising from the The panker's share of profit would recover the costs incurred in operating the investment accounts, and would hopefully enable the banker to make a profit on his operation overall. Muhammad Siddig in Banking Without Interest (1988), proposes a two-tier depositor-bank and bank-business relationships could operate on In the non-interest-based banking system the share of profits due to of profits on investments, the remaining 20% accruing to the bank.

Over time, different banking institutions would experience various degrees of profitability on their investments. They would thereby

establish a track record, on the strength of which customers might be more attracted to one bank than another. The expertise of each bank in investing the investment account funds would thus be vital to that bank's reputation among depositors and banks would also be able to compete with one another by offering more attractive profit shares to potential depositors and borrowing clients. The bank would be free to invest funds deposited in any manner countenanced by the terms of the investment account contract and would naturally be incentivised to find the most profitable investment opportunities available. Banks, in depending upon the profitability of their fund-using clients, would be encouraged to maintain a close business relationship with them. The bankrupting of a struggling business client in order to retrieve liquidity would almost certainly be a rarer occurrence.

guarantee to return 100% of the funds invested contravenes the principles established under mudarabah and musharakah, since a loss for the investor could not then arise. However, with regard to Many scholars argue that deposit guarantees for investment accounts are incompatible with the system described above. A the current accounts, we have seen that the deposit-taker is responsible for the item deposited where a fee is charged. It would not seem to be outside the scope of Islamic law to implement a deposit guarantee on these current accounts, enacted perhaps through a suitable industry-wide insurance contract. Muhammad Siddiqi, Irhad Ahmad and Ahmad Najjar all support a deposit insurance scheme for current accounts, operated under the aegis of the central bank. However, where a 100% reserve system operates, it is difficult to see what reasons would exist for implementing current account deposit insurance, other than fear of loss through fire or theft for example. Otherwise, our previous arguments leave us with little choice but to view deposit insurance as a child of the fractional reserve banking system. When depositors are told that their deposits are available for withdrawal in the short term, but those deposits are

simultaneously lent out under long term loans, the familiar liquidity problems can begin. The United States Savings and Loan crisis is a case in point. Alan and Gale point out in The London School of Economics Discussion Paper No. 191, July 1994:

Economics Discussion Paper No. 191, July 1994:
The Savings and Loan crisis of the 1980's illustrates some of the limitations of the US financial system in terms of its intergenerational risk sharing capabilities. The S&L's had made long term loans at low interest rates which were funded with short term deposits. When market rates rose, depositors withdrew their funds and put them in instruments such as money market mutual funds. As a result many S&L's became insolvent and had to be rescued by the government. The transfers from taxpayers that were required are a form of intergenerational transfer since they were funded by government debt which will have to be borne by future generations. Thus government insurance of bank deposits can be regarded as a form of intergenerational risk sharing.

The Japanese banking system has in recent times shown quite clearly the scale of the problems that deposit insurance would have to cope with in the event of large scale bank failures in the conventional system. Given the scale by which bank money dwarfs state money in the modern economy, no amount of private insurance could fund the requests for withdrawal of cash in a large scale banking collapse.

Writing in *The Independent* in August 1995, Helen Dunne and Robert Guest comment:

Panic-stricken depositors in Japan yesterday rushed to withdraw more than 100 billion Yen (£650 million) from Kizu Shinyo Kumiai, the country's largest credit association, after the authorities suspended its operations and announced a restructuring of the Hyogo Bank, the 38<sup>th</sup> largest.

Kizu's collapse was the fourth collapse of the year, loaded with bad debts exceeding 600 billion Yen (£3.9 billion) largely in the form of non-performing property loans. Meanwhile, Hyogo bank had collapsed with more than 1.5 trillion Yen (almost £10 billion) in bad debts. Hence the Japanese Finance Minister, Mr. Matsushita, announced that Kizu's depositors would be repaid with any shortfall being met by the central bank. Deposit

insurance would be quite incapable of dealing with problems on this kind of scale.

It is alien to the Islamic principles proposed here that risk should be shared by those who have no potential to benefit from the taking of that risk. Alan and Gale see no need to cure the monetary illness and argue that future generations would be willing to pay a price in order to inherit a stable financial system instead of a collapsed one. Only twisted logic can provide us with such a selfish conclusion. Should we really expect our children to pay the price of our own unnecessary failures?

could then quickly be repaid). cash. Investment account holders wishing to withdraw their funds investments could quickly be sold on a stock exchange to raise forming a substantial part of an Islamic bank's asset portfolio, such to the Islamic banking sector. With tradable investment certificates (Hence the importance of providing securitised financial products would not be in a position to repay the investment account holder. users of funds were not in a position to repay the bank, the bank would depend wholly upon the liquidity of the bank's portfolio. If pre-agreed time scale for redemption of investment accounts as this know this, and could take the risk or leave it. There might be no investment accounts would be invested with entrepreneurs on a or a deposit insurance scheme. Meanwhile, deposits in Islamic for panicky bank-runs and without the support of the central bank current accounts could be repaid fully, immediately, with no reason profit-sharing basis, not on a fixed-interest basis. Investors would In the Islamic banking model, depositors seeking withdrawal from

In structuring an investment account, an Islamic banking institution would most usually manage the funds received without showing them as an item on its own balance sheet. The principle is that the funds do not belong to the Islamic institution itself, but are simply managed by it on behalf of depositors. These funds are

therefore held 'off-balance-sheet', appearing merely as a note to the Islamic bank's main accounts. Where investment accounts are concerned, Islamic banks can therefore be seen as having more in common with investment companies than conventional banks. The reluctance of the Bank of England, for example, to issue banking licences for Islamic banks immediately becomes clear. A bank cannot fulfill the requirements of the UK Banking Act 1987 to repay deposits at 100% of the original amount deposited, or above, (i.e. to offer capital-certain' accounts) if those funds are simultaneously invested on a profit-sharing basis. Profit-sharing implies the possibility of loss-sharing, and guarantees against investment losses contravene the injunctions of Islamic investment techniques. The current difficulty in establishing an Islamic bank in the UK and other western countries revolves partly around this conflict with existing regulations.

A marketing campaign to attract deposits into an Islamic investment account could of course meet a sceptical response from those depositors who are accustomed to placing funds in conventional banks. After all, deposits in a conventional bank are not supposed to be at risk of falling in value. An Islamic current account that pays no return at all is similarly disadvantaged when compared with a conventional current account.

Hence, as we have seen in Chapter Six, Islamic banks tend to inxest their depositors' funds into BBA and murabahah where investment periods are short and returns competitive with market interest rates. For example, in the 1994 accounts, the Faysal Islamic Bank of Bahrain had total assets of US\$ 439 million. Of this amount, US\$ 235 million was due to mature in less than three months, and a further US\$ 81 million within nine months thereafter. In reality, profit-sharing contracts such as mudarabah and musharakah do not feature to anywhere near the extent that murabahah and BBA transactions do.

I have discussed in some detail, in Chapter Four, why it is that lenders on a fixed interest basis usually require a cushion of collateral from the borrower before proceeding with a loan. Under the Islamic alternative, collateral is also quite permissible, but not as security for a pre-defined repayment schedule. Instead, if a project makes a loss, the investor's stake will diminish in value but collateral can still be used to secure this now reduced amount.

As a result of implementing the banking structures described so far, I propose that there would be a far weaker tendency for Islamic banks to base financing decisions on the existence of collateral. Since funds advanced would provide a return that depended upon the project's profitability, banks will be less keen-to-advance-funds on the basis of existing collateral. This is because collateral seizure would no longer be an option whereby a pre-defined rate of return could be achieved. In contrast to the lending policy of conventional banks that was highlighted in Chapters Two and Four, finance would be made available more on the basis of potential profitability and less on the basis of the amount of collateral on offer. With the institution of zakat in place, a banking system of this type would ensure to a much greater degree that wealth does not circulate simply among the rich of the community.

Under an Islamic banking system it should now be clear that the 'cost of funds' disappears from the liability—side of a bank's balance sheet. (If Islamic bankers talk about the 'cost of funds' that they are able to offer, then one can be reasonably sure that they have not escaped from the mentality of usury). A cost of funds could however be calculated in retrospect, this being a cost that automatically reflected business profitability. In the Islamic economy, it would therefore be the real sector that determined the rate of return to the financial sector.

Siddiqi maintains that creation of bank money (he refers to the process as 'credit creation') can still feature under an Islamic

banking system, but that it would be controlled and directed to useful purposes:

In the absence of interest ... bank advances would be made only for the purpose of productive enterprise. Credit would be created only to the extent there exist genuine possibilities of creating additional social wealth through productive enterprise. ... Credit created on the basis of profit-sharing would not be inflationary in the long run. Demand for profit-sharing advances will be limited by the extent of the available resources and banks' ability to create credit will be called into action only to the extent of this demand, subject to the constraint imposed by profit expectations that satisfy the banks. Supply in this case would not be able to create its own demand as it does at present.

M. N. Siddiqi: Issues in Islamic Banking (1983)

I have argued that in a proper Islamic banking model, bank credit could not be created in the first instance. Of course credit may be created between non-bank businesses and individuals in their daily transactions, but since these entities are not banks, this type of credit, 'non-bank credit', is not synonymous with money. The businessman that sells goods to a customer for payment within thirty days has advanced (non-bank) credit but that businessman cannot then use this form of credit as money.

Whilst in the strict sense I am in disagreement with his view on money supply expansion, I would thoroughly agree that:

The institution of credit and bank money has been another object of scrutiny by the Islamic economists. Early writers saw something morally wrong in it. Some doubted its need and ascribed its proliferation to the vested interests of the banks. More recently it has been realised that interest is the villain of the peace. Abolition of interest will, to a large extent, curtail the harmful features of the creation of credit by banks.

M. N. Siddiqi: Issues in Islamic Banking (1983)

Having identified the most important cause of inflation in the conventional economies, it should be made clear that an economy operating upon Islamic principles would not itself be excluded from specific forms of asset price increase. The latter is not the same as inflation though, because inflation is the term given to a general rise in the level of prices across an economy and not to a

price rise in a specific sector. For example, an increase in demand for air travel might result in an increase in ticket prices. However, if this occurred at the expense of demand for coach travel, then the general level of prices would tend to remain stable. Where there is no monetary expansion, general rises in expenditure across the economy tend not to occur. (It is however said that, where the velocity of circulation of money increases, inflation may increase even though money supply does not). This point aside, Islam does not wage war on specific forms of price movement. In fact price flexibility is a vital component of a healthy economy since, without the operation of a price signal, demand cannot so easily be satisfied when and where required.

It is often asserted that a booming economy must bring with it inflationary pressures. The reason that conventional economists make such assertions is that economic booms are often the consequence of a substantial money supply expansion. The boom comes first and the inflation later. But in the Islamic economy envisaged in this work, production would normally increase without money supply expansion as the prime cause. Real factors, improvements in technology and a better educated workforce for example, would achieve this result. Usually, production would increase in a gradual fashion; genuine human endeavour does not often produce its reward overnight and certainly not with the immediacy of money manufacture.

In the absence of money supply expansion, an abundance of new goods might actually result in the general level of prices declining in the Islamic economy. The impact of long term price decreases accompanied by growth in productive output is so contrary to the theories and experience of the interest-based economy that its probable impact upon society as a whole can only be guessed at. It is worth mentioning that a society in which prices were expected to fall over time might not value present consumption more highly than future consumption. Why buy a car today when waiting a year will get you a cheaper price and perhaps a better quality car?

## Money and Islam

In Chapter Three the history of gold and silver as a monetary base was briefly reviewed. The Qur'an informs us that these two metals will always be desired by men:

Alluring to men is the love of things they covet - women, sons, hoarded treasures of gold and silver, highly bred horses, cattle and land.

Qur'an 3:14

Money should surely be composed of something that is 'alluring to men' and the Qur'anic ordering of 'gold and silver' and not 'silver and gold' is of obvious semantic relevance. Paper money sometimes fulfills this condition, but history has shown that the endearment tends to depreciate. Mahmud Abu Saud, in *Interest Free Banking* (1976) comments:

Unless we standardise our money and stabilise its value, letting the value of our measured objects fluctuate, no economy can be held in a sound and wholesome state, and nobody can rightly claim that money is the standard of value or the real unit of account.

For ibn-Khaldun, God created gold and silver to act as money. He made them portable, homogeneous, durable, desirable and scarce precisely so that they would fulfill the criteria required of money. Ibn-Khaldun's thinking is portrayed in *The Muqaddimah*:

God created the two mineral stones gold and silver as the measure of value for all capital accumulations. Gold and silver are what the inhabitants of this world, by preference, consider treasure and property to consist of. Even if, under certain circumstances, other things are acquired, it is only for the purpose of ultimately obtaining gold and silver. All other things are subject to market fluctuations, from which gold and silver are exempt. They are the basis of profit, property and treasure.

Abuil Azim Islahi: Economic Concepts of ibn-Taimiyah (1988)

At the time of Prophet Muhammad s.a.w. a monetary system predominated in which circulated one dinar weighing 4.25 grams of gold, and one dirham weighing 3.98 grams of silver. In al-Maqrizi's Risalatu fi'l-Nuqud al-Islamiyyah (Book on Islamic Money), it is argued that Islam never countenanced the use of

copper money, *fulus*, as a medium of exchange. Copper did not, according to al-Maqrizi, bear the required features of a true monetary unit. Al-Maqrizi drew his conclusions upon evidence of a substantial increase in the circulation of copper coinage under the Mamluk sultans in Egypt.

However, whilst copper coins may not be as 'alluring to men' as gold coins, the most important condition that must be fulfilled if they are to be used as money is that a fiat value should not be given to them. Rather, the coinage should be allowed to find its own value in the marketplace through the exchange of goods and services. Ibn-Taimiyah would concur here. He too was concerned that the expansion of the fulus circulation was unjust and advocates the establishment of value for copper coinage according to a 'fair' value in exchange, rather than by means of a fiat value imposed by

He [ibn-Taimiyah] asked the Sultan to check erosion of the value of money which caused such a disturbance in the economy. He opposes debasement in the currency and over-production of money. He says 'the authority should mint the coins (other than gold and silver) according to the just value of peoples' transactions, without any injustice to them'.

Abul Azim Islahi: Economic Concepts of Ibn-Taimiyah (1988)

Ibn-Khaldun's idea that money is equated with wealth and that economic activity is largely directed at the accumulation of gold and silver, rather than the accumulation of goods, appeared later in history as a key feature of the mercantilist tradition. Under mercantilism, the selling of goods abroad was prioritised since it led to just such an accumulation of precious metal wealth. In this world view, the importing of goods was therefore deemed economically undesirable since it could only lead to a diminution of the precious metal stock in the domestic economy. Amongst others from the age of mercantilism, Misselden in Free Trade, or the Meanes to Make Trade Flourish (1662) and Thomas Mun in A Discourse of Trade From England to the East Indies (1621) portray the same ideas in one form or another. However, whilst gold and silver may be considered by men as 'treasure', it is

another thing entirely to suggest that the accumulation of this treasure is the objective of economic activity. This is of course not the Islamic view. In Islam, money is a means to an end, not an end in itself.

Fraudulent debasements are unambiguously condemned:

It is an oppression on the public to use counterfeit coins. The first man to use such coins will get the sins of every person who subsequently transfers them to other persons.

al-Ghazali: The Revivification of the Sciences of Religion

On debasement, both al-Maqrizi and ibn-Taimiyah predate Gresham by some several centuries :

Moreover, if the intrinsic value of coins are different it will become a source of profit for the wicked to collect the small (bad) coins and exchange them (for good money) and then they will take them to another country and shift the small (bad) money of that country (to this country). Ibn-Taimiyah: *Majmu Fatawa Shaikh al-Islam in Economic Concepts of ibn-Taimiyah* (1988)

In adopting two precious metals as the monetary medium, the Islamic empire was also familiar with the problems of bimetallism. The crucial mistake of employing fixed bimetallic ratios (i.e. fixed exchange rates between gold and silver coinage) had noticeable consequences during the reign of al-Muiz. Once more the hand of the state replaces the force of the market in determining the exchange value of coinage. Fiat value thus arises and eventually the problems begin. Al-Maqrizi's account of the events of this period is reproduced by Aidit Ghazali:

The value of the dirham to the dinar fell again ... This created some disturbance among the people. The state then acted upon the matter by dispatching twenty trunks full of new dirham. An order was decreed prohibiting transactions using the old dirham. A three day grace period was given for the exchange of old dirhams for new ones. The old ones then had to be surrendered to the Dar al-Dabi, the department for the printing of money. Exchange rate was fixed at 1 new dirham = 4 old dirhams, and the exchange rate between the new dirham and the dinar was set at 1 dinar = 18 dirhams.

Aidit Ghazali in: Islamic Thinkers on Economics, Administration and Transactions (1991)

One further important aspect of the currency debate has been dealt with by Siddiqi when he reflects upon the importance of zakat in the workings of the monetary system. He writes:

Liquidity premium is not the cause but the effect of interest ... To discourage hoarding and bring money on a par with other assets (commodities) it should be subjected to a carrying cost besides being divested of liquidity premium by abolition of interest. ... The key to a solution lies in subjecting money to the natural law of depreciation over time to which all other commodities are subjected. This is the Islamic principle of Zakat which makes all forms of private wealth 'depreciate' from the viewpoint of the private owner by about 2.5% per annum. This will discourage hoarding and make all money circulate.

M. N. Siddiqi : Issues in Islamic Banking (1983)

Siddiqi's argument might have found favour with Silvio Gesell, whose proposal during the 1920's for a date-stamped money attracted the attention of Keynes:

greater liquidity premium than any other article. Thus if currency nptes money is sound. It is indeed possible that means might be found to apply it in practice on a modest scale. But there are many difficulties in degree from other articles, deriving its importance from having a not unique in having a liquidity premium attached to it, but differed pnly stamps purchased at a post office. The cost of the stamps could, of course, be fixed at any appropriate figure. The idea behind stamped which Gesell did not face. In particular, he was not aware that money is would only retain their value by being stamped each month ... with clearly need to apply as well to some forms at least of bank-money) time. Thus the prime necessity is to reduce the money rate of interest, and this, he pointed out, can be effected by causing money to nour Fisher. According to this proposal, currency notes (though it would associated and which has received the blessing of Professor Ilving famous prescription of stamped money with which his name is chiefly carrying costs just like other stocks of barren goods. This led him to the carrying charges, and that stocks of wealth, such as stocks of commodities which do involve carrying charges, in fact yield a return world, so rapid that a zero money rate of interest would probably be ownership as a means of storing wealth involves the holder in negligible justified, not indeed forthwith, but within a comparatively short peripd of brake were removed the growth of real capital would be, in the modern real capital is held back by the money rate of interest, and that if this because of the standard set by money. ... He argues that the growth of phenomenon and that the peculiarity of money lies in the fact that its [Gesell] points out that the rate of interest is a purely morjetary

were to be deprived of their liquidity premium by the stamping system, a long series of substitutes would step into their shoes, bank money, debts at call, foreign money and the precious metals.

J. M. Keynes: The General Theory of Employment Interest and Money (1936)

Since money can be lent at a nominal 'money-rate' of interest, the choice between lending money at interest and employing physical wealth in a productive process would always be in favour of lending money unless physical wealth could be used in a productive process to yield at least as attractive a rate of return. The marginal rate of return on such physical investments as are undertaken, Keynes's Marginal Efficiency of Capital or MEC, must then be above the rate of interest on borrowed funds in order for the businessman to make profit. Where this expectation is not held, the businessman will choose not to invest borrowed money into physical capital goods.

In order to encourage greater investment into physical capital goods, Gesell advocates the imposition of a cost of carry to money, achieved through the date-stamping mechanism described above. Gesell suggests 5.2% per year as the price of the datestamps in terms of face value. Keynes meanwhile, argues that 5.2% per annum would have been too much for the circumstances of his time but both essentially agree that the system would effect the taxation of idle money, and that since invested money would yield a return, the incentive to invest would be substantially encouraged.

Basing a monetary system upon interest makes no sense at all if one must then compensate for the ill effects with complicated remedies. But it is important to understand that the stamped money proposition is not simply a form of remedy to stimulate investment against the impact of interest. It is an encouragement

to invest whether interest exists or not and, as Siddiqi points out, it allows our financial conventions to more closely describe the physical world in which we live.

In giving his qualified support to Gesell's proposal, Keynes points out that a long line of substitutes would exist to fill the shoes of stamped currency notes once people rejected them as being too expensive to hold as a form of wealth. He criticises Gesell for not realising this to be the case. All other forms of money-like wealth would have to be taxed too, argues Keynes, for example bank deposits, jewellery and precious metals. What then would Keynes have thought of the zakat wealth tax? Since zakat impacts upon money and money-like wealth at 2.5% year, whichever form that wealth takes, would it not achieve precisely what he, Gesell and Fisher were in favour of?

## IN CONCLUSION

I have argued that the original and most important reason for the growth of commercial banking was the profit that arose in the process of manufacturing money for lending at interest. Banking was the industry, money the product. In the modern world the same motive drives commercial banking but in a far more sophisticated way. An individual can be arrested for 'manufacturing' money in his own home but the commercial banking system is given the full protection of the law in doing what amounts to the same thing. There is no justice in this.

Every year the United States government pays hundreds of thousands of millions of Dollars in interest on its national debt. Other 'rich' nations are paying similarly huge amounts of interest on their own national debt. Yet this debt need not exist in the first place and the interest payments on it are therefore incurred unnecessarily.

The commercial banking system in its current form inflicts a cost upon the whole of society, even those few whose finances are removed from the world of banking and debt. Fractional reserve banking is a major cause of inflation in the modern world, and the lending criteria on which it operates encourage speculative booms and large inequalities in wealth.

Society has always lived with the risk that events in the real world may prove harmful to its wealth. In most cases, it has responded by designing an infrastructure that minimises these risks. Yet where our monetary arrangements are concerned we find ourselves saddled with an infrastructure that is inherently unstable, that actually increases the amount of risk society must bear. The ill effects of real world events can be magnified out of all

proportion by the workings of a flawed monetary system. Indeed, the system itself has now become a source of risk to society.

Knowing what dangers lurk within the modern world of banking and finance, the politicians are obliged to battle for its stability. They call this battle 'monetary policy'. It is a policy in which I do not believe. I argue for a currency of intrinsic value that cannot be manufactured by a monopoly of bankers or at the whim of the state. Real factors may reduce the purchasing power of money but the decisions of the financial establishment surely should not do so.

Keynes said of Gesell that 'he displayed more passion than is decent in a scientist'. But even scientists may find their passions rising when confronted by the tinkering irrelevance of modern economics. Whilst the practice of fractional reserve banking goes largely unchallenged, Ormerod informs us that the academic establishment is debating 'upper hemicontinuity at the continuum limit' and 'the hypothesis that agents' relative risk aversion coefficients are smaller than one'. Intellectual self-abuse and attempts to describe the intricacies of modern life with complex formulae mistake the purpose of economics entirely. Ultimately, economists are here to advise on ways of improving the economic system, not to understand better how an unjust system operates. Let us waste less time predicting the future of the world on a computer model or extrapolating trends and selling the results as informed analysis. Let us sit down and be normative.

Nowadays, economic growth is heralded as the ultimate goal by so many governments. To an extent, this goal is forced upon society as it struggles to meet the interest charges on its debt. Whilst debt grows at compound interest towards infinity, in the physical world everything depreciates towards zero. I propose that the price we shall eventually pay for running this unwinnable race against compound interest is a polluted and depleted world.

our time. Business would be encouraged to preserve our environment, not to discount it into oblivion. And small scale In the absence of interest, our economic efforts would be directed away from wealth transfer and towards wealth creation. The entrepreneur would share his profit with the financier according to mutual good fortune, not an arbitrary rate of interest. The practice of leverage would disappear and with it the speculative mentality of enterprise would stand a better chance of competing with the debt leveralged monoliths that now so often overpower it.

powerful and had no reason to let their advantage slip. In the decades since, their grip has tightened, their political influence has deepened, and their literature has overwhelmed our academic paid for by the profits of fractional reserve banking. Perhaps it is For Cobbett the road of truth led to prison, for Soddy to the humiliating conclusion of an illustrious career. Their enemies were symbolic of the end that awaits us, the final victory of banking over In my private nightmare, the high street in every town offers nothing but the anonymous facades of giant banking institutions, human industry. It is an end that both Cobbett and Soddy foresaw. institutions.

to a continuous bombardment of conventional theory which they parrot to the rate and monetary policy, of selfish economic man and value-free economics. Only courage and leadership will break the hegemony policy-makers have little chance of identifying the most worthy alternatives. Surrounded by the cronies of the orthodox public before moving on to their next appointment. In this manner, the safe ideas of consensus economics are perpetuated, of interest With so many opinions to choose from in the field of economics, of this dangerous, because plausible, cocktail of nonsense are subjected politicians establishment,

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

(Translations from Arabic by Ali, Y. and Asad, M.) The Qur'an

The Revised English Bible OUP, CUP (1989)

Chambers Science and Technology (W & R Chambers Ltd., CUP 1988) Dictionary

Studies in Islamic Economics

Ahmad, K. (Editor)

International Centre for Research in Islamic Economics and The Islamic -oundation (1976)

English translation by Dr. Asadullah The Laws of Islamic Governance Yate Phd)

Abu'l-Hasan al-Mawardi,

Ta-Ha Publishers Ltd. (1996)

al-Qaradawi, Y.

International Islamic Publishing House, Islamic Awakening Between Rejection The International Institute of Islamic and Extremism (1995) Thought

International Institute of Islamic Fhought (1993) ljtihad

Alwani, T. J.

The Principles of State and Dar al-Andalous (1980) Government in Islam

Asad, M.

Blackwell Publishers Limited (1981) The Economics of the Financial System

Bain, A. D.

						•						•			
Cox, J. C., Rubinstein, M.		Connolly, B.		Cobett, W. M.	Cline, W. R.	Clarke, G. M. and Cooke, D.		Chown, D.		Brittan, S.	Brealey, R. A. and Myers, S. C.	Bingham, R. D. and Hill, E. W. and White, S. B. (Editors)	Belchamber, C.	Bank of England	Bank of England
Options Markets Prentice-Hall (1985)	Faber and Faber (1995)	The Rotten Heart of Europe	London (1812)	Paper Against Gold W. M. Cobbett, 183 Fleet Street,	The Economics of Global Warming Institute for International Economics (1992)	A Basic Course in Statistics Edward Arnold (1992)	Routledge, The Institute of Economic Affairs (1993)	A History of Money	Wildwood House Limited (1987)	The Role and Limits of Government:	Principles of Corporate Finance McGraw Hill Book Company (1988)	Financing Economic Development Sage Publications (1990)	The U.K. Government Bond Market Credit Suisse First Boston Limited (1988)	Quarterly Bulletin September Bank of England (1981)	Statistical Abstract Part 2 Bank of England (1993)
	Gale, D.	,	Galbraith, K.	Galbraith, K.	Galbraith, K.	Francis, J. C.	Fitzgerald, M. D.	י ובּלָפּוּמוּט, אוֹ. D.	Fitzgerold M D	Fisher, I.	Fage, P. and Hannigan, T.	Fabozzi, F. J. and Pollack, I.M.	Doi, A. R. I.	Deane, M. and Pringle, R.	Das, Satyajit
James Nisbet and Company Ltd., Press Syndicate of the University of Cambridge (1983)	Money in Disequilibrium	Houghton Mifflin Company (1987)	Economics in Perspective	The Nature of Mass Poverty Penguin Books (1979)	The Affluent Society Penguin Books (1984)	Investments McGraw-Hill Book Company (1986)	Financial Options Euromoney Publications (1987)	Euromoney Publications (1983)		<i>100% Money</i> Adelphi, New York (1935)	The Deutsche Mark Bond Markets Credit Suisse First Boston (1988)	The Handbook of Fixed Income Securities Dow Jones Irwin (1983)	Shari'ah: The Islamic Law Ta-Ha Publishers Ltd. (1984)	The Central Banks Hamish Hamilton (1994)	Swaps And Financial Derivatives IFR Books (1994)

Mannan, M. A.	Macleod, H. D.	Landau, R.	Kirzner, I. M. (Editor)	Xhan M F	Khan M. A.	Keynes, J. M.	Kauffman, G. B. (Editor)	Kamali, M. H.	Islamic Training and Research Institute
Economic Development and Social Peace in Islam Ta-Ha Publishers Ltd. (1989)	Bimetalism Longmans, Green and Company, New York (1894)	William Pickering (1995)  Islam and the Arabs George Allen and Unwin Ltd.(1958)	The Islamic Foundation (1995)  Classics in Austrian Economics : A  Sampling in the History of a Tradition	The Islamic Foundation (1990)  Essays in Islamic Economics	Macmillan & CUP (1936)  Glossary of Islamic Economics	The General Theory of Employment, Interest and Monev	Frederick Soddy D. Reidel Publishing Company, Holland (1986)	Principles of Islamic Jurisprudence Pelanduk Publications, Selangor (1989)	Developing a System of Financial Instruments Islamic Training and Research Institute & Islamic Development Bank (1990)
Roll, E. Sabiq, As-Sayyid	Ramadan, S.	Philips, Abu Ameenah Bilal	Pepper, G.	Ormerod, P.	Nevitt, P. K. and Fabozzi, F.	Nasr, S. H.	Mubarakpuri, Sheikh S.	Mishkin, F. S.	McCormick, B., Kitchin, P., Marshall, G., Sampson, A., Sedgwick, R.
A History of Economic Thought Faber and Faber Limited (1992)  Figh us-Sunnah (English translation by Dabas, Zarabozo J. M.)	<i>Islamic Law</i> P. R. Macmillan Limited (1970)	The Evolution of Figh International Islamic Publishing House, Riyadh (1995)	Money, Credit and Asset Prices St. Martins Press Inc., New York (1994)	The Death of Economics Faber and Faber Limited (1994)	Project Financing 6 <sup>th</sup> Edition Euromoney Publications (1995)	Islam and the Plight of Modern Man Longman Group Ltd. (1975)	<i>The Sealed Nectar</i> Maktaba Dar-us-Salam, Riyadh (1996)	Money, Banking and Financial Markets Harper Collins Publishers, New York (1992)	Introducing Economics Penguin Books (1983)

#### NUEX

bay al-salam, 145, 151 bay al-dayn, 152, 153 banknotes, 42 Banking Act 1987 (UK), 178 bank runs, 39, 45, 49, 176 average earnings, 120 bank statements, 45 Bank of England, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45 bank money, 37 bank balance sheet, 47 balance sheet, 48, 63, 177, 179 automatic stabiliser, 80 auction in Islam, 148 astronomy, 127 asset trading, 153 asset sale compared to debt sale, 153 arbitrage, 83, 87 aqidah, 131, 132, 133 bank credit, 60, 78, 180 Bank Charter Act 1844, 45 Arabic, 140, 155 amana, 156 al-Maqrizi, 183, 184 allocation of resources, 110, 120, al-Ghazali, 145, 146, 155 al-aqd, 145 akhlaq, 131 Ahmad, I., 175 ahadith, 130, 140, 142, 144, 165 agent as representative, 145 added-value, 101, 104, 109, 112 90, 178 52, 62, 63, 67, 78, 83, 86, 88, 89, 115, 116, 121 collateral, 41, 100, 110, 111, 114, coins, 85 Cobbett, W., 44, 74 Churchill, W., 89, 90, 93 Chown, D., 27, 45, 86, 87 cheques, 37, 45, 46, 48, 50, 87 charity, 154 central bank reserves, 88, 89, 90, 93 capital stock, 24, 100 central bank directives, 62 central bank, 49, 50, 52, 53, 54, 55, calling in of loans, 53 Bukhari, 130, 147 Bucaille, M., 126 Bretton Woods, 91, 92, 93, 94, 96, Bischof, R., 64 Carnot, S., 4 Brussels Agreement, 97 bond, 42, 60, 107, 163, 164 Boltzman, L., 4, 5 bimetallism, 184 bil kitabah, 146 Bentham, 124 bay bithamin ajil, 150, 158, 159, bil kalam, 146 bearer receipts, 38, 42 bay muzayadah, 148 bay mu'ajjal, 150, 157 116, 117, 118, 121, 151, 152, 159, 58, 60, 62, 75, 93, 94, 95, 96, 105 95, 96 160, 161, 162, 164, 165, 167, 178

countervalue, 76, 107, 108, 142, daman, 157 coupon, 163, 164, 165 country banks, 45 Council of Nicea, 27 corset, 62 Copernicus, vii, 128 convenience, 136 contracts with non-Muslims, 146 contracts of investment, 145 contracts of exchange, 145 contracts (options in Islamic law), contractus trinius, 27, 148 contracts of charity, 145 contracts (permissible subject contracts (offer and acceptance in contracts (no short selling in contracts (no conditionality in contracts (exchange of ownership contracts (basic conditions in contracts (deferred exchange in consensus economics, vii Congdon, T., 65 compound interest, 10 commercial contracts in Islam, 145 145, 152, 168 matter in Islam), 147 Islam), 146 in Islam), 147 Islam), 147 Islam), 146 Islam), 146 Islam), 147

discount rate compared with

interest rate, 51

daruriat, 135 debasement, 84, 85, 86, 183, 184

debt, 9, 14, 15, 46, 54, 56, 57, 60, 73, 87, 91, 110, 111, 113, 114, 116, 138, 153, 164, 165, 168, 170, 171, 176, 188, 189, 190 debt deflation, 119 deception, 143 deductive thinking, 127, 128 deflation, 69, 118, 120 deforestation, 14, 15 denomination, 43, 82, 84

commercial bank reserves, 38, 40.

43, 45, 49, 50, 51, 53, 57, 70,

collateral, 41, 100, 110, 111, 114,

116, 117, 118, 121, 151, 152,

159, 163, 169, 179

dinar, 182, 184 discount market, 51, 52 discount rate (financial market discount, 17, 18, 21, 23, 24, 25, dirham, 166, 182, 184 diminishing musharakah, 154 discount houses, 52 destabilisation, 108 deposit-taking institutions, 47 denomination, 43, 82, 84 devaluation, 92, 94, 111 deposit multiplier, 47 deforestation, 14, 15 deflation, 69, 118, 120 deductive thinking, 127, 128 usage), 17, 18, 21, 23, 24, 25, 32, 51, 52 32, 51, 52, 53, 62

discounting and discounted cash-flow analysis, 16, 19, 20, 23, 24, 26, 32, 115, 122, 190 discounting of financial instruments, 51 dividend, 113, 118, 169 Doane, R., 137 ducats, 85

Earl of Liverpool, 44

Earth, vii, 3, 6, 8, 12, 13, 20, 26, 35, 127, 128, 132, 134, 135, 137 education, 101, 112 Edward I, 85 eligible bills, 52 eligible liabilities, 62 endogenous utility, 124

Knight, F., 55, 74 labour, 24, 77 Lawson, N., 63, 64, 65 lease payments, 151 lender of last resort, 49 lessee, 151 leverage, 108, 116, 117, 168, 169, 171, 190 Lipton, M., 15, 16 liquidity, 33, 53, 62, 90, 104, 115, 116, 119, 121, 174, 175, 176, 177, 185, 186 liquidity preference, 33 loan losses, 41 Lord Hanson, 25 Louvre Accord, 98 Lucca, 85 luxury, 125 MacDonald, R., 90 maisir, 140, 143, 144 mal, 155 Malik, 148, 155, 161 Malthus, T., 136 marginal productivity of capital, 23 marginal utility, 124 Marshall, A., 4 maslahah, 134, 135 Maslow, 135 means testing, 138 Menger, 124 Meralgesellschaft, 106 minting of coins, 45, 53, 82, 83, 183 Mishkin, F., 56, 57 monetary base, 57, 61, 182 monetary base, 57, 61, 182 monetsation, 74 money at call, 52	money supply, 43, 48, 53, 54, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64,
IMF, viii, 92, 93, 94 imports, 66, 94 inductive thinking, 127, 128, 129 industry, 7, 24, 36, 64, 167, 175 inflation, vii, viii, 20, 28, 58, 59, 63, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 84, 86, 91, 94, 95, 98, 118, 180, 181, 188 injustice, 143, 169, 183 inter-bank cheque clearing, 50 interest rate, vii, 12, 15, 16, 23, 28, 29, 31, 32, 35, 46, 51, 57, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 94, 96, 98, 104, 112, 113, 116, 160, 176, 178 interest spread, 46 interest spread, 151, 152 IOU, 54 IPCC, 22 Islam, A., 182 Islam, A., 182 Islam, A., 182 Islam, A., 182 Islam, A., 184, 153, 157, 160, 161, 163, 165, 166, 170, 173, 181, 182, 184, 191, 194, 195, 197, 199 Islamic banks, 150, 154, 157, 158, 159, 160, 162, 178, 179 istisna, 152, 157 Iefferson, T., 55, 74, 87 jizhya, 138 judgement, 123, 124, 131 Kamali, Prof., 148, 153 Keynes, J., 28, 33, 93, 186, 187, 189 Keynesian, 59, 97 khalifa, 135 Khan, F., 135, 136, 172 Khan, F., 135, 136, 172	knaraj, 138 King William III, 41
gold, 37, 38, 39, 40, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 50, 53, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 107, 124, 137, 141, 168, 182, 183, 184  Gold Standard, 75, 76, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 86, 87, 89, 90, 91, 93, 96, 98  government bills, 51  government borrowing, 43  greenhouse effect, 20  Gresham's Law, 85  growth, 25, 32, 56, 61, 62, 64, 65, 66, 68, 77, 78, 98, 101, 102, 169, 181, 185, 189  hadith, 130  hajiat, 135  halfpennies, 85  Hanbal, 147, 149, 155  haram, 132, 154  Hayek, F, 60, 74  Henry III, 84, 85  Henry VIII, 85  high powered money, 57  hoarding, 185  house prices, 65, 119, 120  human reproduction, 127  Hutton, W., 68  ibn-Khaldun, 182, 183  ibn-Khaldun, 182, 183  ijara, 151, 152, 167  ijara wa iqtina, 153	ijma, 131 ijnihad, 130

fixed exchange rates, 92, 95, 184

Figh Academy, 154

floating exchange rates, 97, 98

forward transactions, 157, 165

flow concepts, 4

Florence, 85

fractional reserve banking, 40

fourthings, 85

Friedman, M., 61

Federal Reserve, 57, 94, 95, 98

Faysal Islamic Bank, 178

farthings, 85

externalities, 7

export, 14, 83

exports, 64

fiat monely, 53, 54, 77, 183

finance leases, 151

figh, 131

energy, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 13, 35, 109

exchange rate, 76, 81, 87, 88, 89, 90, 92, 93, 95, 97, 98, 104, 105,

eurodollars, 95

eurobonds, 95

exogenous utility, 124 expectation, 119, 180 global warming, 6, 20, 22, 23 God, 5, 27, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 134, 135, 137,

Gibsons Greetings, 106

gift, 154

gharar, 140, 143, 144 Ghazali, A., 184, 185

GDP, 14 23, 87, 89, 100, 101

gearing, 169, 171, 172

Gestetner, 106

futures market, 106, 107

future value, 12, 108

fungible, 151

fulus, 18\$

203

Ormerod, C., 101, 189 option, 51 operational deposits, 50 operating lease, 151 open market operations, 55 normative economics, ix, 123, 125 non-operational deposits, 50 nominal interest rate, 29 Nixon, R., 94, 96 necessity, 125, 133 national debt, 43, 44, 73, 91, 188 need, 8, 33, 36, 43, 69, 102, 108 NDP, 100 nisab, 137 Muslim (Imam), 130, 142 Muslim, ix, 127, 128, 129, 131, musharakah, 154, 155, 167, 171, Nasa'i, 148 murahanah, 143 murabahah, 149, 150, 157, 159, mudarib, 155 Mun, T., 183 mumayyiz, 145 multiple deposit expansion, 48 mudarabah, 155, 171, 174, 175, muamalat, 131, 132, 133 money supply (wide measure), 58 money supply (narrow measure); mortgage, 65, 66, 120 119, 120, 128, 135, 136, 137 133, 138, 144, 158, 162, 166, 160, 161, 162, 167, 178 167, 170 174, 175, 178 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 71, 72, 74, 75, 77, 78, 80, 84, 86, 87, 91, 93, 94, 96, 97, 113, 118, 180, Protector Somerset, 85 Prophet Muhammad s.a.w., 130, profit-sharing, 145, 155, 158, 171 promussory note, 42, 43, 44, 45 profit, viii, 15, 16, 40, 42, 61, 63 production, 13, 24, 44, 53, 54, 59, Price, C., 19, 25, 30, 32, 33 poverty, 109, 134 product substitution, 35 price of money, 32 present value, 12, 15, 16, 20 positive economics, ix, 124, 125, pollution, viii, 7, 19, 101 physical world systems, 19, 30, 34, Plender, J., 60 Plaza Accord, 98 Paper money, 43, 44, 45, 53, 76, 77, 78, 80, 83, 84, 86, 87, 88, permanent musharakah, 154 performance bond, 151 Peel, R., 45, 87 paying bank, 50 payee bank, 50 Parliament, 125, 139 Pasteur, L., vii 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 118, parallel market, 51 132, 133, 141, 142, 145, 149 119, 124, 142, 143, 153, 154, 158, 160, 162, 165, 167, 168, 174, 177, 178, 180 64, 68, 72, 99, 101, 102, 107, 180, 182, 184, 186, 190 169, 171, 172, 174, 175, 178, 152, 167, 181, 183 77, 79, 108, 110, 118, 124, 150, 36, 187, 189

S&L's, 176 Rosly, S., 171 Rogaly, J., 103 risk, 20, 28, 29, 30, 31, 43, 81, risk premium, 30 riba al-qarud, 141 Riley, B., 68 Ricardo, D., 124 riba al-nasia, 141, 142, 162 riba al-fadl, 141, 142 riba, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 160 Revised English Bible, 26 riba al-nisa, 141 riba al-buyu, 141, 142 revealed knowledge, 125, 128 returns on equity investments, 170 returns on assets, 169, 170 resources, 3, 6, 7, 13, 15, 16, 33, reserves shortage, 45 reserve ratio, 39, 40, 43, 47, 48, rent on money, 33 recoinages, 84, 85 real rate of interest, 29 recession, 68, 78, 79 receipt, 38, 159 Qur'an, 27, 127, 129, 134, 140, rahn, 156 Rahimuddin, Prof., 161 qard hasan, 154 qiyas, 131 172, 174, 176, 177, 178, 188 144, 149, 162, 169, 170, 171, 103, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 60, 64, 76, 102, 103, 105, 110, 53, 57, 62, 69, 98, 173 162, 167 112, 116, 119, 134, 135, 136, 143, 161, 167, 182

sadaqa, 138 Saint Augustine, 126

> Saud, A., 182 security, 109, 114, 116, 145, 156, securitisation, 164 SDR, 94 science and religion, 126, 127 saving, 118 Sarakhsi, 156 Saleh, N., 144 159, 179

sight deposit, 173 Siddiqi, N., 174, 175, 179, 180, shock therapy, vii Shelton, J., 81 Shariah, 131, 135, 148, 153 seignorage, 53, 85 Shafi'i, 147, 155, 161 185, 187

Soddy, F., 1, 9, 10, 34, 54, 55, 61 Smithsonian Agreement, 97 Smith, A., 124 simple interest, 10 Simons, H., 55, 74 silver, 44, 82, 83, 84, 85, 137, 141, Sihah Sitta, 130 182, 183, 184

Tantawi, Sheikh, 142 Suspension of Payments, 44, 83 sunnah, 130 subjective valuation, 124 Sterling, 62, 67, 75, 88, 89, 90, 97 Sun, 4, 127 statistical thermodynamics, 4 state money, 37 SRTP, 23, 24 spot transactions, 107, 147, 149 spot market, 107

speculation, 33, 75, 80, 90, 97,

104, 105, 106, 116, 117, 119,

special deposit, 62 sovereigns, 45, 83, 87, 88

tasiniat, 135

overnight money, 52

prudent banking, 39

tax, \$1, 91, 95, 103, 111, 112, 123, 138, 139, 171, 186, 187
term deposit, 71, 176
thermodynamics, 1, 2, 4, 5, 10
Third World, 16, 60
tijard, 167
time preference, 25, 28, 29, 33
Tirmidhi, 147, 148
Torah, 26
trade, 44, 60, 61, 66, 67, 78, 80, 87, 89, 97, 99, 104, 107, 108,

von Weizsacker, R., 64

virtual wealth, 54 Volker, P., 98, 105

Venice, 85

von Mises, L., 81

141, 144, 150, 153, 161, 163, 165, 168, 165, 168
trade deficit, 78
trading of debt, 152
treasure trove, 137
trust, 156

uncertainty, viii, 80, 106, 143, 144 unemployment, 64, 79, 91 use value, 7, 33 usuffuct, 151 usury, 26, 27, 132, 140, 141, 143,

161, 163, 166, 179 utility, 25, 28, 31, 33, 34, 124, 133, 135

value judgement, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 129, 131, 133, 139

wadia, 156, 173, 174
wages, 78, 124
Walras, L., 124
want, 8, 29, 125, 135, 137, 139
waqf, 154
waste, 4, 6
wealth, viii, 1, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 19,
30, 54, 84, 100, 101, 102, 103,
105, 106, 108, 109, 110, 111,
112, 114, 116, 123, 124, 132,
133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138,
141, 143, 179, 180, 183, 185,
186, 187, 188, 190
wealth creation, 121
wealth distribution, 138, 139
welfare, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138
World Bank, 92

Yusuf, S., 173 zakat, 137, 138, 140, 179, 187



